

Environmental Law, Policy, and Economics

Reclaiming the Environmental Agenda

Nicholas A. Ashford
Charles C. Caldart

The MIT Press
Cambridge, Massachusetts
London, England

The Nature and Origins of Environmental Contamination	1
A. The Nature of the Problem: Pollution and Accidental Releases of Chemicals into the Environment	2
• <i>Christiani, David C. and Woodin, Mark A., "Specific Air Pollutants Associated with Adverse Respiratory Effects," from Life Support: The Environment and Human Health, pp. 15-37 (2002)</i>	3
• <i>Hu, Howard and Kim, Nancy K., 'Drinking--Water Pollution and Human Health," from Critical Condition: Human Health and the Environment, pp. 31-48 (1993)</i>	5
• <i>Bowen, E. and Hu, H., "Food Contamination Due to Environmental Pollution," from Critical Condition: Human Health and the Environment, pp. 49-69 (1993)</i>	12
• <i>Montague, Peter, "Environmental Trends," Rachel's Environment and Health Weekly, no. 613, August 27 (1998)</i>	17
• <i>Roe, David, et ah, Environmental Defense Fund, "Executive Summary," from Toxic Ignorance: The Continuing Absence of Basic Health Testing for Top-Selling Chemicals in the United States (1997)</i>	19
B. The Causes of Pollution and Accidental Releases	21
1. Increases in the Production and Use of Chemicals, and Changes in the Nature of Chemical Contamination	21
• <i>Commoner, Barry M., "A Reporter at Large: The Environment," The New Yorker, pp. 46—71, June 15 (1987)</i>	22

2. Public Goods, the Tragedy of the Commons, and Free-Rider Problems: The Destructiveness of Pursuing Narrow Self-interest	23
• <i>liar din</i> , Garrett "The Tragedy of the Commons," <i>162 Science 1243, 1244-1245 (1968)</i>	23
a. Pursuing Self-interest as a Pathway to an Improved Environment	25
• Ridley, M. and Low, B. S., "Can Selfishness Save the Environment?," <i>The Atlantic Monthly</i> , pp. 76-86, - September (1993)	25
b. Moral Appeal	28
• Durnil, G. K., "A Conservative Speaks," <i>Rachel's Environment and Health Weekly</i> , no. 424, January 12 (1995) i	28
3. Pollution as an Economic Problem	29
• Ruff, Larry, "The Economic Common Sense of Pollution," from <i>Economics of the Environment</i> , pp. 3-19 (1972)	30
• Ashford, N. and Heaton, G., "Environmental, and Safety Regulation: Reasons for their Adoption and Possible Effects on Technological Innovation," <i>Environmental Policy and Law</i> , vol. I, pp. 171-172 (1975/76)	33
• Morgan, Granger, "Risk Management Should Be About Efficiency and Equity," from <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , pp. 32A—34A, January 1 (2000)	34
4. Limits to Growth	35
C. Pollution and Accident Control, Mitigation, and Prevention	41
D. The Focus of Traditional Environmental Law	42
E. Beyond Pollution and Accident Control and Prevention: Sustainable Production and Products	43
Nature and Assessment of the Harm	45
A. Life Cycle Analysis and the Biological Impact Pathway	45
• Ashford, N. A., Hattis, D. B., Heaton, G. R, Katz, J.I., Priest, W. C. and Zolt, E. M., "Life Cycle Models for the Production, Use, and Disposal of Chemicals," from <i>Evaluating Chemical Regulations:</i>	

	<i>Trade-off Analysis and Impact Assessment for Environmental Decision Making, pp. 19-25 (1980)</i>	47
	• <i>Ashford, N. A., Hattis, D. B., Heaton, G. R, Katz, J.I., Priest, W. C. and Zolt, E. M., "The Biological Impact Pathway," from Evaluating Chemical Regulations: Trade-off Analysis and Impact Assessment for Environmental Decision Making, pp. 20, 22-25 (1980)</i>	48
B.	Environmental and Ecosystem Degradation	50
C.	Human Health Risks	51
1.	Classical Categorization: The Dose Makes the Poison	51
a.	Exposure and Dose	51
b.	Dose-Effect and Dose-Response Relationships	52
	• <i>Ashford; N. A., "Exposure to Toxic Materials and Carcinogens," from Crisis in the Workplace, pp. 115-124 (1976)</i>	52
	• <i>Ashford, N A., Hattis, D. B., Heaton, G. R, Katz, J. I, Priest, W. C. and Zolt, E. M., "Relating Exposure to Damage,"from Evaluating Chemical Regulations: Trade-off Analysis and Impact Assessment for Environmental Decision Making, pp. 5-25 to 5-27 (1980)</i>	58
c.	Categorization of Health Effects Resulting from Exposures to Chemicals	61
	• <i>Ashford, N A., Hattis, D. B., Heaton, G. R, Katz, J. I, Priest, W. C. and Zolt, E. M., "Taxonomy of Biological Effects with Different Dose-Response Implications,"from Evaluating Chemical Regulations: Trade-off Analysis and Impact Assessment, for Environmental Decision Making, pp. 5-27 to 5-31 (1980)</i>	62
d.	In vitro Studies	64
e.	Structure-Activity Relationships	66
2.	Multistage Disease Processes: The Dose Plus the Host Makes the Harm	66
a.	Endocrine Disruption	67
	• <i>Environmental Protection Agency, "Overview of the Endocrine Disruptor Issue,"from Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program: Report to Congress (2000)</i>	67

