

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by the
UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES
COMMISSION

Volume 1

LONDON : PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1947

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ...	ix

THE CASES ;

1. THE PELEUS TRIAL

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	1
2. THE CHARGE	2
3. THE OPENING OF THE CASE BY THE PROSECUTOR	2
4. EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	3
5. OUTLINE OF THE DEFENCE	4
6. EVIDENCE BY THE ACCUSED HEINZ ECK, COMMANDER OF THE SUBMARINE	4
7. EXAMINATION OF THE DEFENCE WITNESS, CAPTAIN SCHNEE	6
8. EXAMINATION OF THE FOUR OTHER ACCUSED	7
9. CLOSING ADDRESS BY PROFESSOR WEGNER	7
10. THE CLOSING ADDRESSES OF THE OTHER DEFENDING COUNSEL...	9
11. THE CLOSING ADDRESS BY THE PROSECUTOR	10
12. SUMMING UP BY THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	11
13. THE VERDICT	12
14. THE SENTENCE	13

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION AND PROCEDURE	13
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
(i) The Absence of <i>Mens Rea</i>	14
(ii) The Defence of <i>Nulla Poena Sine Lege</i>	15
(iii) The Defence of Operational Necessity	15
(iv) The Plea of Superior Orders	
(1) The reference to the "Caroline" case	16
(2) The British <i>Manual of Military Law</i> and the plea of superior orders	18
(3) The case of the "Llandovery Castle"	19
(v) The Problem of Classification of War Crimes	20
(vi) The Awarding of Punishment	20

2. THE DOSTLER TRIAL

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	22
2. THE CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION	22
3. THE PLEA TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE MILITARY COMMISSION...	23

A.	OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS— <i>continued</i>	
4.	THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	25
5.	THE EVIDENCE	26
6.	THE ARGUMENTS OF THE DEFENCE AND REPLIES MADE BY THE PROSECUTION	
	(i) That the Deceased were not entitled to the Benefits of the Geneva Convention	26
	(ii) The Plea of Superior Orders	27
7.	THE VERDICT	29
8.	THE SENTENCE	29
B.	NOTES ON THE CASE	
1.	REGARDING THE PLEA TO THE JURISDICTION	29
2.	REGARDING THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	
	(i) The "Dover Castle" Case	31
	(ii) The United States Basic Field Manual and the Plea of Superior Orders	31
	(iii) The Application of the Führerbefehl to the facts of the Dostler Case	33

APPENDIX

The Text of the Führerbefehl as produced in the Trial	33
--------------------------------------------------------------	----

3. THE ALMELO TRIAL

A.	OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1.	THE COURT	35
2.	THE CHARGE	35
3.	THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	
	(i) The Prosecutor's Opening Speech	36
	(ii) The Evidence for the Prosecution	37
4.	THE CASE AND EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	
	(i) Outline of the Defence	37
	(ii) The Evidence of the Accused Georg Otto Sandrock	37
	(iii) The Evidence by the other three accused... ..	38
	(iv) The Defence witness, Kuckuk	38
	(v) The Closing Address for the Defence	38
5.	THE CLOSING ADDRESS FOR THE PROSECUTION	39
6.	THE SUMMING UP OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	
	(i) The Charge concerning the killing of Pilot-Officer Hood... ..	40
	(ii) The Charge concerning the killing of Bote van der Wal	41
7.	VERDICT AND SENTENCE	41

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION	41
2. RULES OF PROCEDURE AND RULES OF EVIDENCE	42
3. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
(i) The Problem of Collective Responsibility	43
(ii) Espionage and War Treason	43
(iii) The Plea of Superior Orders	44
(iv) "Superior Force"	44
(v) The Absence of <i>Mens Rea</i>	45

4. THE HADAMAR TRIAL

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. THE COURT	46
2. THE CHARGE	47
3. DIGEST OF THE EVIDENCE	
(i) The General Facts	47
(ii) The Accused Alfons Klein	48
(iii) The Accused Dr. Adolf Wahlmann	49
(iv) The Accused Ruoff and Willig	50
(v) The Accused Irmgard Huber	51
(vi) The Accused Adolf Merkle	51
(vii) The Accused Philipp Blum	51
4. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	51

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION	
(i) Jurisdiction of the Military Commission in United States Municipal Law	52
(ii) Jurisdiction of the Military Commission in International Law	52
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
(i) "Violations of International Law"	53
(ii) The Status of the Victims	53
(iii) The Status of the Accused... ..	53
(iv) Alleged Legality under German Law	54
(v) The Plea of Superior Orders	54
(vi) Coercion and Necessity	54

5. THE SCUTTLED U-BOATS CASE

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. THE COURT	55
2. THE CHARGE	55

	PAGE
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS— <i>continued</i>	
3. THE OPENING OF THE CASE BY THE PROSECUTOR	56
4. EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	57
5. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	59
6. THE EVIDENCE OF THE DEFENCE WITNESSES	60
7. THE CLOSING ADDRESS OF THE DEFENDING COUNSEL	62
8. THE CLOSING ADDRESS BY THE PROSECUTOR	63
9. SUMMING UP BY THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	63
10. THE VERDICT AND SENTENCE	64
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION AND PROCEDURE	
(i) Composition of the Court	65
(ii) The Language of the Court	65
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
(i) The Criminality of Violating the Terms of Surrender	
(a) Capitulation and Armistices in International Law ...	67
(b) Violation of the terms of Surrender viewed as War Crime	68
(c) The Instrument and Terms of Surrender	69
(ii) The <i>Mens Rea</i> of the Accused	69

6. THE JALUIT ATOLL CASE

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. THE COURT	71
2. THE CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION	72
3. THE ARGUMENTS USED BY THE PROSECUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION	72
4. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	
(i) The Plea to the Jurisdiction	73
(ii) The Defence of Superior Orders	74
5. THE ARGUMENTS OF THE PROSECUTION USED IN COUNTERING THE PLEAS OF THE DEFENCE	
(i) Concerning the Jurisdiction of the Court	75
(ii) Concerning the Defence of Superior Orders	75
6. THE EVIDENCE	76
7. THE VERDICT	76
8. THE SENTENCE	76

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. CONCERNING THE PLEA TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE COMMISSION

(i) The legal basis of the Commission	76
(ii) <i>Ex post facto</i> legislation	78
(iii) The legality of the rules applied in the trial	78
(iv) The venue of the trial	79

2. CONCERNING THE CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION	79
--------------------------------------------	----

7. THE DREIERWALDE CASE

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	81
2. THE CHARGE	81
3. THE CASE AND EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	82
4. THE CASE AND EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	83
5. THE VERDICT	83
6. THE SENTENCE	83

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. QUESTIONS OF PROCEDURE

(i) Application, in accordance with Section 128 of the Army Act, of Rules of Evidence followed in British Civil Courts	84
(ii) Exceptional Rules of Procedure permitted by the Royal Warrant	85

2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW

(i) Concerning the Choice of the Charge	85
(ii) Concerning the Legality of the Shooting of Prisoners while Attempting to Escape	86
(iii) Concerning the Sentence	87

8. THE ESSEN LYNCHING CASE

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	88
2. THE CHARGE	88
3. THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	89
4. THE EVIDENCE	90
5. THE VERDICT	90
6. THE SENTENCES	90

B. NOTES ON THE CASE	91
----------------------	----

9. THE ZYKLON B CASE

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	93
2. THE CHARGE	93
3. THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	94
4. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	95
5. THE OPENING STATEMENTS OF DEFENCE COUNSEL	
(i) Counsel for Tesch	96
(ii) Counsel for Weinbacher	96
(iii) Counsel for Drosihn	97
6. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	
(i) Dr. Tesch	97
(ii) Karl Weinbacher	97
(iii) Dr. Drosihn	98
(iv) The Remaining Defence Witnesses	98
7. THE CLOSING ADDRESSES OF THE DEFENCE COUNSEL	
(i) Counsel for Tesch	98
(ii) Counsel for Weinbacher	99
(iii) Counsel for Drosihn	100
8. THE PROSECUTOR'S CLOSING ADDRESS	100
9. THE SUMMING UP OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	101
10. THE VERDICT	102
11. THE SENTENCE	102

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. A QUESTION OF JURISDICTION: THE NATIONALITY OF THE VICTIMS	102
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
(i) The Crime Alleged	103
(ii) Civilians as War Criminals	103

ANNEX I.—BRITISH LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS BY MILITARY COURTS	105
----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

ANNEX II.—UNITED STATES LAW AND PRACTICE CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS BY MILITARY COMMISSIONS AND MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURTS	111
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume II
THE BELSEN TRIAL

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1947

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	ix
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	1

Part I. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

A. THE COURT	3
B. THE CHARGE	4
C. APPLICATION BY THE DEFENCE FOR THE SEVERING OF THE TWO CHARGES	5
D. APPLICATION BY THE DEFENCE FOR INDIVIDUAL TRIALS AGAINST INDIVIDUAL ACCUSED	6
E. THE OPENING OF THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	7
F. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	9
1. Brigadier H. L. Glyn Hughes, C.B.E., D.S.O., M.C. ..	9
2. Deposition of Lt.-Col. J. A. D. Johnston, R.A.M.C. ..	10
3. Captain D. A. Sington	10
4. Major A. L. Berney	10
5. Mr. Harold O. Le Druillenee	10
6. Dr. Ada Bimko	11
7. Sophia Litwinka	12
8. Cecilia Frommer	12
9. Anni Jonas	13
10. Dora Szafran	13
11. Helen Hammermasch	14
12. Ilona Stein	14
13. Abraham Glinowieski	15
14. Hanka Rozenwayg	15
15. Lidia Sunschein	16
16. Lt.-Col. S. G. Champion	17
17. Sgt. Dinsdale and Sgt. Higgs	18
18. Dr. Fritz Leo	18
19. Estera Guterman	19
20. Paula Synger	19
21. Rachla Koppel	19
22. Helene Klein	19
23. Dr. C. S. Bendel	20
24. Roman Sompolinski	21
25. Anita Lasker	21

	PAGE
26. Geria Zylberdukaten	22
27. Syncha Zamoski	22
28. Esther Wolgruth	22
29. Marcel Tuchmann	23
30. Ewa Gryka	23
31. Captain S. M. Stewart	23
32. Affidavits and other Statements	23
 G. THE OPENING OF THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	 37
 H. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	 39
1. Major G. A. J. Smallwood	39
2. Josef Kramer	39
3. Dr. Fritz Klein	41
4. Peter Weingartner	42
5. Georg Kraft	42
6. Franz Hoessler	42
7. Juana Borman	43
8. Elizabeth Volkenrath	44
9. Erika Schopf	45
10. Herta Ehlert	45
11. Jutta and Inga Madlung	46
12. Irma Grese	46
13. Ilse Forster	47
14. Hilde Lobauer	48
15. Josef Klippel	48
16. Paul Kreutzer	49
17. Emmi Sochtig	49
18. Emil Kltscho	49
19. Stefan Hermann	49
20. Oscar Schmitz	49
21. Karl Francioh	50
22. Affidavit of Raymond Dujeu	50
23. Ladislaw Gura	51
24. Fritz Mathes	51
25. C.S.M. J. Mallon	51
26. Johanne Therese Kurd	51
27. Gisela Koblischek	51
28. Otto Calesson	52
29. Karl Egersdorf	52
30. Anchor Pichen	52
31. Walter Otto	53
32. Franz Stofel	53
33. Heinrich Schreirer	53
34. Maria Scheirer	54
35. Wilhelm Dorr	54
36. Gertrud Neuman	54
37. Ilse Steinbusch	55

