

# Tie Geography of Ameocae Poverty

## Is There a Need for

Mark D. Partridge  
Dan S. Rickman

2006

W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research  
Kalamazoo, Michigan

# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Acknowledgments   | xi        |
| <b>1 Spatial Concentration of American Poverty: Should We Care, and What Are the Options?</b> | <b>1</b>  |
| Why Society Should Care about Poverty   | 3         |
| National Poverty and Economic Growth  | 5         |
| Person-vs. Place-Based Policy   | 12        |
| Overview of This Book   | 14        |
| <b>2 Recent Spatial Poverty Trends in America</b>   | <b>21</b> |
| Patterns and Trends in State Poverty  | 22        |
| Patterns and Trends in County Poverty Rates   | 25        |
| Demographic Patterns and Trends in County Poverty   | 27        |
| County Patterns in Employment. Growth   | 29        |
| Conclusions   | 30        |
| <b>3 Regional Economic Performance and Poverty: What's the Theoretical Connection?</b>        | <b>51</b> |
| Interregional Equilibrium and Disequilibrium Perspectives on Poverty                          | 52        |
| Regionally Asymmetric Labor Demand Shocks and Poverty: The Role of Migration and Commuting    | 54        |
| Labor Demand and Metropolitan Poverty: The Spatial Mismatch Hypothesis                        | 59        |
| Rural Labor Demand and Poverty  | 62        |
| Poverty and Regional Labor Supply Shifts  | 64        |
| Summary and Conclusions   | 66        |
| <b>4 An Empirical Analysis of State Poverty Trends: Welfare Reform vs. Economic Growth</b>    | <b>69</b> |
| Empirical Evidence at the National Level  | 70        |
| State-Level Empirical Studies of Labor Demand and Poverty                                     | 74        |
| Welfare Reform and Poverty  | 78        |
| Empirical Model   | 81        |
| / Regression Results  | 87        |
| Simulation of Individual Effects  | 98        |
| Conclusion  | 102       |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>5 State Economic Performance, Welfare Reform, and Poverty:</b>             | <b>109</b> |
| <b>Case Studies from Four States</b>  |            |
| Regression Sample Period Analysis   | A10        |
| Case Study Epilogue: Post-2000 Trends   | 119        |
| Conclusions   | 124        |
| <b>6 County Employment Growth and Poverty</b>                                 | <b>127</b> |
| Why Examine Counties or Metropolitan Areas?                                   | 128        |
| Conceptual Model of County Poverty  | 130        |
| Empirical Model of County Poverty Rates                                       | 133        |
| Empirical Assessment of Local Poverty: Local Attributes                       | 133        |
| Employment Growth and Poverty   | 141        |
| Neighboring County Spillovers   | 149        |
| Poverty Responses across Key Demographic Groups                               | 150        |
| Summary of Overall County Findings  | 157        |
| Conclusion  | 159        |
| <b>7 Poverty in Metropolitan America</b>                                      | <b>165</b> |
| Trends in 1989 and 1999 Metropolitan Area Poverty Rates                       | 166        |
| Poverty Rates by Metropolitan Size  | 172        |
| Regression Analysis of MS A Poverty Rates                                     | 186        |
| Suburban/Central County Poverty Rate Disparities                              | 191        |
| Case Studies of Metropolitan Poverty Trends                                   | 202        |
| A Policy Framework to Alleviate Metropolitan Poverty                          | 210        |
| Conclusion  | 212        |
| <b>8 Poverty in Rural America</b>   | <b>219</b> |
| What Is Different about Rural or Nonmetropolitan Counties?                    | 220        |
| Characteristics of High and Low Poverty Rate Rural Counties                   | 225        |
| Changes in 1989-1999 Rural Poverty Rates                                      | 239        |
| Rural Regression Findings   | 245        |
| Rural Poverty and Proximity to Metro Areas                                    | 254        |
| Case Study: Scotts Bluff County, Nebraska                                     | 259        |
| Policy Implications for Fighting Rural Poverty                                | 262        |
| Summary   | 265        |
| <b>9 How to Win the Local Poverty War: Summary and Policy Recommendations</b> | <b>269</b> |
| Synopsis  | 269        |
| Policy Recommendations  | 272        |
| Conclusion  | 284        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Appendix A: Derivation of the County Poverty Rate</b> | <b>287</b> |
| <b>Empirical Model</b>                                   |            |
| Simulating the Indirect Effects of Job Growth            | 292        |
| The Role of Community Demographic Attributes             | 303        |
| References   | 311        |
| The Authors  | 343        |
| Index  | 345        |
| About the Institute                                      | 357        |