b. Low-Level Chemical Sensitivity	•	69
• Ashford, Nicholas A., "Low-Level Chemical Sensitivity: Implications for Research and Social Policy," from <i>Toxicology and Industrial Health: Special Issues on Multiple Chemical Sensitivity</i> , 15(3-4), pp. 421-427, April-June (1999)		69
c. Toxicant-Induced Loss of Tolerance: A New Theory of Disease?		73
• Ashford, Nicholas A., and Miller, C. S., "Low-Level Exposures to Chemicals Challenge Both Science and Regulatory Policy," from <i>Environmental Science and Technology</i> , pp. 508A-509A, November (1998)		73
d. Cancer, Repair Mechanisms, and Hormesis		75
D. The Basics (and Limitations) of Risk Assessment		77
1. Risk Assessment Methodology		77
• Lleyvaert, Veerle, "What Is Risk Assessment?," from <i>Reconceptualizing Risk Assessment</i> , <i>Reciel</i> 8(2): 135-143 (1999)		11
• Masters, G. M., "Risk Assessment," from <i>Introduction to Environmental Engineering and Science</i> , p. 117 et seq. (1998)		78
2. The Limits of Risk Assessment	~	100
• Heyvaert, Veerle, " [The].. Trouble with Risk Assessment," from <i>Reconceptualizing Risk Assessment</i> , <i>Reciel</i> 8(2): 135-143 (1999)		100
3. Epidemiology		102
• Monson, Richard, " Epidemiology and Risk," from <i>Chemical Risk Assessment and Occupational Health</i> , pp. 39-41 (1994)		102
• Teta, M. Jane, "Epidemiology in Occupational Health Risk Assessment," from <i>Chemical Risk Assessment and Occupational Health</i> , pp. 57-66 (1994)		104
• Needleman, Carolyn, "Applied Epidemiology and Environmental Health: Emerging Controversies," <i>American Journal of Infection Control</i> 25(3): 262-274(1997)		110
E. Scientific Uncertainty, Values, and Implications for Policy: Can a "Safe" Level of Exposure be Unequivocally Determined?		121

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Ashford, N. A. and Miller, C. S., "Public Policy Response," Environmental Science and Technology 508A-509A, November (1998)</i> • <i>Ashford, N. A., "Science and. Values: Can They Be Separated," Statistical Science 3(3): 377-383 August (1988)</i> 	<p>122</p> <p>123</p>
Economics and the Environment	127
A. Introduction	128
B. The Economic Value of Environmental Amenities, Resources, and Quality	129
C. Market Imperfections as the Basis for Government Intervention	131
1. Externalities	132
2. Imperfect Information	135
3. Imperfect Competition	137
4. Market-Related Inequities and Injustices	138
D. Economic Efficiency and the Technological Dynamic	140
E. The Use of Cost-Benefit Analysis as a Means of Evaluating and Designing Options for Environmental Regulation	147
1. Problems in Estimating Environmental Policy Benefits	151
a. Valuing Health-Related Benefits	151
b. Valuing Environmental Resources and Amenities	153
2. Problems in Estimating Environmental Policy Costs: Static versus Dynamic Assumptions About Technological Responses	156
3. Problems in Selecting the Discount Rate	158
4. Problems of Equity and Ethics	161
5. Misuses and Abuses of Cost-Benefit Analysis	163
6. The Value of Transparency	166
7. Trade-off Analysis as an Alternative to Cost-Benefit Analysis	168
F. Prioritizing Environmental Problems Within and Among Different Problem Areas	169
1. Perceptual and Political Influences on Risk-Based Priority Setting	170
2. The Inherent Nonuniformity in Priority Setting	171
G. Law and Economics as Competing Frameworks for Environmental Decision Making: The Polluter Pays Principle and the Precautionary Principle	174
H. Schools of Economic Discourse and Policy Formulation	180
References	182