38. Erika Ceconi	55
39. Heinrich Brammer	55
40. Albert Tusch	55
41. Dr. Ernst Heinrich Schmidt	55
42. Dr. Alfred Kurzke	55
43. Erich Zoddel	56
44. Ignatz Schlomowicz	56
45. Deposition of Daniel Blicblau	57
46. Ilse Foster	57
47. Ida Forster	58
48. Klara Opitz	58
49. Charlotte Klein	58
50. Herta Bothe	59
51. Gertrud Rheinhold	59
52. Frieda Walter	59
53. Irene Haschke	60
54. Gertrud Fiest	60
55. Gertrud Sauer	60
56. Hilda Lisiewitz	61
57. Johanne Roth	61
58. Anna Hempel	61
59. Stanislaw Starotska	62
60. Anna Wojciechowska	64
61. Krystyna Janicka	64
62. Stanislaw Komsta	64
63. Sofia Nowogrodzke	64
64. Antoni Polanski	65
65. Ziegmund Krajewski	65
66. W. Rakoczy	65
67. Lt. M. Tatorizuk	65
68. Helena Kopper	65
69. Vladislav Ostrowski	67
70. D. Soloman	67
71. Medislaw Burgraf	67
72. J. Trzos	68
73. Antoni Aurdziejg	68
74. M. Andrzejewski	69
75. Hermann Muller	69
I. THE CLOSING OF THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	69
1. Colonel Smith's Closing Address on Behalf of all the Accused	69
2. Major Winwood's Closing Speech on Behalf of Kramer, Klein, Weingartner and Kraft	78
3. Major Munro's Closing Speech on Behalf of Hoessler, Borman, Volkenrath and Ehlert	81
4. Major Cranfield's Closing Address on Behalf of Klippel, Grese, Lobauer and Lothe	83

	PAGE
5. Captain Robert's Closing Address on Behalf of Schmitz and Francioh	88
6. Major Brown's Closing Address on Behalf of Mathes, Calesson and Egersdorf	91
7. Captain Fielden's Closing Address on Behalf of Pichen, Otto and Stofel	91
8. Captain Corbally's Closing Address on Behalf of Barsch, Schreirer, Dorr and Zoddel	93
9. Captain Neave's Closing Address on Behalf of Schlomowicz, Ilse Forster, Ida Forster and Klara Opitz	94
10. Captain Phillips's Closing Address on Behalf of Charlotte Klein, Bothe, Walter and Haschke	95
11. Captain Boyd's Closing Address on Behalf of Fiest, Sauer and Lisiewitz	98
12. Captain Munro's Closing Address on Behalf of Johanne Roth, Anna Hempel and Hildegard Habel	100
13. Lieutenant Jedrzejowicz's Closing Address on Behalf of Starotska, Polanski, Kopper, Ostrowski, Burgraf and Aurdzieg	101
J. THE CLOSING SPEECH FOR THE PROSECUTION	104
1. Remarks on the Charge Sheet	104
2. The Law Involved	104
3. The Facts Regarding Conditions in Auschwitz and Belsen	110
4. The Responsibility of Each Accused	112
K. THE SUMMING UP OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	117
L. THE VERDICT	121
M. SPEECHES BY DEFENCE COUNSEL IN MITIGATION OF PUNISHMENT	122
N. THE SENTENCES	125
Part II. NOTES ON THE CASE	
A. INTRODUCTION: THE ROYAL WARRANT OF 14TH JUNE, 1945	126
1. Jurisdiction of the British Military Courts	126
2. Definition of " War Crime " in the Royal Warrant	126
3. Convening of a Military Court	127
4. Composition of the Military Court	127
5. Mixed Inter-Allied Military Courts	127
6. The Judge Advocate	128
7. Representation by Counsel	128
8. Appeal and Confirmation	129
9. The Authority of Decisions of Military Courts	129

	PAGE
B. RULES OF EVIDENCE AND PROCEDURE	129
C. QUESTIONS OF EVIDENCE ARISING IN THE TRIAL	131
1. The Admissibility of Affidavit Evidence	131
2. The Use of Films as Evidence	134
3. A Map of Belsen Used as Evidence	134
4. Admissibility of Affidavits Made by one of the Accused ..	134
5. Admissibility of Affidavits Made by an Accused while in Custody	135
6. Hearsay Evidence	138
7. Group Criminality and the Scope of Regulation 8 (ii) ..	138
8. Admissibility of Evidence of Offences Committed outside Auschwitz and Belsen	142
D. OTHER PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS	143
1. The Application by the Defence for the Severing of the Two Charges	143
2. Right of Accused to have Evidence Translated	145
3. Presence of Witnesses in the Court Room	145
4. Illness of an Accused and its Influence on the Proceedings ...	146
5. The Recording of Special Finding	147
E. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	148
1. The Sources of Substantive Law Regarding War Crimes ..	148
2. Responsibility of State and Individual for Breaches of Inter- national Law	149
3. The Nationality of the Accused	150
4. The Nationality of the Victims... .. .	150
5. Civilians as War Criminals	152
6. The Defence of Superior Orders	152
ANNEX: TABLE OF ACCUSED	153
INDEX	155

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by the
UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES
COMMISSION

Volume III

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1948

CONTENTS

PAGE

FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY .. ix

THE CASES :

11. TRIAL OF KRIMINALASSISTENT KARL-HANS HERMANN KLINGE

Eidsivating Lagmannsrett and the Supreme Court of Norway,
8th December, 1945, and 27th February, 1946 1

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE HISTORY OF THE CASE	1
2. COMPOSITION OF THE SUPREME COURT	2
3. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	2
4. THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT	2
(i) Judge Skau	3
(ii) Judge Holmboe	6
(iii) Judge Bonnevie	10
(iv) Judge Schjelderup	10
(v) Judge Larssen	10
(vi) Judge Alten	11
(vii) Remaining Judgments	11

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. THE OFFENCE ALLEGED	12
2. THE QUESTION OF THE RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF THE DECREE OF 4TH MAY, 1945	13
3. THE DEFENCE THAT THE DEATH SENTENCE WAS NOT JUSTIFIED EVEN ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONAL DECREE	14

12. TRIAL OF KRIMINALSEKRETÄR RICHARD WILHELM HERMANN BRUNS AND TWO OTHERS

Eidsivating Lagmannsrett and the Supreme Court of Norway
20th March and 3rd July, 1946 15

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE INDICTMENT	15
2. THE EVIDENCE PROVED BEFORE THE LAGMANNSRETT	16
3. THE DECISION OF THE LAGMANNSRETT	16
4. THE APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT	19
5. THE DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT	19

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. THE OFFENCES ALLEGED	20
2. THE LEGAL STATUS OF THE NORWEGIAN UNDERGROUND MILITARY ORGANISATION AND THE QUESTION OF REPRISALS	21
3. THE LEGALITY OF SHOOTING A PRISONER WHILE TRYING TO ESCAPE	22
4. SUPERIOR ORDERS AND DURESS.. .. .	22

13. TRIAL OF ROBERT WAGNER AND SIX OTHERS

French Permanent Military Tribunal, Strasbourg and Court of Appeal

23rd April—3rd May and 24th July, 1946 .. 23

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE COURT	23
2. THE ACCUSED	24
3. THE INDICTMENT	24
(i) Position and Powers of the Accused	24
(ii) Nature of the Offences Charged	27
I. RECRUITMENT OF FRENCH NATIONALS FOR THE GERMAN ARMY	27
(a) The Recruitment of Volunteers	27
(b) Military Conscription	28
II. MURDER AND COMPLICITY IN MURDER	30
(a) Judicial Murders	30
(b) The Murder of four Allied Airmen	32
(c) Murders Committed in Prisons and Concentra- tion Camps	33
III. OFFENCES COMMITTED AGAINST THE LIBERTY OF THE INDIVIDUAL	34
(i) Compulsory Labour Service	34
(ii) Expulsion from Alsace	34
(iii) Deportation to Germany.. .. .	34
4. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE COURT	35
5. PLEAS OF THE DEFENCE	36
(i) Plea to the Jurisdiction of the Court	36
(ii) Plea Relating to the Status of Alsace	36
(iii) The Plea of Superior Orders	38
(iv) The Challenge of Wagner's Counsel to the legality of applying the Ordinance of 28th August, 1944	38
6. PROGRESS OF THE TRIAL	38

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS— <i>continued</i>	
7. THE QUESTIONS EXAMINED BY THE TRIBUNAL AND THE VERDICT	40
8. THE SENTENCE	42
9. RECOURSE TO AND DECISIONS OF THE COURT OF APPEAL (<i>Cour de Cassation</i>)	42
(i) The Composition of the Military Tribunal	42
(ii) Four Pleas Based upon Alleged Infringements of the Procedural Rights of the Accused	43
(iii) The Alleged Retroactive Application of the Ordinance of 28th August, 1944	44
(iv) The Status of Alsace	45
(v) A Plea Based on the Fact that the Judges were not asked whether the Acts charged were Justified by the Laws and Customs of War	45
(vi) Five Pleas relating to the Application of Provisions of French Law regarding Enrolments on Behalf of an Enemy Power, and relating to Superior Orders	46
(vii) The Jurisdiction of the Military Tribunal	47
(viii) The General Outcome of the Appeal	49
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE TRIBUNAL AND ITS JURISDICTION..	49
2. PRISONERS OF WAR RIGHTS NOT GRANTED TO PERSONS ACCUSED OF WAR CRIMES	50
3. THE CHARGES AGAINST THE ACCUSED	50
4. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	54

14. TRIAL OF GUNTHER THIELE AND GEORG STEINERT

United States Military Commission, Augsburg, Germany

13th June, 1945 56

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE COMMISSION.. .. .	56
2. CONFIRMATION OF SENTENCES	57
3. THE LEGAL NATURE OF THE OFFENCE	57
4. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	58
5. THE DEFENCE OF MILITARY NECESSITY	58

15. TRIAL OF PETER BACK

United States Military Commission, Ahrweiler, Germany

16th June, 1945 60

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

- | | |
|------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. LEGAL BASIS OF THE COMMISSION | 60 |
| 2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE | 60 |
| 3. MADNESS AS A DEFENCE | 61 |

16. TRIAL OF ALBERT BURY AND WILHELM HAFNER

United States Military Commission, Freising, Germany

15th July, 1945 62

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE COMMISSION | 62 |
| 2. RULES OF PROCEDURE | 62 |
| 3. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE | 63 |
| 4. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS | 64 |

17 and 18. TRIALS OF ANTON SCHOSSER AND OF JOSEF GOLDBRUNNER AND ALFONS JACOB WILM

United States Military Commissions at Dachau

14th-15th and 17th September, 1945 65

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE COMMISSIONS | 65 |
| 2. THE SEVERANCE OF TRIALS | 66 |

19. TRIAL OF ERICH KILLINGER AND FOUR OTHERS

British Military Court, Wuppertal

26th November—3rd December, 1945

67

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE CHARGE	67
2. DE MINIMIS NON CURAT LEX	70
3. THE SCOPE OF REGULATION 8 (i) OF THE ROYAL WARRANT..	70
4. THE RELATIVE VALUE OF AFFIDAVIT EVIDENCE	71
5. STATEMENTS MAY BE PUT IN WITHOUT PROOF OF VOLUNTARY NATURE	71
6. SIGNIFICANCE OF AFFIDAVIT EVIDENCE IN RELATION TO RULES OF PROCEDURE 40 (C) and 41 (A)	72
7. POSSIBILITY OF A SECOND RE-EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES ..	73
8. RIGHT OF COUNSEL TO CALL HIMSELF AS WITNESS	74
9. WITNESSES ALLOWED WRITTEN MEMORY AIDS	74
10. THE QUESTION OF TRANSLATIONS OF EVIDENCE	74

