

Bjorn Schmolck 'L

Omitted Variable Tests and Dynamic Specification

An Application to Demand Homogeneity



Springer

Table of Contents

- 1. **Introduction**1
- 2. **The t-statistic under dynamic misspecification** 5
 - 2.1 The model5
 - 2.2 Properties of the estimators. 6
 - 2.3 The distribution of the quasi i-statistic. 10
 - 2.4 Invariance results. 14
 - 2.5 Monte Carlo experimentation. 14
 - 2.5.1 Simulating the distribution of the t-statistic. 15
 - 2.5.2 Presentation of the results. 17
- 3. **Consumer theory and the Rotterdam model** 23
 - 3.1 Commodity space and budget set 23
 - 3.2 Preferences, direct utility function and Marshallian demand.. 23
 - 3.3 Cost function and Hicksian demand 24
 - 3.4 The Rotterdam model 25
 - 3.5 The Rotterdam model in matrix form. 29
- 4. **Robust estimation** 31
 - 4.1 Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation. 31
 - 4.2 Estimation of the covariance matrix of the quasi-maximum likelihood estimator. 36
 - 4.2.1 Estimation of σ^2 if the errors are homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated 37
 - 4.2.2 Estimation of Σ if the errors are dependent and heterogeneous. 39
- 5. **Testing for homogeneity**. 47
 - 5.1 Anderson's U test if the errors are time-independent (LRU). 47
 - 5.2 Functional equivalence between the LRU and Laitinen's statistic 51
 - 5.3 Likelihood ratio test if the errors are VAR(p). 55
 - 5.3.1 The likelihood ratio test (LR). 55

5.3.2	Small sample corrected likelihood ratio test (LRC)....	57
5.3.3	A Monte Carlo test (LR-MC).....	59
5.4	The distribution of the <i>LRU</i> statistic under dynamic misspecification	61
5.5	The robust Wald test	71
5.6	Summary.....	73
6.	Monte Carlo experimentation	75
6.1	Data	75
6.2	The data-generating process.....	77
6.2.1	Definition of the data-generating processes.....	78
6.2.2	Computational aspects	80
6.3	Experiments.....	82
6.3.1	Estimating the test's true type I error by simulation ..	83
6.3.2	Bias of the misspecified <i>LRU</i> test	84
6.3.3	The small sample bias of the correctly specified likelihood ratio test	87
6.3.4	The small sample bias of the robust Wald test	89
6.3.5	Summary of the experimental design.....	90
6.4	Simulation results.....	93
6.4.1	Aspects of the presentation of the simulation results ..	93
6.4.2	Bias of the <i>LRU</i> test under dynamic misspecification..	96
6.4.3	The small sample bias of the correctly specified <i>LR</i> test	100
6.4.4	The small sample bias of the robust Wald test	104
6.4.5	Summary.....	111
7.	Conclusions.....	113
A.	Proof of proposition 5.4.....	115
B.	Data	119
C.	Values of the population parameters.....	127
D.	Algorithm for the MA(1) parameters.....	133
	List of Figures.....	135
	List of Tables.....	135
	Index	143