Addressing Pollution Through the Tort System	189
A. Introduction	190
1. The Common Law: Court-Made Law (and Policy)	190
2. The Relationship Between the Common Law and Statutory Law	193
B. The Tort System	196
1. The Basic Functions of Tort Law	196
2. The Available Remedies	199
a. Monetary Damages	199
b. Injunctive Relief	205
3. The Central Elements of a Tort Claim	206
a. In General	206
b. Tort Suits Against the Government	209
C. The Four Classic Environmental Tort Claims	210
1. Negligence	210
2. Nuisance	213
a. Private Nuisance	213
b. Public Nuisance	215
3. Trespass	217
4. Strict Liability for Abnormally Dangerous Activity	218
D. Products Liability Claims	221
• <i>Beshada v. Johns-Manville Products Corp.</i> , 447 A.2d 539 (N.J. 1982)	223
E. The Technology-Forcing Potential of Tort Law	227
• <i>The T. J. Hooper</i> 60 F.2d 737 (2d Cir. 1932)	227
F. Drawbacks to Using the Tort System as a Pollution Reduction Tool	228
1. The Financial Investment Necessary to Mount a Credible Case	228
2. The Difficulty in Moving Beyond Current Industry Practice	229
3. The Difficulty in Proving Proximate Causation	230
4. The Difficulty in Presenting "Novel" Scientific or Engineering Testimony	232
5. The Lengthy Delay Between Causation and Remedy	233
6. The Difficulty in Securing an Injunction Against Ongoing Industrial Activity	233
• <i>Boomer et al v. Atlantic Cement Company, Inc.</i> , 26 N. Y.2d 219, 257 N.E.2d 870 (1970)	234

Administrative Law: The Roles of Congress, the President, the Agencies, and the Courts in Shaping Environmental Policy	24]
A. Questions to Consider when Analyzing a Regulatory Framework	242
B. The Constitutional Basis for Health, Safety, and Environmental Regulation	244
1. Direction from the Legislative Branch	244
a. The Substantive Statutory Mandate	244
b. The Commerce Clause	245
c. The "Regulatory Takings" Issue	247
d. The Delegation Doctrine	249
e. The Procedural Mandate	252
f. Interpreting the Statutory Mandate	257
g. Statutory Amendment and Informal Controls	258
h. Federal Regulatory Authority and the States	259
• <i>New York v. United States, 505 U.S. 144 (1992)</i>	260
2. Direction from the Executive Branch	267
• <i>Schultz, William B and Vladeck, David C, "An Obstacle to Public Safety," The Washington Post, May 10, 1988, p. 20</i>	268
3. Direction from the Judicial Branch	273
• <i>Ferguson, J. H. and McHenry, D. E., "Constitutional Courts," from The American System of Government, pp. 441-453 (1981)</i>	273
• <i>Llewellyn, K. N., "This Case System: What to Do with the Cases," from The Bramble Bush, pp. 41-45 (1981)</i>	280
• <i>Baker, Russell "Lawyers for Cars," The New York Times, June 8 (1983)</i>	283
C. Administrative Rulemaking	285
1. The Distinction between Rulemaking, Adjudication, and Enforcement	285
2. A General Look at Rulemaking under the Administrative Procedure Act	285
3. Negotiated Rulemaking	287
• <i>Susskind, Lawrence and McMahon, Gerard, "The Theory and Practice of Negotiated Rulemaking," from Yale Journal on Regulation 133 (1985)</i>	288
• <i>Caldart, Charles C. and Ashford, Nicholas A., "Negotiation as a Means of Developing and Implementing Environmental and Occupational</i>	