20. TRIAL OF YAMAMOTO CHUSABURO

British Military Court, Kuala Lumpur

30th January—1st February, 1946

76

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. THE NATURE OF THE CRIME ALLEGED	76
2. THE DEFENCES OF DRUNKENNESS, SELF DEFENCE, SUPERIOR ORDERS, PROVOCATION AND ALLEGED LOSS OF CIVILIAN STATUS BY THE VICTIM	77

ANNEX I.—NORWEGIAN LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS	81
-------------------------------------------------------------------	----

ANNEX II.—FRENCH LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS BY MILITARY TRIBUNALS AND BY MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURTS IN THE FRENCH ZONE OF GERMANY	93
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

ANNEX III.—UNITED STATES LAW AND PRACTICE CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS BY MILITARY COMMISSIONS, MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURTS AND MILITARY TRIBUNALS	103
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume IV

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1948

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	vi.
THE CASES :	
21. TRIAL OF GENERAL TOMOYUKI YAMASHITA	
<i>United States Military Commission, Manila (8th October-7th December, 1945) and the Supreme Court of the United States (Judgments Delivered on 4th February, 1946)</i> ..	1
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
1. THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COMMISSION	2
2. AN INTENDED DEFENCE WITNESS PERMITTED TO ACT AS DEFENCE COUNSEL	3
3. THE ACCUSED AND THE CHARGE	3
4. THE BILL OF PARTICULARS AND SUPPLEMENTAL BILL OF PARTICULARS	4
5. DEFENCE PLEAS AND MOTIONS RELATING TO THE CHARGE AND THE BILLS OF PARTICULARS	7
(i) Claim of the Accused that a Copy of the Specifications was not Properly Served on Him	7
(ii) The First Motion to Dismiss the Case	7
(iii) Motion for the Filing of a Bill of Particulars	8
(iv) Plea of Not Guilty	8
(v) Objection to the Filing of a Second Bill of Particulars	8
(vi) Motion that the Prosecution Amplify the Particulars in Certain Ways	10
(vii) The First Motion for a Continuance	10
(viii) The Second Motion to Dismiss the Case	11
(ix) A Question relating to the Status of the Accused	15
(x) Some Later Events Relating to the Preparation of the Defence	15
6. THE OPENING ADDRESS FOR THE PROSECUTION	16
7. THE OPENING ADDRESS FOR THE DEFENCE	18
8. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE COMMISSION	18
(i) The Evidence for the Prosecution	18
(ii) The Evidence for the Defence	21
9. THE TYPES OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED	23
10. THE CLOSING ADDRESS FOR THE DEFENCE	23
11. THE CLOSING ADDRESS FOR THE PROSECUTION	29

	PAGE
12. THE VERDICT AND SENTENCE	33
13. AN APPEAL FOR CLEMENCY	36
14. PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS	37
15. PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	37
I. CHIEF JUSTICE STONE (MAJORITY OPINION)	38
(i) The Problems Before the Supreme Court	38
(ii) The Sources and Nature of the Authority to Create Military Commissions to Conduct War Crime Trials	38
(iii) The Authority to Create the Military Commission which Tried Yamashita.. .. .	40
(iv) The Question Whether the Authority to Create the Commission and Direct the Trial by Military Order Continued after the Cessation of Hostilities	41
(v) The Question Whether the Charge Against Yamashita Failed to Allege a Violation of the Laws of War	42
(vi) Articles 25 and 38 of the United States Articles of War and the Provisions of the Geneva Prisoners of War Convention Regarding Judicial Suits Not Applicable to Trials of Alleged War Criminals	44
(vii) Effect of Failure to give Notice of the Trial to the Protecting Power	48
II. DISSENTING JUDGMENT OF MR. JUSTICE MURPHY.. .. .	49
(i) Applicability of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution to War Crime Trials and to the Yamashita Trial in Particular	49
(ii) Extent of Review Permissible to the Supreme Court in Cases Such as the Present	50
(iii) The Question Whether the Charge against Yamashita had Stated a Recognized Violation of the Laws of War	51
III. DISSENTING JUDGMENT OF MR. JUSTICE RUTLEDGE	54
(i) Opening Remarks	54
(ii) The Range of Evidence Admitted	57
(iii) The Question of the Accused's Knowledge	58
(iv) Concluding Remarks on the Type of Evidence Admitted	61
(v) The Alleged Denial of Opportunity to Prepare Defence	62
(vi) The Question of the Applicability of the Articles of War	63
(vii) The Question of the Applicability of the Geneva Convention of 1929	69
(viii) The Question of the Applicability of the Fifth Amend- ment to the United States Constitution	73
(ix) Concluding Remarks	74
16. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE	75

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	75
1. THE LEGALITY OF THE TRIAL OF WAR CRIMINALS AFTER THE TERMINATION OF HOSTILITIES	76
2. ALLEGED WAR CRIMINALS NOT ENTITLED TO RIGHTS RELATING TO JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS SET OUT IN THE GENEVA CONVENTION	78
3. THE TYPES OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED IN WAR CRIME TRIAL PROCEEDINGS	78
4. THE STRESS PLACED BY THE COMMISSION ON THE NEED FOR EXPEDITIOUS PROCEDURE	81
5. RESPONSIBILITY OF A COMMANDER FOR OFFENCES COMMITTED BY HIS TROOPS	83
(i) The Issue in the Yamashita Trial	83
(ii) Liability of Officers for Offences Shown to have been Ordered by them	84
(iii) Liability of a Commander for Offences Not Shown to have been Ordered by Him	84
(iv) A Classification of the Relevant Trials and Legal Provisions	85
(v) Trials and Provisions Relevant to the Question of the Burden of Proof	85
(vi) Trials and Provisions Relevant to the Question of Substantive Law.. .. .	86
(vii) The Problem of the Degree of Punishment to be Applied	95
 22. THE ABBAYE ARDENNE CASE. TRIAL OF S.S. BRIGADEFUHRER KURT MEYER. <i>Canadian Military Court, Aurich, Germany (10th-28th Decem- ber, 1945</i>	97
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	97
1. THE COURT	97
2. THE CHARGE	98
3. THE OPENING OF THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	100
4. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	101
5. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	103
6. THE EVIDENCE FOR THE REBUTTAL	104
7. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	105
8. THE PROSECUTOR'S CLOSING ADDRESS	106
9. SUMMING UP OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	107
10. THE VERDICT	108
11. THE SENTENCE	109

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	109
1. THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT	109
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	109
(i) The Offence Alleged	109
(ii) The Application of Paragraphs (3), (4) and (5) of No. 10 of the War Crimes Regulations (Canada) ..	110
23. TRIAL OF MAJOR KARL RAUER AND SIX OTHERS. <i>British Military Court, Wuppertal, Germany (18th February, 1946)</i>	113
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	113
B. MEANING OF THE CHARGE OF BEING " CONCERNED IN " A KILLING'	115
24. TRIAL OF KURT STUDENT. <i>British Military Court, Lüneberg, Germany, 6th-10th May, 1946</i>	118
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	118
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	120
1. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES ALLEGED	120
2. THE PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ACCUSED FOR OFFENCES COMMITTED BY HIS TROOPS	122
ANNEX.—CANADIAN LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS BY MILITARY COURTS	125

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume VI

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1948

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	v
THE CASES :	
35. TRIAL OF JOSEF ALTSTOTTER AND OTHERS <i>United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, 17th February-4th December, 1947</i>	1
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
1. THE COURT	2
2. THE CHARGES	2
3. A CHALLENGE TO THE SUFFICIENCY OF COUNT ONE OF THE INDICTMENT	5
4. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	6
(i) The Progressive Degradation of the German Judicial System under Hitler	6
(ii) The Nacht und Nebel (Night and Fog) Plan	8
(iii) The Plan for Racial Extermination	10
(iv) The Evidence Concerning Individual Accused : Schlegelberger	10
(v) Klemm	14
(vi) Rothenberger	16
(vii) Lautz	17
(viii) Mettgenberg	19
(ix) Von Ammon	20
(x) Joel	21
(xi) Rothaug	22
(xii) Oeschey	24
(xiii) Altstötter	26
5. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	26
(i) The Relevance of Control Council Law No. 10 and of Ordinance No. 7 of the United States Zone of Germany	26
(ii) The Source of Authority of Control Council Law No. 10 and of the Charter of the International Military Tribunal	28
(iii) The Construction of the Provisions of Control Council Law No. 10 Regarding War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity	38
(iv) The Ex Post Facto Principle Regarded as Constituting no Legal or Moral Barrier to the Present Trial	41

	PAGE
(v) The Development of the Concept of Crimes against Humanity as Violations of International Law	45
(vi) The Plea of Alleged Legality under Municipal Law ..	48
(vii) United States Law and Procedure Not Applicable in the Present Trial	49
(viii) Nazi Judges Not Entitled to the Benefits of the Doctrine of Judicial Immunity	50
(ix) Classification of Cases in which the Death Penalty had been Imposed by Various of the Accused	50
(x) Instances Where the Death Penalty Might be Considered Justifiable	51
(xi) The Inflicting of the Death Penalty for Alleged Treason and High Treason	52
(xii) The Inflicting of the Death Penalty under the Night and Fog Decree	53
(xiii) Racial Persecution	62
(xiv) Membership in Criminal Organisations	65
(xv) General Remarks Regarding the Responsibility of the Accused	73
6. DISSENTING JUDGMENT BY JUDGE BLAIR	73
7. THE FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	74
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	76
1. THE LIMITATIONS PLACED UPON THE PRESENT COMMENTARY ..	76
2. CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY	78
3. THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF COMPLICITY AS SEEN BY THE TRIBUNAL	84
4. THE APPLICATION OF THE HAGUE CONVENTION TO THE FACTS OF THE CASE	90
5. THE CRIMINAL ASPECTS OF THE DENIAL OF A FAIR TRIAL ..	96
6. THE ATTITUDE TAKEN BY THE UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS TO COUNTS ALLEGING CONSPIRACY	104
36. TRIAL OF GERHARD FRIEDRICH ERNST FLESCH	
<i>Frostatting Lagmannsrett and Supreme Court of Norway, November-December, 1946 and February, 1948</i>	111
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	111
1. THE INDICTMENT	111
2. THE POSITION OF THE ACCUSED	112
3. JUDGMENT OF THE FROSTATTING LAGMANNSRETT OF 2ND DECEMBER, 1946	112
4. THE APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT OF NORWAY	118
5. THE DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF 12TH FEBRUARY, 1948	118
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	120

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume VII

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1948

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	vi
THE CASES :	
37. TRIAL OF HAUPTSTURMFÜHRER AMON LEOPOLD GOETH. <i>Supreme National Tribunal of Poland (27th-31st August and 2nd-5th September, 1946)</i>	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
1. THE INDICTMENT	1
2. THE GENERAL BACKGROUND OF THE CASE	2
3. THE VERDICT	4
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	4
1. THE COURT AND THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE TRIAL	4
2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	5
(i) Criminal Organizations	5
(ii) Genocide	7
3. THE DEFENCES OF SUPERIOR ORDERS, MILITARY NECESSITY AND NON-APPLICABILITY OF THE DECREE OF 31ST AUGUST, 1944	9
38. TRIAL OF OBERSTURMBANNFÜHRER RUDOLF FRANZ FERDINAND HOESS. <i>Supreme National Tribunal of Poland (11th-29th March, 1947)</i>	11
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	11
1. THE INDICTMENT	11
2. THE CASE AND EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	12
3. MEDICAL WAR CRIMES	14
4. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	17
5. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	17
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	18
1. THE COURT AND THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE TRIAL	18
2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	18
3. CRIMINAL ORGANIZATIONS	18
(i) Membership in the Nazi Party	18
(ii) Concentration Camp as a Criminal Organization	20
4. GENOCIDE	24
39. TRIAL OF ERHARD MILCH <i>United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg (20th December, 1946-17th April, 1947)</i>	27

