The Uses of Argument

Updated Edition

STEPHEN E. TOULMIN

University of Southern California

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

Pref	ace to the	Updated Edit	ion	'				p	<i>age</i> vii
Pref	face to the	Paperback Ed	lition						xi
Prej	face to the	First Edition							xiii
	Introduc	ction							1
I.	Fields of <i>The Phase</i>	f Argument es of an Argun	and Mo	odals					11 ' 15
	Impossibi	littes	ard	ıd		1	Improprieties Criteria		21
	The Question:	Field-Depen s for the Agend	dence la	of	0	ur	Standards	3	28 3 36
II.	Probabil	ity		•					41
	I Know, I	Promise, Prob	ably						44
	'ImprobableBut True'								4.9
	Improper Claims and Mistaken Claims								
	The-Labyrinth of Probability								57
	Probabili	ity	and	ł			Expectation		61
	Probabili	ity-Relations		and			Probabilification		66
	/\$ the Word. 'Probability'Ambiguous?								69
	Probability-Theory			and		Psychology			77
	The	Developmen	t o	f	Our		Probability-Concept	5	82
III.	The Lay	yout of Argu	ments						87
	77jg Pattern of an Argument: Data and Warrants								89
	The Pattern of an Argument: Backing Our Warrants								95
	Ambiguities in the Syllogism								100
	The.Notion of 'Universal Premisses'								105
	The Notion of Formal Validity								no

Contents

	Analytic	and Substantial A	rguments				114		
	The	Peculiarities	of	Ana	lytic	Arguments	118		
	Some	Cruci	ial		125				
	The	Perils		of	Si	mplicity	131		
IV.	Workin	g Logic and Idea	alised L	ogic			135		
	An Hypo	thesis and Its Con.	sequence	<i>s</i>			136		
	The Veri	fication of This Hy	pothesis				143		
	The Irrel	ne Irrelevance of Analytic Criteria							
	Logical l	Modalities				,	156		
Logic as a System of Eternal Truths									
	System-Building and Systematic Necessity								
V.	The Or	igins of Epistem	ological	Theory	7		195		
	Further	Consequenc	es	of	Our	Hypothesis	201		
	Can Sub	endentalism	206						
	Can Sub	stantial Argument:	s be Rede	emed? II	: Phenon	nenalism			
	and Scepticism Substantial Arguments Do Not Need Redeeming								
	The	Justificatio	n	of		Induction	217		
	Intuition and the Mechanism of Cognition								
	The	Irrelevance	of	the	Analy	rtic Ideal	228		
	Conclu	sion					233		
Ref	erences						239		
Ind	lex						241		