	<i>Health and Safety Policy," from Harvard Environmental Law Review 141 (1999)</i>	296
D.	Citizen and Corporate Access to the Administrative Process	312
	1. Initiation of Rulemaking	313
	2. Access to Agency Proceedings and Records	313
	3. Access to Advisory Committees	314
	4. Access to the Courts	315
	5. Monetary Limitations on the Availability of Review	320
	6. Bypassing the Agency: Citizen Enforcement Through the Private Right of Action	321
E.	The Role of the Courts in Reviewing Agency Decision Making	321
	1. Five Judicial Limitations on Agency Authority	322
	2. The Scope of Factual Review	322
	• <i>Motor Vehicle Manufacturers' Association v. Stale Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, 463 U.S. 29(1983)</i>	325
	3. Judicial Review of Agency Decisions Not to Act	330
F.	Two General Environmental Mandates to Agencies: The National Environmental Policy Act and the Endangered Species Act	331
	1. The National Environmental Policy Act	331
	a. The Environmental Impact Statement	332
	b. The Council on Environmental Quality	335
	2. The Endangered Species Act	338
	The Clean Air Act and the Regulation of Stationary Sources	343
A.	Origins and Overview of the Clean Air Act	345
	1. Origins	345
	2. Structure and Overview of the Clean Air Act	347
B.	The National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants	349
	• <i>Lead Industries Association, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency, 647 F.2d 1130 (D.C. Cir. 1980)</i>	352.
	• <i>American Petroleum Institute v. Coste, 665 F.2d 1176 (D.C. Cir. 1981)</i>	369
	• <i>American Lung Association v. Environmental Protection Agency, 884 F. Supp. 345 (D. Arizona 1994)</i>	377
	• <i>American Trucking Associations, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency, 175 F.3d 1027 (D.C. Cir. 1999)</i>	381

	• <i>Environmental Protection Agency v. American Trucking Associations, Inc.</i> 531 U.S. 457 (2001)	389
C. State Implementation Plans	-	396
	• <i>Union Electric Co. v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> , 427 U.S. 246 (1976)	397
D. Additional Regulation of Stationary Sources		401
1. Section 111 Standards		401
a. New Source Performance Standards		401
i. In General		401
ii. What Is a "New" or "Major Modified" Source?		402
	• <i>Portland Cement Association v. Ruckelshaus</i> , 486 F.2d 375 (D.C. Cir. 1973)	405
iii. Alternative Standard-Setting Criteria		408
b. Designated Pollutants		409
2. Additional Emission Standards Designed to Achieve or Maintain Ambient Air Quality Standards: Nonattainment and the Prevention of Significant Deterioration		410
a. Nonattainment Policy		411
i. In General		411
ii. Specific Requirements for Ozone, CO, and Participates		412
b. Nondegradation Policy (Prevention of Significant Deterioration)		413
i. In General		413
ii. Specific Requirements		414
c. The Applicability of the Bubble Policy in Nonattainment and PSD Areas		416
	• <i>Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984)	416
3. Visibility Protection	•	423
	• <i>Central Arizona Water Conservation District v. United States Environmental Protection Agency</i> , 990 F.2d 1531 (9th Cir. 1993)	424
4. Acid Rain Controls and the SO ₂ Allowance Trading System		428
5. Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants		430
a. Section 112 Before the 1990 Amendments		430
	• <i>Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> , 824 F.2d 1146 (D.C. Cir. 1987)	431
b. Section 112 After the 1990 Amendments		443

i.	Designation of Specific Hazardous Air Pollutants	•	443
ii.	Distinguishing Between "Major" and "Area" Sources		444
	• <i>National Mining Association v. Environmental Protection Agency, 59 F.3d 1351 (D.C. Cir. 1995)</i>		444
iii.	Specific Emission Standards According to a Specified Schedule		448
iv.	Hazardous Air Pollution Offsets		455
v.	Reporting and Prevention of Accidental Chemical Releases		456
6.	Enforcement and the Title VI Operating Permits		456
	The Regulation of Mobile Sources Under the Clean Air Act		461
A.	Our Ongoing Love Affair with the Automobile		463
B.	The Downside of Automobility		465
C.	The Emergence of Air Pollution Legislation for Mobile Sources		468
D.	The 1970 Clean Air Act Amendments		469
1.	Emission Standards for New Motor Vehicles		471
a.	Limits Set by Congress		471
	• <i>International Harvester Co., et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency, 478 F.2d 615 (D.C. Cir. 1973)</i>		473
b.	Additional Limits Set by EPA		490
	• <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Environmental Protection Agency, 655 F.2d 318 (D.C. Cir. 1981)</i>		491
c.	Federal Preemption (and the California Exception)		503
2.	Regulation of Fuel Content—Product Ban as Technology Forcing		504
	• <i>Ethyl Corp. v. Environmental Protection Agency, 541 F.2d 1 (D.C. Cir. 1976)</i>		505
3.	Transportation Controls and Inspection and Maintenance Programs		523
E.	The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990		526
1.	Tier I Standards		529
2.	Tier II Standards—Uniform Standards for Light-Duty Cars, Trucks, and SUVs		530
3.	New Measures Regulating Gasoline		532
4.	Encouraging the Use of Alternative Fuels		534
5.	Diesel Sulfur, Heavy-Duty Engine, Heavy-Duty Vehicle Rule		536
6.	Emissions Rule for Nonroad Engines		538
7.	The Mobile Source Air Toxics Program		540