	PAGE
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	27
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	27
1. THE INDICTMENT	27
2. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	29
(i) The Official Positions of the Accused	29
(ii) The Accused's Responsibility for Deportation and the use of Slave Labour	29
(iii) The Evidence Regarding the Carrying Out of Illegal Experiments	32
3. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	35
(i) Illegal Experiments	35
(ii) Slave Labour	37
(iii) The Charge of Crimes Against Humanity	40
(iv) Superior Orders	40
4. CONCURRING OPINION BY JUDGE MUSMANNO	43
(i) Slave Labour	43
(ii) Medical Experiments	45
5. CONCURRING OPINION BY JUDGE PHILLIPS	45
6. SENTENCE	47
7. PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	47
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	48
1. ILLEGAL EXPERIMENTS AS WAR CRIMES AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY	48
2. DEPORTATION AND SLAVE LABOUR AS OFFENCES AGAINST CIVILIANS	53
3. DEPORTATION AND SLAVE LABOUR AS OFFENCES AGAINST PRISONERS OF WAR	58
4. THE LIMITS OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF A SUPERIOR FOR THE OFFENCES OF HIS SUBORDINATES	61
5. THE PLEA OF MISTAKE OF LAW	64
6. THE PLEA OF NECESSITY	64
7. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	65
8. MILCH'S APPLICATION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	66
40. TRIAL OF GUSTAV BECKER, WILHELM WEBER AND 18 OTHERS	
<i>Permanent Military Tribunal at Lyon (Concluded 17th July, 1947)</i> ..	67
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	67
1. THE ACCUSED AND THE CHARGES	67
2. THE EVIDENCE	67
3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	67
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	67

	PAGE
1. NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	67
(a) Illegal Arrests	68
(b) Ill-treatment	69
2. THE SCOPE OF COMPLICITY	70
3. ATTEMPT TO COMMIT ILLEGAL ARREST	73
41. TRIAL OF JEAN-PIERRE LEX	
<i>Permanent Military Tribunal at Nancy (concluded</i>	
13th May, 1946)	74
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	74
B. NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE ..	74
42. TRIAL OF HEINRICH GERIKE AND SEVEN OTHERS	
(The Velpke Children's Home Case)	
<i>British Military Court, Brunswick (20th March-3rd</i>	
April, 1946)	76
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	76
B. NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE ..	78
ANNEX.—POLISH LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS ..	82

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume VIII

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	vii
THE CASES :	

43. TRIAL OF GENERAL VON MACKENSEN AND GENERAL MAELZER

British Military Court, Rome
(18th-30th November, 1946)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
1. THE CHARGE	1
2. THE EVIDENCE	1
3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	2
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	3
1. REPRISALS	3
(i) Definition	3
(ii) Article 50 of the Annex to the Fourth Hague Con- vention (1907) and Reprisals	3
(iii) When Reprisals are Admissible	4
(iv) Restrictions Imposed by International Law on a Belligerent Inflicting Reprisals	5
2. DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS COMBINED WITH THE DEFENCE OF REPRISALS	7

44. TRIAL OF ALBERT KESSELRING

British Military Court, Venice
(17th February to 6th May, 1947)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	9
1. THE CHARGES	9
2. THE EVIDENCE	9
(i) The Evidence on the First Charge	9
(ii) The Evidence on the Second Charge	10
3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	12
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	12
1. THE LEGALITY OF KILLING INNOCENT PERSONS BY WAY OF REPRISALS	12
2. HOSTAGES AND REPRISALS	14

45. TRIAL OF CARL BAUER, ERNST SCHRAMECK AND HERBERT FALTEN

French Permanent Military Tribunal, Dijon
(Completed 18th October, 1945)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	15
1. THE ACCUSED	15
2. THE CHARGE	15
3. EVIDENCE OF THE PROSECUTION	15
4. DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED	16
5. THE JUDGMENT	16

B. NOTES ON THE CASE	16
1. THE STATUS OF GUERRILLA UNITS	16
2. THE KILLING OF PRISONERS OF WAR	19
3. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	20

46. TRIAL OF FRANZ HOLSTEIN AND TWO OTHERS

French Permanent Military Tribunal, Dijon

(Completed 3rd February, 1947)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	22
1. THE ACCUSED	22
2. THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE	22
(i) Background of the Crimes and Composition of Units Involved	22
(ii) The Crimes	23
3. THE FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	26
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	26
1. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	26
(a) Killing Civilians as " Reprisals "	27
(b) Destruction of Inhabited Buildings	29
(c) Ill-treatment of Civilians	30
(d) Pillage	31
2. THE PERSONAL GUILT OF THE ACCUSED	31
(a) The Guilt of Instigators	31
(b) Guilt of Accomplices other than Instigators	32

47. TRIAL OF WILHELM LIST AND OTHERS

United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg

8th July, 1947, to 19th February, 1948

(The Hostages Trial)

SUMMARY	34
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	34
1. THE ACCUSED AND THE INDICTMENT	34
2. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	36
(i) List	38
(ii) Kuntze	40
(iii) Foertsch	42
(iv) von Geitner	43
(v) Rendulic	43
(vi) Dehner	45
(vii) von Leyser	46
(viii) Felmy	47
(ix) Lanz	48
(x) Speidel	48

	PAGE
3. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	48
(i) The General Nature and the Sources of International Law	49
(ii) The Plea of Superior Orders	50
(iii) The Ex Post Facto Principle Regarded as Inapplicable in the Present Instance	52
(iv) The Status of Yugoslavia, Greece and Norway, and of the Partisan Groups Operating Therein, at the Relevant Time	55
(v) The Irrelevance to the Present Discussion of the Illegality of Aggressive War	59
(vi) The Question of Hostages and Reprisals raised by the Tribunal and its Field of Enquiry Delimited ..	60
(vii) The Tribunal's Opinion on the question of Hostages	61
(viii) The Tribunal's Opinion regarding the taking and Killing of " Reprisal Prisoners "	63
(ix) The Plea of Military Necessity	66
(x) The Extent of Responsibility of the Commanding General of Occupied Territory	69
(xi) The Legal Position of Italian Troops who Resisted German Demands for Surrender	71
(xii) The Legal Status of the " Croatian Government " ..	72
(xiii) General Remarks on the Mitigation of Punishment	74
4. THE FINDINGS OF THE TRIBUNAL	75
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	76
1. THE LAW RELATING TO HOSTAGES AND REPRISALS ..	76
2. THE EXTENT OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COMMANDING GENERALS	88
3. THE LIMITATIONS ON THE RESPONSIBILITY OF A CHIEF OF STAFF	89
4. LIABILITY FOR UNEXECUTED ORDERS	90
5. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	90
6. OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY BE CONSIDERED IN MITIGATION OF PUNISHMENT	92

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

VOLUME IX

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	ix
THE CASES:	
48. TRIAL OF FRIEDRICH FLICK AND FIVE OTHERS UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNAL, NUREMBERG 20th April to 22nd December, 1947	
HEADING, NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
1. THE COURT	2
2. THE INDICTMENT	3
3. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	5
(i) Evidence Regarding the Flick Organisation	6
(ii) Evidence relating to Count One: The Accused's Responsibility for the Enslavement and Deportation of Civilians to Slave Labour, and for the Employment of Prisoners of War in Work having a Direct Relation to War Operations	7
(iii) Evidence relating to Count Two: The Accused's Responsibility for Spoliation and Plunder in Occupied Territories	10
(a) Evidence Regarding the Seizure and Use of the Rombach Plant	10
(b) Evidence Regarding the Seizure and Use of the Vairogs and Dnjepr Steel Plants	12
(c) Evidence Relating to the Accused Steinbrinck's Activities as Commissioner for Steel in Luxembourg, Belgium and Northern France from May 1941 until July 1942, and as Commissioner for Coal (Bekowest) in Holland, Belgium, Luxembourg and Northern France excepting Lorraine from March 1942 until September 1944	13
(iv) Evidence Relating to Count Three: the Responsibility of the Accused Flick, Steinbrinck and Kaletsch in Connection with the Persecution of Jews: Crimes against Humanity	14
(v) Evidence Relating to Counts Four and Five: Charging Respectively Financial Support to, and Membership of, the S.S., adjudged criminal by the International Military Tribunal in Nuremberg	14

	PAGE
4. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	16
(i) The Relevance of Control Council Law No. 10 and of Ordinance No. 7 of the United States Zone in Germany	16
(ii) The Question of the Criminal Responsibility of Individuals in General for such Breaches of International Law as Constitute Crimes	17
(iii) Count One: The Admissibility and Relevance of the Defence of Necessity	18
(iv) Spoliation and Plunder of Occupied Territories as a War Crime; Articles 45, 46, 47, 52 and 55 of the Hague Regulations of 1907	21
(a) The Application of the Hague Regulations to the Seizure and Management of Private Property: Even if the Original Seizure of the Property is in itself not unlawful, its subsequent Detention from the Rightful Owners is unlawful and amounts to a War Crime: The Plea of Military Necessity	22
(b) The Application of the Hague Regulations to the Seizure and Management of State Property: The Occupant has a Usufructuary Right in such Property	24
(c) The Application of the Hague Regulations to the Alleged Spoliation in General of the Economy of an Occupied Territory by the Accused Steinbrinck in his Capacities as Commissioner for Steel and Coal in Luxembourg, Belgium, Holland and Northern France	24
(v) The Charge of Crimes against Humanity; The Omission from Control Council Law No. 10 of the modifying phrase "in execution of or in connection with any Crime within the Jurisdiction of the Tribunal" (found in Article 6(a) of the Charter attached to the London Agreement of 8th August 1945) does not widen the scope of Crimes against Humanity in the Opinion of this Tribunal: Offences against Jewish Property such as charged under Count Three are not Crimes against Humanity	24
(a) The Legal Effect of the Omission from Control Council Law No. 10 of the modifying phrase "in execution of or in connection with any crime within the Jurisdiction of the Tribunal", found in Article 6(a) of the Charter attached to the London Agreement of 8th August 1945	25

	PAGE
(b) The Law in Force at the Time when the Acts were Committed Governs the Question of their Legality; the Definition of Crimes against Humanity	26
(vi) Membership of Criminal Organisations	28
(a) The Factual and Mental Prerequisites for Individual Criminal Responsibility for Membership in and Financial Support of the S.S.	28
(b) The Burden of Proof for the Factual and Mental Qualifications of Criminal Responsibility in Connection with Membership in the S.S. Subsequent to 1st September 1939, rests entirely with the Prosecution	29
(c) Financial Support to a Criminal Organisation (S.S.) is in itself a Crime subject to the Contributor having Knowledge of the Criminal Aims and Activities of that Organisation	29
(vii) General Remarks on the Mitigation of Punishment	29
(viii) The Findings of the Tribunal	30
(ix) The Sentences	30
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	31
1. United States Military Tribunals Not Bound by Rules of Procedure Applied in United States Courts	31
2. Law No. 10 as Not Constituting Ex Post Facto Law	32
3. The Rule Against Ex Post Facto Law and Its Relationship to International Law	35
4. Offences against Property as War Crimes	39
5. Crimes against Humanity	44
6. Enslavement and Deportation to Slave Labour	52
7. The Inter-Relation Between the International Military Tribunal and the United States Military Tribunals in Nuremberg, and Between the latter Tribunals themselves	54