F. Regulation of Fuel Efficiency	541
G. Regulation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions	545
• <i>Massachusetts, et al v. Environmental Protection Agency, 127 S. Ct. 1438 (2007)</i>	547
H. Beyond the Traditional Internal Combustion Engine	568
1. The New Breed of ICEs	569
2. Electric-Drive Vehicles	572
I. The Legacy of the Clean Air Act	576

Protection of Surface Waters, Wetlands, and Drinking Water: The Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act **579**

A. The Clean Water Act: Regulation of Point Source Discharges of Pollutants to Surface Waters, Wetlands, and Sewage Treatment Plants	582
1. The History and Development of the Federal Regulations	582
a. The 1972 Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments	583
i. Setting the National Goals	584
ii. The Absolute Prohibition Against Nonconforming Discharges	585
iii. Broad (but Shrinking?) Definition of Navigable Waters	587
• <i>Rapanos v. United States, 126 S. Ct. 2208 (2006)</i>	588
iv. The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit	604
v. National Technology-Based Effluent Limits for Industry	605
vi. Flealth-Based Effluent Limits for Toxic Pollutants, Set on a Pollutant-by-Pollutant Basis	606
vii. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations and Public Financing for Public Sewage Treatment Plants	607
viii. Federal Pretreatment Standards for Discharges into Municipal Sewage Treatment Plants	607
ix. Ambient Water Quality Standards Set by the States	608
x. Data Generation and Data Disclosure	608
xi. A Strong Emphasis on Public Participation	609
xii. State Involvement in Implementation and Enforcement	611
b. The 1977 Clean Water Act Amendments	612
i. The Division of Pollutants into Three Categories	612
ii. Technology-Based Effluent Limits for Toxic Pollutants	613

•	<i>Wyche, Bradford W., "The Regulation of Toxic Pollutants Under the Clean Water Act: EPA's Ten-Year Rulemaking Nears Completion,"</i> from 15	
•	<i>Natural Resources Lawyer</i> 511 (1983)	614
iii.	Relaxation of Deadlines	618
c.	The 1987 Water Quality Act Amendments	619
i.	A Renewed Emphasis on Water Quality-Based Limitations for Toxic Pollutants	619
ii.	An Increased Emphasis on Penalties	620
iii.	Relaxation of Deadlines	620
2.	The Technology-Based Effluent Limitations in Detail	620
a.	Industrial Source Limitations in General	621
•	<i>Dupont v. Train, 430 U.S. 112 (1977)</i>	621
•	<i>EPA v. ^National Crushed Stone Association, 449 U.S. 64 (1980)</i>	633
b.	First-Tier Limitations for Existing Sources: BPT	643
c.	Second-Tier Limitations for Existing Sources: BCT and BAT	643
d.	Limitations for New Sources: BADT	646
e.	Limitations for Public Sewage Treatment Plants	648
3.	Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations	648
a.	The State and Federal Roles in Setting and Revising Ambient Water Quality Standards	649
b.	The Mechanics of Establishing Water Quality Standards	650
c.	Translating Ambient Standards into Effluent Limitations	653
i.	The Total Maximum Daily Load	653
ii.	The Section 304(1) Program for "Toxic Hot Spots"	655
iii.	The Mechanics of Establishing Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations	656
d.	Whole Effluent Toxicity Standards	657
4.	The Permitting Process in Detail	658
5.	Regulation of Stormwater Discharges	661
6.	Limitations on Discharges to Public Sewage Treatment Plants	661
7.	Regulation of Toxic Chemicals in Sewage Sludge	664
B.	The Clean Water Act: Protection of Surface Waters and Wetlands from Nonpoint Source Pollution	664
C.	The Clean Water Act: Protection of Surface Waters and Wetlands from the Discharge of Dredged and Fill Material	668
1.	The Section 404 Permit Program	670
2.	The Application of the Section 404 Program to Wetlands	671

D. The Protection of Public Water Systems: The Safe Drinking Water Act	674
1. The City of New Orleans and the Origins of the Safe Drinking Water Act •	675
2. The 1974 Act: Establishing the Framework	676
a. Coverage: Public Water Systems	677
b. Federal Standards: Primary and Secondary Drinking Water Standards	677
c. Notification to Consumers	680
d. State Implementation	680
e. Regulation of Underground Injection Wells	681
3. The 1986 Amendments: Mandating the Maximum Contaminant Levels	682
• <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA, 824 F.2d 1211 (D. C. Cir. 1987)</i>	682
4. The 1996 Amendments: Scaling Back	689

Regulation of Hazardous Wastes: RCRA, CERCLA, and Hazardous Waste Facility Siting **693**

A. Overview	694
1. Nowhere to Hide: The Relationship Between Hazardous Waste Regulation and Pollution Prevention	694
2. Activist Suburbs: The Revitalization of the Environmental Movement and the Resulting Difficulty in Siting Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities	696
3. The "Breakthrough" that Wasn't: Constitutional Limitations on Local Control of Hazardous Waste Shipment and Treatment	699
• <i>Chemical Waste Management, Inc., v. Guy Hunt, Governor of Alabama, et al, 504 U.S. 334 (1992)</i>	699
B. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (Solid Waste Disposal Act)	708
1. The History and Development of the Federal Regulations	708
2. The Broad Impact: A Federal "Tax" on Hazardous Waste Generation	711
3. The Regulated Materials: Solid and Hazardous Waste	711
a. Solid Waste	712
b. Hazardous Waste	713
i. Characteristic Waste	713
ii. Criteria (Listed) Waste	713

iii.	RCRA Definition Waste	•	714
iv.	State Definition Waste		714
v.	Ash Generated by Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators		714
	• <i>City of Chicago v. Environmental Defense Fund, 511 U.S. 328 (1994)</i>		715
4.	The "Cradle-to-Grave" System for Hazardous Waste		720
a.	Notification and Identification	"	720
b.	The Manifest System		720
c.	The Permit Program		720
d.	Performance and Monitoring Standards		721
5.	The "Land Ban" and the Hazardous Waste Treatment Standards		724
a.	A Shift in the Burden of Proof		725
b.	The BDAT Standards		725
	• <i>American Petroleum Institute v. United States EPA, 906 F.2d 729 (D. C. Cir. 1990)</i>		726
6.	Standards for Hazardous Waste Incinerators		738
7.	Corrective Action and the CAMU		740
8.	Underground Storage Tanks		742
9.	The Regulation of Other Solid Waste		743
10.	The Citizen Suit and Imminent and Substantial Endangerment Provisions		743
	• <i>Dague v. City of Burlington, 935 F.2d 1343 (2d Cir. 1991)</i>		744
C.	The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (the Superfund Law)		749
1.	Looking Both Ways: The Policy Impact of CERCLA		749
2.	The President's Authority to Take, Order, or Contract for the Performance of Cleanup Action under CERCLA		751
a.	Section 104		751
b.	Section 106		752
3.	Standardization and Prioritization		753
a.	The National Contingency Plan		753
b.	The National Priorities List		753
4.	The Nature of Response Actions Under CERCLA		754
a.	Removal Action		754
b.	Remedial Action		754
i.	The Steps of a Remedial Action		755
ii.	Cleanup Standards		755
5.	The Hazardous Substance Superfund		757

6.	The Liability of Responsible Parties to Pay the Cost of Response Action	758
a.	Who Is Liable?	758
b.	Defenses to Liability	760
c.	The Nature of the Liability	761
•	<i>U.S. v. Hercules, Inc., 247 F.3d 706 (8th Cir. 2001)</i>	762
7.	The Special Program for Remediating Federal Facilities	767
8.	The Search for Innovative Cleanup Technologies	767
9.	The Liability of Responsible Parties to Pay for Damage to Natural Resources	769
10.	The Citizen's Role	769
11.	Monetary Damages for Personal Injury and Damage to Private Property	770
	1'	
10	The Right to Know: Mandatory Disclosure of Information Regarding Chemical Risks	771
A.	Worker Right to Know	772
1.	The OSH Act	775
2.	TSCA	777
3.	The NLRA	778
B.	Community Right to Know	780
1.	EPCRA Reporting Generally	781
2.	Chemical Release Reporting Under the TRI Program	784
C.	Community Right to Know as a Spur to Risk Reduction	788
•	<i>Karkkainen, Bradley C, "Information as Environmental Regulation: TRI and Performance Benchmarking, Precursor to a New Paradigm?," Georgetown Law Journal 257 (2001)</i>	789
11	Enforcement: Encouraging Compliance with Environmental Statutes	807
A.	Overview	807
B.	Theories of Enforcement: Compliance, Deterrence, and Restitution	808
C.	The Enforcers and their Roles	809
D.	Enforcement in Practice: The Proverbial Nuts and Bolts	810
1.	Monitoring, Reporting, and Record-Keeping Requirements	810
2.	Inspections	810
•	<i>Marshall v. Barlow's Inc., 436 U.S. 307 (1978)</i>	810
3.	Injunctions	816