49. TRIAL OF HANS SZABADOS

PERMANENT MILITARY TRIBUNAL AT CLERMONT-FERRAND

Judgment delivered on 23rd June, 1946

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	59
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	60

	PAGE
1. The Court	60
2. The Nature of the Offences	60
(a) Putting to Death of Hostages	60
(b) Arson and Destruction of Inhabited Buildings by Explosives	61
(c) Pillage	61
3. Plea of Superior Orders	61
50. TRIAL OF ALOIS AND ANNA BOMMER AND THEIR DAUGHTERS PERMANENT MILITARY TRIBUNAL AT METZ Judgment delivered on 19th February, 1947	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	62
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	62
1. The Nature of the Offences	62
2. Civilians as War Criminals	65
3. Responsibility of Minors	69
51. TRIAL OF KARL LINGENFELDER PERMANENT MILITARY TRIBUNAL AT METZ Judgment delivered on 11th March, 1947	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	67
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	67
52. TRIAL OF CHRISTIAN BAUS PERMANENT MILITARY TRIBUNAL AT METZ Judgment delivered on 21st August, 1947	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	68
B. NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE	69
53. TRIAL OF PHILIPPE RUST PERMANENT MILITARY TRIBUNAL AT METZ Judgment delivered on 5th March, 1948	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	71
B. NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE	72
54. TRIAL OF KARL-HEINZ MOEHLE BRITISH MILITARY COURT, HAMBURG 15th to 16th October, 1946	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	75
1. Charge and the Evidence	75

	PAGE
2. Common Ground Between the Prosecution and the Defence	75
3. The Issue	76
(i) The Interpretation of the Orders	76
(ii) The Legality of the Order	77
4. Finding and Sentence	78
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	78
1. Submarine Warfare in General	78
2. The Treatment of Survivors after a Sinking of a Vessel by Submarine	80
3. The Defence that the Illegal Orders were not Carried Out ..	80
4. The Relation of this Trial to Other War Crimes Trials ..	81
 55. TRIAL OF HELMUTH VON RUCHTESCHELL BRITISH MILITARY COURT, HAMBURG 5th to 21st May, 1947 	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	82
1. The Charges	82
2. The Evidence and Arguments	82
(i) Charges of Prolongation of Hostilities After Surrender ..	82
(ii) The Charges of Failing to Make Provisions for the Safety of the Survivors After a Battle at Sea	84
(iii) The Charge of Attempting to Kill Survivors by Firing on Lifeboats	86
(iv) Finding and Sentence	86
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	86
1. Choice of Charges	86
2. The Legality of the Attack on a Merchant Ship Without Warning	87
3. The Duty to Rescue Survivors	88
4. Surrender at Sea: Refusal of Quarter	89
5. Uncorroborated Evidence of an Absent Witness	90
 56. TRIAL OF OTTO SKORZENY AND OTHERS GENERAL MILITARY GOVERNMENT COURT OF THE U.S. ZONE OF GERMANY 18th August to 9th September, 1947 	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	90
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	92
1. The Use of Enemy Uniforms, Insignia, etc.	92
2. Espionage	94
3. The Taking of Uniforms, Insignia, etc., from Prisoners of War	94

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume X

THE I.G. FARBEN AND KRUPP TRIALS

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	vii
THE CASES :	
57. THE I.G. FARBEN TRIAL. TRIAL OF CARL KRAUCH AND TWENTY-TWO OTHERS.	
<i>United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg</i> (14th August, 1947-29th July, 1948)	1
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	3
1. THE COURT	3
2. THE INDICTMENT	3
3. PROGRESS OF THE TRIAL	5
4. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	6
(i) The Position of the Accused	6
(ii) Evidence Relating to the Origin, Growth, and Financial and Administrative Construction of I.G. Farben Industrie A.G.	12
(iii) Evidence Relating to Counts I and V—Crimes Against Peace and Conspiracy to Commit Such Crimes ..	14
(iv) Evidence Relating to Count II—The Accused's Responsi- bility for Participation in the Plunder and Spoliation of Public and Private Property in Countries and Territories which come under the Belligerent Occupa- tion of Germany	18
(a) Evidence with Particular Reference to Farben's Participation in the Spoliation of Public and Private Property in Poland	19
(b) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Alleged Participation by Farben in the Spoliation of Property in Norway	20
(c) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Alleged Participation by Farben in the Spoliation of Property in France	21
(d) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Alleged Participation by Farben in the Spoliation of Property in Russia	23
(e) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Accused's Individual Responsibility under Count II	23

	PAGE
(v) Evidence Relating to Count III	23
(a) The Use of Poison Gas, supplied by Farben, in the Extermination of Inmates of Concentration Camps	23
(b) The Supplying of Farben Drugs for Criminal Medical Experimentation Upon Concentration Camp Inmates	24
(c) The Alleged Participation by Farben in the Slave Labour Programme	25
(d) The Employment of Forced Labour and Concen- tration Camp Inmates at the Farben Enter- prises at Auschwitz	25
(e) The Employment of Prisoners of War and Concen- tration Camp Inmates in the Fuerstengrube and Janina Coal Mines	27
(f) Evidence Relating to the Defence of Necessity in Connection with the Alleged Participation of Farben in the Slave Labour Programme ..	28
(vi) Evidence Relating to Count IV—Membership of an Organisation (the S.S.) declared Criminal by the International Military Tribunal	28
5. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	30
(i) Counts I and V (Crimes Against Peace)	30
(ii) The Tribunal's Findings on Counts I and V	40
(iii) Count II: Crimes Against Property as Not Falling Within the Concept of Crimes Against Humanity ..	41
(iv) Hague Regulations, Regarded as Not Applying to the Occupation of Austria and the Sudetenland	42
(v) The Law Applicable to Plunder and Spoliation	42
(vi) Individual Responsibility for War Crimes	47
(vii) The Attitude Taken by the Tribunal to Certain Defence Pleas	48
(a) Plea that the Hague Convention does not apply to "annexed" territories	48
(b) Pleas Alleging Vagueness and Obsolescence of the Law; Other Defence Arguments	48
(viii) The Tribunal's Findings on Count II	49
(ix) Count III: Slave Labour	53
(x) The Plea of Superior Orders or Necessity	54
(xi) The Tribunal's Findings on Count III	57
(xii) Count IV: Membership of a Criminal Organisation ..	57
(xiii) The Tribunal's Findings on Count IV	61
(xiv) Judge Hebert's Statement and Opinions	61
(xv) Sentences	63
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	63
1. ECONOMIC OFFENCES AS WAR CRIMES	63
2. HAGUE CONVENTION NO. IV NOT APPLICABLE TO THE OCCUPATION OF AUSTRIA AND THE SUDETENLAND	63
3. CRIMES AGAINST PEACE	64

	PAGE
4. MEMBERSHIP IN CRIMINAL ORGANISATIONS	64
5. THE PLEA THAT THE INTERNATIONAL LAW APPLYING TO ECONOMIC OFFENCES IN OCCUPIED TERRITORIES IS VAGUE AND OBSOLETE	64
6. JUDICIAL NOTICE IN WAR CRIME TRIALS	67
58. TRIAL OF ALFRIED FELIX ALWYN KRUPP VON BOHLEN UND HALBACH AND ELEVEN OTHERS.	
<i>United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg (17th November, 1947-30th June, 1948)</i>	69
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	69
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	70
1. THE COURT	70
2. THE INDICTMENT	70
3. PROGRESS OF THE TRIAL	75
4. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	76
(i) The Position of the Accused	76
(ii) Evidence Regarding the Krupp Concern	78
(iii) Evidence Relating to Counts I and IV—Crimes Against Peace and Conspiracy	81
(iv) Evidence Relating to Count II—War Crimes—Plunder and Spoliation	85
(a) Evidence Relating to the Austin Plant at Lian- court, and the Property 141 Boulevard Haus- mann, Paris	85
(b) Evidence Relating to the Seizure of the Elmag Plant at Mulhouse and the Removal of Machinery to Germany	87
(c) Evidence Relating to the Removal of Machines from the Alsthom Factory at Belfort	88
(d) Evidence Relating to the Illegal Acquisition of Machines from other French Plants and of other goods Requisitioned by Various Govern- ment or Army Offices as War Booty or Purchased through the Black Market by these Official Agencies	89
(e) Evidence Relating to the Removal of Machines from Holland, etc.	90
(v) Evidence Relating to Count III—War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity—Employment of Prisoners of War, Foreign Civilians and Concentration Camp Inmates in Armament Production under inhuman conditions	92
(a) Evidence Relating to the Illegal Employment of Prisoners of War	93

1. Evidence with Particular Reference to the Employment of Russian Prisoners of War and Italian Military Internees	94
2. Evidence with Particular Reference to the Illegal Use of French Prisoners of War in the German Armament Industry ..	96
(b) Evidence Relating to the Illegal Use of Civilian Foreign Workers and Concentration Camp Inmates in the Krupp Works	96
(vi) Evidence Relating to Count IV—Conspiracy to Commit Crimes Against Peace, War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity	102
3. THE OPINION OF THE TRIBUNAL ON COUNTS I AND IV (CRIMES AGAINST PEACE) OF 11TH JUNE, 1948	102
(i) The Opinion of the Tribunal as a Whole	103
(ii) The Concurring Opinion of Presiding Judge H. C. Anderson	109
(iii) The Concurring Opinion of Judge Wilkins	128
4. JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL ON COUNTS II AND III	130
(i) The Legal Basis of the Trial	130
(ii) The Law Relating to Plunder and Spoliation	132
(iii) The Plea of National Emergency	138
(iv) The Tribunal's Application of these Rules to the Facts of the Case: Findings on Count II	139
(v) The Law Governing the Employment of Prisoners of War and the Illegal Use of French Prisoners of War	140
(vi) The Law Governing the Deportation and Employment of Foreign Civilian Workers and Concentration Camp Inmates	141
(vii) The Plea of Superior Orders or Necessity	146
(viii) The Individual Responsibility of the Accused	150
(ix) The Findings on Count III	150
5. THE RESERVATIONS OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE	151
6. JUDGE WILKINS' DISSENTING JUDGMENT	151
7. THE SENTENCES	158
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	159
1. OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY AS WAR CRIMES	159
2. DEPORTATION AND FORCED EMPLOYMENT OF FOREIGN CIVILIAN WORKERS AND CONCENTRATION CAMP INMATES	167
3. THE EMPLOYMENT OF PRISONERS OF WAR	157
4. CRIMES AGAINST PEACE	168
5. INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDING BUSINESS MEN, AS WAR CRIMINALS	168
6. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS OR NECESSITY	174
7. FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY AS A PUNISHMENT FOR WAR CRIMES	177