	• <i>Weinberger, Secretary of Defense, et al v. Romero-Barcelo et al</i> , 456 U.S. 305 (1982)	816
	• <i>United States Public Interest Research Group, et al v. Atlantic Salmon of Maine, LLC, et al</i> , 339 F.3d 23 (1st Cir. 2003)	827
4.	Penalties	834
5.	Settlements	836
6.	Enforcement Actions Against the Government	838
E.	The Special Rules Governing Citizen Enforcement	838
1.	Article III Principles: Standing and Mootness	838
	• <i>Gwalmey of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Inc., et al</i> , 484 U.S. 49 (1987)	841
	• <i>Friends of the Earth, Inc., et al v. Laidlaw Environmental Services (TOC), Inc.</i> , 528 U.S. 167 (2000)	852
2.	The Relationship between Citizen Enforcement and Government Oversight	873
3.	Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP Suits)	876
12	Alternative Forms of Government Intervention to Promote Pollution Reduction	879
A.	Direct Controls	884
	• <i>Ashford, Nicholas A., Ayers, Christine and Stone, Robert F., "Using Regulation to Change the Market for Innovation," from Harvard Environmental Law Review (No. 2), pp. 419-466 (1985)</i>	885
B.	Indirect Controls I: "Negative" Incentives	891
1.	Emissions Charges	893
2.	Tradable Emission Permits	900
a.	Types of Permit Systems	901
b.	Initial Allocation of Permits	902
c.	Other Factors that Influence Trading	903
d.	History, Evidence, and Analysis of Effectiveness of Emissions Trading	905
	• <i>Burtraw, Dallas and Mansur, "Environmental Effects of SO_i Trading and Banking," Environmental Science and Technology 33(20): 3489-3494 (1999)</i>	905
	• <i>Ellerman, A. Denny, "Are Cap and Trade Programs More Effective in Meeting Environmental Goals than</i>	

	<i>Command-and-Control Alternatives?," Charles Kolstad and Jody Freeman (eds.), pp. 48-62 (2006)</i>	907
C.	Indirect Controls II: "Positive" Incentives	913
1.	Economic Subsidies	914
2.	The Coordination of Tax Policy and Environmental Policy	916
3.	Other "Positive" Instruments: Information Sharing, Technical Assistance, and Government Purchasing Practices	917
	• <i>Environmental Protection Agency, "Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Program," EPA Report 742-R-99-001, June 1999</i>	918
D.	Liability Standards	920
	• <i>Ashford, Nicholas A. and Stone, Robert F., "Liability, Innovation, and Safety in the Chemical Industry," from <i>The Liability Maze</i>, pp. 392-402 (1991)</i>	921
E.	"Voluntary" Initiatives and Negotiation-Based Strategies	927
1.	Overview of Voluntary Initiatives	928
	• <i>Carraro, Carlo and Leveque, Francois, "The Rationale and Potential of Voluntary Approaches," from <i>Voluntary Approaches in Environmental Policy</i>, Kluwer, pp. 1-15 (1999)</i>	928
2.	Public Voluntary Programs: The EPA Experience	934
3.	Unilateral Commitments	936
	• <i>Coglianesi, Cary and Nash, Jennifer, "Toward a Management-Based Environmental Policy?," from <i>Regulating from the Inside</i>, pp. 222-234 (2001)</i>	936
a.	Self-Enforcement, Environmental Management Systems, and Industry Codes	936
	• <i>Gouldson, A. and Murphy, J., "Voluntary Regulation and the European Union's Eco-Management and Audit System," from <i>Regulatory Realities</i>, pp. 54-69 (1998)</i>	942
b.	Industrial Ecology as a Special Example of Unilateral Commitments	948
	• <i>Ashford, N. A., "Overview of the Special Issue on Industrial Ecology," from <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>, 5(1/2), pp. i-iv (1997)</i>	949
4.	Negotiated Outcomes	951
	• <i>Ashford, N. A., and Caldart, Charles C., "Negotiated Regulation, Implementation and</i>	