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume XI

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ..	viii
 THE CASES :—	
 59. TRIAL OF TANABE KOSHIRO	
<i>Netherlands Temporary Court-Martial, Macassar (5th February, 1947).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
1. THE CHARGES	1
2. FACTS AND EVIDENCE	1
3. THE JUDGMENT	2
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	2
1. The NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	2
2. VALIDITY OF RULES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN DUTCH LEGISLATION	3
3. EVIDENCE OF THE ACCUSED'S PERSONAL GUILT	4
4. DECREE OF THE ACCUSED'S RESPONSIBILITY	4
 60. TRIAL OF MARTIN GOTTFRIED WEISS AND THIRTY-NINE OTHERS	
<i>General Military Government Court of the United States Zone, Dachau, Germany (15th November–13th December, 1945).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	5
1. THE CHARGES	5
2. EVIDENCE FOR THE PROSECUTION	5
(i) General Conditions in the Camp	5
(ii) Organisation and Responsibility of the Accused in Running the Camp	6
(iii) Specific instances of Ill-treatment and Killings	7
3. EVIDENCE FOR THE DEFENCE	7
4. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	8

B. NOTES ON THE CASE	8
1. QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION AND PROCEDURE	8
(i) Jurisdiction	8
(ii) Definiteness of the Charges	10
(iii) Motion for Severance of the Charges	11
(iv) Witnesses' Immunity from Testifying in War Crime Trials	11
(v) The Right of Cross Examination if the Accused makes an Unsworn Statement	12
2. QUESTIONS OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	12
(i) Common Design	12
(a) The Evidence Necessary to Establish Common Design	12
(b) Nature and Definition of "Common Design"	14
(ii) Special Findings of Group Criminality and Subsequent Proceedings Against Members of the Group	16
61. TRIAL OF GENERAL OBERST NICKOLAUS VON FALKENHORST <i>British Military Court, Brunswick (29th July-2nd August, 1946).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	18
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	23
1. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CHARGE SHEET	23
2. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	24
3. COMMANDO OPERATIONS AND SABOTEURS	27
4. THE ISSUING OF AN ILLEGAL ORDER WITH NO PROOF OF COMPLIANCE	29
5. THE POSITION OF THE DEFENDANT AS COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF, NORWAY	29
6. DENIAL OF QUARTER	29
62. TRIAL OF MAX WIELEN AND 17 OTHERS <i>British Military Court, Hamburg, Germany (1st July-3rd September, 1947).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	31
1. THE COURT	31
2. THE CHARGES	31
3. THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION	33
4. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	36
5. SUMMING UP OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE	39
6. FINDING AND SENTENCE ON CHARGES (i) AND (ii)	40

7. EVIDENCE ON CHARGES (iii)-(ix)	40
(i) The Saarbrücken Gestapo Case (Killing of Squadron Leader Bushell, R.A.F., and Pilot Officer Scheidhauer, R.A.F.)	40
(ii) The Strasbourg Gestapo Case (Killing of Flight Lieutenant Hayter, R.A.F.)	41
(iii) The Karlsruhe Gestapo Case (Killing of Flying Officer Cochran, R.A.F.)	41
(iv) The Munich Gestapo Case (Killing of Lieut. Stevens and Lieut. Gouws, S.A.A.F.)	42
(v) The Kiel Gestapo Case (Killing of Pilot Officer Espelid, R.A.F., Flight Lt. Christensen, R.N.Z.A.F., Pilot Officer Fuglesang, R.N.Z.A.F., and Squadron Leader Catanach, D.F.C., R.A.A.F.)	42
(vi) The Case of the Zlin Frontier Police (Killing of Flying Officer Kidder, R.C.A.F., and Squadron Leader Kirby-Green, R.A.F.)	44
8. FINDINGS ON CHARGES (iii)-(ix)	44
9. SENTENCES ON CHARGES (iii)-(ix)	44
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	45
1. THE JOINT CHARGES	45
2. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	46
3. THE PLEA OF DURESS	47
4. THE LLANDOVERY CASTLE CASE	47
(i) The Facts	48
(ii) The Plea of Superior Orders	48
(iii) Absence of Mens Rea as a Defence	48
(iv) The Defence of Duress	49
5. THE DEFENCE OF LEGALITY UNDER MUNICIPAL LAW :—THE CONFLICT BETWEEN MUNICIPAL LAW AND INTERNATIONAL LAW	50
6. THE ABSENCE OF MENS REA AS A DEFENCE	50
7. CIVILIANS AS WAR CRIMINALS	51
8. CORROBORATION	51
9. VOLUNTARY NATURE OF CONFESSIONS	52

63. TRIAL OF LIEUTENANT-GENERAL KURT MAELZER

United States Military Commission, Florence, Italy (9th-14th September, 1946).

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	53
1. THE CHARGE	53
2. THE EVIDENCE	53
3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	53
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	53
1. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE COURT	53
2. INFRINGEMENT OF THE GENEVA CONVENTION	54

64. TRIAL OF LIEUTENANT-GENERAL BABA MASAO

Australian Military Court, Rabaul, (28th May-2nd June, 1947)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	56
1. THE CHARGE	56
2. THE EVIDENCE	56
3. THE FINDINGS AND SENTENCE	56
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	57
1. RESPONSIBILITY OF A COMMANDER FOR CRIMES COMMITTED BY TROOPS UNDER HIS COMMAND	57
2. MENS REA	60
3. AFFIDAVIT EVIDENCE	60
4. THE BINDING FORCE OF THE GENEVA CONVENTION	60

65. TRIAL OF TANAKA CHUICHI AND TWO OTHERS

Australian Military Court, Rabaul, 12th July, 1946.

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	62
1. THE CHARGE	62
2. THE EVIDENCE	62
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	62

66. TRIAL OF FRANZ SCHONFELD AND NINE OTHERS

British Military Court, Essen (11th-26th June, 1946).

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	64
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	68
1. THE COMPLICITY OF ROESENER, SCHWANZ AND CREMER IN THE OFFENCE	68
2. THE LAW APPLIED BY THE COURT	72
3. THE STATUS OF THE VICTIMS	73

67. TRIAL OF JOHANNES OENNING AND EMIL NIX	
<i>British Military Court, Borken, Germany (21st and 22nd December, 1945).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	74
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	74
1. THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE CHARGE	74
2. EXTENT OF COMPLICITY IN WAR CRIMES	75
68. TRIAL OF HANS RENOTH AND THREE OTHERS	
<i>British Military Court, Elten, Germany (8th-10th January, 1946).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	76
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	76
1. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE ALLEGED	76
2. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	77
3. FURTHER APPLICATION OF RULES OF PROCEDURE 40 (C) AND 41 (A)	78
4. ADMISSIBILITY OF UNAUTHENTICATED AFFIDAVITS	78
69. TRIAL OF ARNO HEERING	
<i>British Military Court, Hanover (25th-26th January, 1946).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	79
B. NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCE ALLEGED	79
70. TRIAL OF WILLI MACKENSEN	
<i>British Military Court, Hanover (28th January, 1946).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	81
B. NOTES ON THE PLEA OF GUILTY	81
71. TRIAL OF EBERHARD SCHOENGRATH AND SIX OTHERS	
<i>British Military Court, Burgsteinfurt, Germany (7th-11th February, 1946).</i>	
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	83
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	83
1. STATEMENTS MAY BE PUT IN WITHOUT PROOF OF CAUTION ADMINISTERED	83
2. A SUBMISSION BY THE DEFENCE OF NO CASE TO ANSWER	84
3. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF AN ACCUSED REGARDING OFFENCES NOT MENTIONED IN THE CHARGE	84
ANNEX. NETHERLANDS LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR CRIMINALS	86

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume XII

THE GERMAN HIGH COMMAND TRIAL

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

PAGE

FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY vi

CASE No. 72
THE GERMAN HIGH COMMAND TRIAL
TRIAL OF WILHELM VON LEEB AND
THIRTEEN OTHERS

*United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, 30th December,
1947—28th October, 1948*

HEADING, NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	2
1. THE COURT	2
2. THE INDICTMENT	2
3. PROGRESS OF THE TRIAL	5
4. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	5
(i) The Positions of the Accused	5
(ii) The German Military System	7
(a) The OKW (Oberkommando der Wehrmacht)— Supreme Command of the Armed Forces	8
(b) The OKL (Oberkommando der Luftwaffe)—Supreme Command of the Air Force	9
(c) The OKM (Oberkommando der Kriegsmarine)— Supreme Command of the Navy	9
(d) The OKH (Oberkommando des Heeres)—Supreme Command of the Army	9
(e) Army Field Headquarters	10
(f) Occupational Headquarters and Units. Armed Forces Commander	10
(iii) Evidence Relating to Counts One and Four. Crimes against Peace—Conspiracy	12
(iv) Evidence Relating to Counts Two and Three. War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity	16
(a) General Evidence	16
(b) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Com- missar Order	23
(c) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Barbarossa Jurisdiction Order	29

	PAGE
(d) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Comman- do Order	34
(e) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Night and Fog Decree	37
(f) Evidence with Particular Reference to Hostages and Reprisals	38
(g) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Partisan Warfare	40
(h) Evidence with Particular Reference to Crimes Committed against Prisoners of War	40
(1) Murder and Ill-treatment of Prisoners of War	41
(2) Segregation and Liquidation of Prisoners of War in Co-operation with the SD and SIPO	45
(3) The Illegal Use of Prisoners of War for Pro- hibited Labour	46
(i) Evidence with Particular Reference to Alleged Acts of Looting, Pillage, Plunder and Spoliation	47
(j) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Com- pulsory Recruitment and Deportation of Slave Labour	48
(k) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Forced Use of Civilians for Prohibited Work	51
(l) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Alleged Murder, Ill-treatment, and Persecution of the Civilian Population, Discrimination, Persecution, and Execution of Jews and Co-operation with the Einsatzgruppen and Sonderkommandos of the SIPO and SD	52
(m) Evidence with Particular Reference to Alleged Crimes against the Civilian Population in Con- nection with Evacuation and in Connection with the Retreat of the German Army in the Eastern Territories	56
(n) Evidence with Particular Reference to the Alleged Criminal Conduct by the German Army Under the Accused von Leeb's Command in Connection with the Siege of Leningrad	59
5. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	59
(i) The Basic Law Applying to the Case	59
(ii) Objections During the Trial	62
(iii) The Dismissal of the Conspiracy Count	65
(iv) Count One of the Indictment—Aggressive War : Finding of Not Guilty	65
(v) Responsibility of the Wehrmacht for War Crimes	71
(vi) The Plea of Superior Orders	71
(vii) Responsibility of a Commanding Officer for Acts Not Ordered by Him	74
(viii) The Responsibility of Staff Officers	80

	PAGE
(ix) The Criminality of Certain Orders	82
(x) Hostages and R�prisals	84
(xi) Partisan Warfare	85
(xii) The Interpretation and Applicability of the Hague and Geneva Conventions	86
(xiii) The Findings on Counts II and III	94
(xiv) The Sentences	95
(xv) A Defence Motion.. .. .	95
 B. NOTES ON THE CASE	 96
1. THE PROTECTION OF PRISONERS OF WAR	96
2. THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COMMANDERS FOR OFFENCES COM- MITTED BY THEIR TROOPS	105
3. THE RESPONSIBILITY OF STAFF OFFICERS	112
4. LIABILITY FOR UNEXECUTED ORDERS	118
5. THE PLEA OF MILITARY NECESSITY	123
6. CRIMES AGAINST PEACE	127

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume XIII

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DÜRLEY ...	ix
THE CASES :	
73. TRIAL OF ULRICH GREIFELT AND OTHERS	
United States Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, 10th October, 1947—10th March, 1948	
HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	1
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS...	2
1. THE INDICTMENT	2
2. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL	4
(i) Organisations Involved and Official Positions of the accused	4
(ii) The Master Scheme : Genocide	6
(iii) Kidnapping of Alien Children	12
(iv) Abortions on Eastern Workers	13
(v) Taking away of Infants of Eastern Workers	14
(vi) Punishment for Sexual Intercourse with Germans	15
(vii) Impeding the Reproduction of Enemy Nationals	17
(viii) Forced Evacuations, Resettlement and Germanisation of Inhabitants of Occupied Territories	19
(ix) Compulsory Conscription of Enemy Nationals into the Armed Forces	24
(x) Plunder of Public and Private Property	25
(xi) The Charge of Euthanasia	27
(xii) Persecution and Extermination of the Jews	28
3. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	28
(i) Individual Guilt of the Accused	28
Ulrich Greifelt	28
Rudolf Creutz	28
Konrad Meyer-Hetling	29
Otto Schwarzenberger	30
Herbert Huebner	31
Werner Lorenz	31
Heinz Brueckner	32
Otto Hofmann	32
Richard Hildebrandt	33
Fritz Schwalm	34
Max Sollmann	34
Gregor Ebner	35
Guenther Tesch	35
Inge Viermetz	36
(ii) The Sentences	36

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	36
1. THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE	36
(i) Origin and Substance of the Concept of Genocide' ...	37
(ii) Developments concerning the Concept of Genocide ...	37
(iii) Relationship between Genocide and Crimes against Humanity	38
(iv) Relationship between Genocide and War Crimes ...	42
2. MEMBERSHIP OF CRIMINAL ORGANISATIONS	42
(i) Emergence of the Concept in the United Nations War Crimes Commission	42
(ii) Development at the Nuremberg Trial of Nazi Major War Criminals	43
(a) The Law of the Charter	43
(b) The Theory of Collective Criminality	45
(c) General Ruling of the International Military Tribunal	49
(d) Recommendations regarding Punishment ...	52
(iii) The Law applied in the case of the Accused	53
(iv) The Guilt of the Accused for the crime of Membership	53
(v) Jurisprudence of other trials	55
(a) Trials by United States Military Tribunals at Nuremberg	55
(1) Trial of Karl Brandt et al (Medical Case)...	55
(2) Trial of Joseph Altstoetter et al (Justice Case)	55
(3) Trial of Oswald Pohl et al	57
(4) Trial of Friedrich Flick et al	61
(5) I.G. Farben Trial	63
(b) Trials by United States General Military Govern- ment Courts	65
3. RELEVANCE OF SOME DEFENCE PLEAS	67
(i) The Plea concerning "Annexed Territories"	67
(ii) The Plea of Superior Orders	68

74. TRIAL OF GAULEITER ARTUR GREISER

Supreme National Tribunal of Poland, 21st June—7th July,
1946

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS... ..	70
1. THE INDICTMENT	70
2. SPECIFIC CHARGES	74
(i) Aggression	74
(ii) Seizure of the Free City of Danzig	75
(iii) Incorporation of Western Polish Territories into the German Reich	77
(iv) Exceptional Legal Status of Poles... ..	78
(1) As Regards Property	78

	PAGE
(2) As Regards the Law Regulating Employment ...	79
(3) Penal Code for the Poles	80
(4) Education	80
(5) The Poles' Lingual Rights	80
(v) The Fight with Religion	80
(1) The Clergy	80
(2) Religious Practices	81
(3) Churches, Cemeteries and Church Property ...	81
(vi) Measures against Polish Culture and Science ...	82
(vii) Economic Exploitation	84
(1) Policy towards the Population	84
(2) Policy in the Economic Sphere	85
(viii) Deportation of the Polish Population	86
(ix) Humiliation of the National Dignity	88
(x) Concentration Camps	90
(1) Fort VII	91
(2) Radogoszcz Camp	91
(xi) Summary Executions	92
(xii) Persecution of the Jewish Population	93
(xiii) Chelmno Extermination Camp	95
3. EVIDENCE OF EXPERTS	95
(i) Role assigned to the Annexed Territories (Expert : Professor W. Jastrzebowski, University of Lodz)	96
(ii) Organisation of German Authorities in Wartheland (Expert : Dr. M. Pospieszalski, Lecturer, University of Poznan)	99
(iii) Losses in Polish Population (Expert : Dr. St. Waszak, Director of the Statistical Office in Poznan)	100
(iv) Other Expert Evidence	101
4. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	102
5. THE JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL	104
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	106
1. THE COURT AND THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE TRIAL	106
2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	106
3. MEMBERSHIP IN CRIMINAL ORGANISATIONS	107
4. THE CONSPIRACY AND AGGRESSIVE WAR	108
5. ANNEXATION OF OCCUPIED TERRITORY AND INTERNATIONAL LAW	110
6. VIOLATIONS OF THE RIGHTS OF THE INHABITANTS OF THE OCCUPIED TERRITORY, AND GENOCIDE	112
7. THE DEFENCE OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	114
8. THE DEFENCE OF NON-APPLICABILITY OF THE LAW, AND OF ACTS OF STATE	117

75. TRIAL OF ALBERT WAGNER

General Military Government Tribunal of the French Zone
of Occupation in Germany

(Judgment Delivered on 29th November, 1946)

A. OUTLINE OF PROCEEDINGS	118
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	118
1. THE COURT AND ITS JURISDICTION	118
2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	119
(a) Killing of Escaping Civilian Prisoners	119
(b) Ill-treatment of Slave Labour	121

76. TRIAL OF WASHIO AWOCHI

Netherlands Temporary Court-Martial at Batavia

(Judgment Delivered on 25th October, 1946)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS...	122
1. THE CHARGE	122
2. THE EVIDENCE	122
3. DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED	123
4. THE JUDGMENT	123
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	123
1. THE COURT	123
2. NATURE OF THE OFFENCE	124
3. PERSONAL GUILT OF THE ACCUSED	125

77. TRIAL OF SUSUKI MOTOSUKE

Netherlands Temporary Court-Martial at Amboina

(Judgment Delivered on 28th January, 1948)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS...	126
1. CHARGES	126
2. FACTS AND EVIDENCE	126
3. DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED	127
4. FINDINGS AND SENTENCE	127

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	127
1. THE BEARING OF THE VICTIM'S NATIONALITY UPON WAR CRIMES	127
2. MURDER AS A WAR CRIME	129
3. VIOLATIONS OF THE RULE OF FAIR TRIAL AND OTHER REQUISITE LAWFUL PROCEEDINGS	129

78. TRIAL OF WILHELM GERBSCH

The Special Court in Amsterdam, First Chamber

(Judgment Delivered on 28th April, 1948)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	131
1. THE CHARGES	131
2. THE EVIDENCE	131
3. THE DEFENCE	132
4. FINDINGS AND SENTENCE	132
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	132
1. THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT	132
2. NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	133
3. GUILT OF THE ACCUSED	136

79. TRIAL OF SHIGEKI MOTOMURA AND 15 OTHERS

Netherlands Temporary Court-Martial at Macassar

(Judgment delivered on 18th July, 1947)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	138
1. THE ACCUSED	138
2. THE CHARGES	138
3. FACTS AND EVIDENCE	139
4. DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED	139
5. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	140
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	140
1. CRIMINAL GROUPS	140
2. NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	142
(a) Unlawful mass arrests	142
(b) Torture and Ill-treatment	143
3. DEGREE OF GUILT OF THE ACCUSED	144

80. TRIAL OF HEINZ HAGENDORF

United States Intermediate Military Government Court at
Dachau, Germany, 8th-9th August, 1946

A.	OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	146
	1. THE CHARGE	146
	2. THE EVIDENCE	146
	3. THE FINDINGS AND SENTENCE	146
B.	NATURE OF THE OFFENCE	146

81. TRIAL OF ERICH WEISS AND WILHELM MUNDO

United States General Military Government Court at
Ludwigsburg, Germany, 9th-10th November, 1945

A.	OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	149
	1. THE CHARGE	149
	2. THE EVIDENCE	149
	3. THE PLEA OF SELF DEFENCE	149
	4. THE FINDINGS OF THE COURT	149
B.	RELEVANCE OF THE PLEA OF SELF-DEFENCE IN WAR CRIME TRIALS	150

82. TRIAL OF MAX SCHMID

United States General Military Government Court at Dachau,
Germany, 19th May, 1947

A.	OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	151
	1. THE CHARGES	151
	2. THE EVIDENCE	151
	3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCE	151
B.	NOTES ON THE CASE: MUTILATION OF DEAD BODIES AND REFUSAL OF HONOURABLE BURIAL	151

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume XIV

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY ...	ix
THE CASES :	

83. TRIAL OF TAKASHI SAKAI

Chinese War Crimes Military Tribunal of The Ministry of National Defence, Nanking

(Judgment Delivered on 29th August, 1946)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. FACTS AND EVIDENCE	1
2. THE DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED	2
3. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	2
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. JURISDICTION OF THE TRIBUNAL	3
2. JURISDICTION OVER CRIMES AGAINST PEACE	3
3. THE ACCUSED'S GUILT AS TO CRIMES AGAINST PEACE ...	4
4. THE ACCUSED'S GUILT AS TO WAR CRIMES AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY	7

84. TRIAL OF LOTHAR EISENTRÄGER AND OTHERS

United States Military Commission, Shanghai, China

(3rd October, 1946—14th January, 1947)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. THE ACCUSED	8
2. THE CHARGE	8
3. THE EVIDENCE	10
(a) Bureau Ehrhardt, Shanghai	12
(b) Bureau Ehrhardt, Canton, and Consular General, Canton... ..	13
(c) Bureau Ehrhardt, Peiping, and Press Intelligence Group at Peiping	13
(d) German Information Bureau, German News Service	14
4. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES	14
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. PLEA TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT	15
2. MOTION TO DISMISS THE CHARGES	16

	PAGE
B. NOTES ON THE CASE— <i>continued</i>	
3. VIOLATIONS OF THE TERMS OF SURRENDER OR OF AN ARMISTICE	16
4. THE INSTRUMENT AND THE TERMS OF SURRENDER OF THE GERMAN ARMED FORCES IN 1945	18
5. POST-SURRENDER HOSTILITIES OF MEMBERS OF SURRENDERED FORCES, VIEWED AS A WAR CRIME WHERE SUCH HOSTILITIES WERE COMMITTED BY ASSISTING THE FORCES OF AN ALLIED BELLIGERENT WHO HAD NOT SURRENDERED	20
6. ALL NATIONALS, NOT MERELY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF A SURRENDERED BELLIGERENT, ARE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THE SURRENDER	21
7. DIPLOMATIC STATUS OF THE ACCUSED	22
8. WHAT CONSTITUTES "MILITARY ACTIVITIES"?	22

85. TRIAL OF DR. JOSEPH BUHLER

Supreme National Tribunal of Poland

(17th June—10th July, 1948)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

1. THE ACCUSED	23
2. THE CHARGES	24
3. THE EVIDENCE AND FINDINGS OF THE TRIBUNAL	24
(i) Nazi Policy towards the "Government General"	24
(ii) The German New Order	25
(a) Systematic Terrorism	28
(b) Slave Labour	28
(c) Extermination of Jews	28
(d) Measures against Polish Culture and Education	29
(e) Confiscation of Public and Private Property	29
(f) Economic Exploitation	30
(iii) The Personal Responsibility of the Accused	33
4. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE	38
5. THE VERDICT AND SENTENCE	39

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. THE COURT AND THE LEGAL BASIS OF THE TRIAL	39
2. THE NATURE OF THE OFFENCES	40
3. OCCUPATION GOVERNMENT AS A CRIMINAL ORGANISATION	40

86. TRIAL OF HANS PAUL HELMUTH LATZA AND TWO OTHERS

*Eidsivating Lagmannsrett (Court of Appeal) and the Supreme
Court of Norway*

(18th February, 1947—3rd December, 1948)