	<i>Compliance in the United States," from The Handbook of Voluntary Agreements, pp. 135—159 (2005)</i>	951
	• <i>Caldart, Charles C. and Ashford, N. A., "Negotiation as a Means of Developing and Implementing Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Policy," from Harvard Environmental Law Review 141 (1999)</i>	953
References		962
13	Policies to Promote Pollution Prevention and Inherent Safety	967
A.	Background	968
	1. The Limits of Traditional Pollution Control and the Emergence of Pollution Prevention	968
	• <i>Strasser, Kurt, "Cleaner Technology, Pollution Prevention and Environmental Regulation," Fordham Environmental Law Journal 1 (1997)</i>	970
	2. The Winds of Change: Dissatisfaction with End-of-Pipe Regulatory Approaches	975
	• <i>Ashford, N. A., "Pollution Prevention: A New Ethic or New Rhetoric?," from Environmental Strategies for Industry: International Perspectives on Research Needs and Policy Implications, pp. 277-307 (1993)</i>	976
	3. Industrial Ecology as a Competing Paradigm to Pollution Prevention	978
	• <i>Oldenburg, K. and Geiser, K. "Pollution Prevention and ... or Industrial Ecology?," from Journal of Cleaner Production 5(2): 103-108 (1997)</i>	978
B.	Chemical Accident Prevention and Its Relationship to Pollution Prevention	986
	1. The Nature of Chemical Accidents	987
	• <i>Ashford, Nicholas A., Gobhell, James Victor, Lachman, Judith, Matthiesen, Minzner, Mary Ann and Stone, Robert, "The Encouragement of Technological Change for Preventing Chemical Accidents: Moving Firms from Secondary Prevention and Mitigation to Primary Prevention," Report to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Center for Technology, Policy and Industrial Development at MIT (July 1993)</i>	987

2. Chemical Safety and Accident Prevention: Inherent Safety and Inherently Safer Production	998
• <i>Ashford, Nicholas A. and Zwetsloot, Gerard, "Encouraging Inherently Safer Production in European Firms: A Report from the Field," Journal of Hazardous Materials, 78(1-3): 123-144 (1999)</i>	999
3. The Enhanced Need for Inherent Safety after 9/11	1005
C. Moving from Characterizing Problems and Assessing Risk to Finding Technology-Based Solutions	1009
1. The Technology Options Analysis	1009
2. The U.S. Experience	1011
3. The European Experience: The Cleaner Technology Movement and Ecological Modernization	1012
D. U.S. and European Union Legislation Focusing on Pollution and Accident Prevention	1014
1. The Pollution Prevention Act	1014
2. The Chemical Safety Provisions of the Clean Air Act	1017
a. General Provisions	1017
b. OSHA's Process Safety Management Standard	1019
c. EPA's Risk Management Plan Regulation	1020
3. The Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Act	1021
• <i>Karkkainen, Bradley C, "Information as Environmental Regulation: TRI and Performance Benchmarking, Precursor to a New Paradigm?," Georgetown Law Journal 257 (2001)</i>	1022
• <i>Coglianesse, Cary and Nash, Jemmifer, "Policy Options for Improving Environmental Management," Environment 44(9): 13-22 (2002)</i>	1024
4. Coordinating Accident Prevention with Pollution Prevention	1027
5. European Union Legislation	1028
a. The Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive	1028
b. The Seveso Directives	1028
E. Using Traditional Environmental Statutes to Encourage Pollution and Accident Prevention	1029
1. Stringent Standards	1030
2. Innovation Waivers	1030
• <i>Monsanto Company v. Environmental Protection Agency, 19 F.3d 1201 (7th Cir. 1994)</i>	1031
3. EPA's Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) Program	1033

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Caldart, Charles C. and Ashford, Nicholas A., "Negotiation as a Means of Developing and Implementing Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Policy," Harvard Environmental Law Review 141 (1999)</i> 	1033
F.	Worker and Citizen Involvement in Pollution Prevention and Technology Choices	1035
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Ashford, Nicholas A., "Industrial Safety: The Neglected Issue in Industrial Ecology," Journal of Cleaner Production, Special Issue on Industrial Ecology, 5(1/2): i-iv, March-June (1997)</i> 	1036
	References	1040
14	Epilogue—Beyond Pollution Control and Prevention: Sustainable Development	1043
	A. The Unsustainable Industrial State	1044
	B. Conceptualizations of Sustainable Development	1046
	C. Incremental Change by Incumbent Firms Is Inadequate for Achieving Sustainability	1048
	D. The Role of Government	1050
	About the Authors	1053
	Index of Cases	1055
	Subject Index	1061