HEADING NOTES AND SUMMARY	49
A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
1. THE COURTS	50
2. THE INDICTMENT	50
3. PROGRESS OF THE TRIAL	51
4. THE POSITION OF THE ACCUSED	52
5. THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE TRIAL COURTS	52
6. JUDGMENT OF THE EIDSIVATING LAGMANNSRETT DELIVERED ON 12TH MARCH, 1947	56
7. FINDINGS AND SENTENCES BY THE LAGMANNSRETT	60
8. THE APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT	60
(i) The Appeal by the Prosecution	60
(ii) The Appeal by the Accused Latza	62
9. JUDGMENT OF THE SUPREME COURT, DELIVERED ON 16TH SEPTEMBER, 1947	62
10. DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT	66
11. RE-TRIAL BY THE EIDSIVATING LAGMANNSRETT	66
12. ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE DURING THE RE-TRIAL	66
13. SECOND JUDGMENT OF THE LAGMANNSRETT, DELIVERED ON 20TH JANUARY, 1948...	67
14. VERDICT OF THE LAGMANNSRETT, PRONOUNCED ON 20TH JANUARY, 1948	70
15. THE PROSECUTION'S SECOND APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT	70
16. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT ON CERTAIN QUESTIONS OF LAW	73
(a) Exchange of Minutes relating to Regulations and Practice during the Boer War	74
(b) Exchange of Minutes Relating to Regulations and Practice in the British Zone of Germany	77
17. FINAL JUDGMENT OF THE SUPREME COURT, DELIVERED ON 3RD DECEMBER, 1948	80
18. FINAL DECISION BY THE SUPREME COURT	83
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	83

87. TRIAL OF JOSEF HANGOBL

General Military Court, Dachau, Germany
(17th-18th October, 1945)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	86
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. THE STATUS OF THE ACCUSED	86
2. THE STATUS OF THE VICTIM	88

88. TRIAL OF HANS ALBIN RAUTER

Netherlands Special Court in 's-Gravenhage (The Hague)
(Judgment delivered on 4th May, 1948)

and

Netherlands Special Court of Cassation
(Judgment delivered on 12th January, 1949)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
I. INTRODUCTORY NOTES	89
II. PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST COURT	
1. The Indictment	90
2. The Facts and Evidence	92
(i) Position of the Accused	92
(ii) Persecution of the Jews	93
(iii) Slave Labour	95
(iv) Deportation of Students	98
(v) Pillage and Confiscation of Property	98
(vi) Persecution of Relatives	100
(vii) Imposition of Collective Penalties	101
(viii) Measures undertaken in "Reprisals" (Indiscriminate Arrests and Detentions, Killing of Hostages)	102
3. The Defence	106
4. Findings and Sentence	107
III. PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL COURT OF CASSATION	107
1. The Accused's Appeal	107
(i) Jurisdiction of Netherlands Courts	107
(ii) Right to Reprisals	107
(iii) Wrongful Application of Rules of Substantive and Procedural Law	108
(iv) Wrongful Imposition of Penalty	108
2. Findings and Sentence	109
B. NOTES ON THE CASE	
1. THE COURTS	111

B. NOTES ON THE CASE—*continued*

2. THE JURISDICTION OF NETHERLANDS COURTS OVER WAR CRIMES	112
(i) Jurisdiction under Art. 27 (A) of Extraordinary Penal Law Decree	112
(ii) Findings of the Courts	113
3. THE PRISONERS OF WAR CONVENTION, 1929, AND THE TRIAL OF WAR CRIMINALS	114
4. THE RULE "NULLA POENA SINE LEGE"	118
5. PERMISSIBILITY OF "REPRISALS"	123
(i) Effect of an Act of Surrender (Capitulation)	124
(ii) Right to Resistance of Inhabitants of Occupied Territory	127
(iii) Legitimate and Illegitimate Reprisals	129

89. TRIAL OF WILLY ZUEHLKE

Netherlands Special Court in Amsterdam

(Judgment delivered on 3rd August, 1948)

and

Netherlands Special Court of Cassation

(Judgment delivered on 6th December, 1948)

A. OUTLINE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

I. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE FIRST COURT	139
1. The Charges	139
2. Facts and Evidence	140
3. The case for the Prosecution	140
4. The Defence	141
5. Findings and Sentence	141
II. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SPECIAL COURT OF CASSATION	142
1. Appeal of the Prosecution	142
2. The Accused's Appeal	142
3. The Court's Findings and Sentence	142

B. NOTES ON THE CASE

1. CRIMINAL NATURE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS OF WAR AND ITS LEGAL BASIS	143
2. ILLEGAL DETENTION A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY	145
3. DENIAL OF SPIRITUAL ASSISTANCE A CRIMINAL OFFENCE	145
4. PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS IN NETHERLANDS LAW	146

ANNEX: CHINESE LAW CONCERNING TRIALS OF WAR
CRIMINALS

LAW REPORTS
OF
TRIALS OF
WAR CRIMINALS

Selected and prepared by
THE UNITED NATIONS
WAR CRIMES COMMISSION

Volume XV
DIGEST OF LAWS AND CASES

LONDON: PUBLISHED FOR
THE UNITED NATIONS WAR CRIMES COMMISSION
BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1949

CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD BY THE RT. HON. THE LORD WRIGHT OF DURLEY, G.C.M.G.	vii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE SOURCES OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW	5
1. CUSTOMS AND PRACTICES ACCEPTED BY CIVILISED NATIONS GENERALLY	5
2. INTERSTATE AGREEMENTS	10
3. THE DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL TRIBUNALS	17
4. THE OPINIONS OF QUALIFIED TEXT WRITERS	20
III. THE LEGAL BASIS OF COURTS ADMINISTERING INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW	23
A. PROVISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW	23
B. THE USE MADE BY VARIOUS ALLIED STATES AND COURTS OF THESE PROVISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW	28
IV. THE PARTIES TO CRIMES	49
A. RULES RELATING TO COMPLICITY	49
B. CATEGORIES OF CRIMINALS	58
(i) Party Officials and Administrators	59
(ii) Industrialists and Business Men	59
(iii) Judges	60
(iv) Prosecutors	61
(v) Doctors and Nurses	61
(vi) Executioners	61
(vii) Concentration Camp Inmates	61
(viii) Military Officers and Other Superiors	62
(a) Trials and Provisions Relevant to the Question of the Burden of Proof	63
(b) Trials and Provisions Relevant to the Question of Substantive Law	65
(ix) Staff Officers	76
(x) Parachute Troops	79

	PAGE
V. SOME TYPES OF VICTIMS OF CRIMES	80
1. PRISONERS OF WAR	80
2. CIVILIANS IN OCCUPIED TERRITORY AND ALLIED CIVILIANS IN ENEMY TERRITORY	84
3. EX-ENEMY NATIONALS	86
VI. TYPES OF OFFENCES	89
A. INCHOATE OFFENCES	89
1. INCITEMENT	89
2. ATTEMPTS	89
3. CONSPIRACIES	90
B. WAR CRIMES	99
1. OFFENCES AGAINST PRISONERS OF WAR	99
(i) The killing of prisoners of war without due cause	99
(ii) Denial of a fair trial to prisoners of war ..	99
(iii) Ill-treatment of prisoners of war ..	100
(iv) Denial to prisoners of war of the minimum conditions conducive to life and health ..	101
(v) Causing prisoners of war to perform un- healthy or dangerous work	103
(vi) Causing prisoners of war to perform work having a direct connection with the operations of war	103
(vii) Infringement of the religious rights of prisoners of war	105
(viii) Handing over prisoners of war to the S.D.	106
2. OFFENCES AGAINST THE SICK AND WOUNDED ..	106
3. OFFENCES AGAINST SURVIVORS OF SUNKEN SHIPS	107
4. THE KILLING WITHOUT TRIAL OF CAPTURED SPIES	108
5. OFFENCES COMMITTED DURING ACTUAL COMBAT ..	109
6. OFFENCES AGAINST INHABITANTS OF OCCUPIED TERRITORIES	113
(i) The unwarranted killing of inhabitants of occupied territories	113
(ii) Denial of a fair trial to inhabitants of occupied territories	113

	PAGE
(iii) Ill-treatment of inhabitants of occupied territories	114
(iv) Subjection to illegal experiments	114
(v) Deportation of inhabitants of occupied territories	117
(vi) Putting civilians to forced labour	119
(vii) Enforced prostitution	121
(viii) False imprisonment	121
(ix) Denunciation to the occupying authorities	121
(x) Illegal recruiting into armed forces ..	121
(xi) Incitement of civilians to take up arms against their own country	121
(xii) Genocide	122
(xiii) Denationalisation	123
(xiv) Invasion of the religious rights of inhabitants of occupied territories	123
(xv) Wholesale substitution of existing courts of law	124
(xvi) Offences against property	125
7. SOME EXCEPTIONAL CATEGORIES OF WAR CRIMES ..	131
(i) Offences committed in Breach of Surrender Terms	131
(ii) The Giving of Unexecuted Orders	133
(iii) The Abuse of Red Cross Protection	133
(iv) Offences Committed against Dead Bodies ..	134
C. CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY	134
D. CRIMES AGAINST PEACE	138
E. MEMBERSHIP OF CRIMINAL ORGANISATIONS	150
VII. DEFENCE PLEAS	155
1. THE PLEA OF SUPERIOR ORDERS	157
2. THE PLEA THAT AN ACT WAS LEGAL OR EVEN OBLIGATORY UNDER MUNICIPAL LAW	160
3. THE PLEA OF HAVING ACTED IN AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY ..	161
4. THE PLEA THAT THE ALLEGED OFFENCE WAS CARRIED OUT AS A JUDICIAL ACT	161
5. THE PLEA OF NULLUM CRIMEN SINE LEGE, NULLA POENA SINE LEGE	166
6. THE PLEA OF DURESS	170

	PAGE
7. MILITARY NECESSITY	175
8. SELF-DEFENCE	177
9. THE PLEA OF LEGITIMATE REPRISALS	177
10. IGNORANCE OF THE LAW	182
11. MISTAKE OF FACT	184
12. THE MENTAL CAPACITY OF THE ACCUSED	185
13. THE ALLEGED VAGUENESS, UNCERTAINTY OR OBSOLETE- NESS OF THE LAW	185
14. THE PLEA THAT A SHOT PRISONER OF WAR WAS SHOT WHILE ATTEMPTING TO ESCAPE	186
15. PLEAS IN MITIGATION OF SENTENCE	187
VIII. THE PROCEDURE OF THE COURTS	189
1. RIGHT OF ACCUSED TO KNOW THE SUBSTANCE OF THE CHARGE	190
2. RIGHT OF ACCUSED TO BE PRESENT AT TRIAL AND TO GIVE EVIDENCE	191
3. RIGHT OF ACCUSED TO HAVE AID OF COUNSEL	192
4. RIGHT OF THE ACCUSED TO HAVE THE PROCEEDINGS MADE INTELLIGIBLE TO HIM BY INTERPRETATION	193
5. RULES REGARDING APPEAL AND CONFIRMATION	194
6. STRESS PLACED ON EXPEDITIOUS PROCEDURE	197
7. RULES OF EVIDENCE	197
IX. PUNISHMENT OF CRIMINALS	200
ANNEX I: CERTAIN JURISDICTIONAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO BELGIAN, CZECHOSLOVAK AND YUGOSLAV COURTS EMPOWERED TO TRY WAR CRIMINALS	203
1. THE JURISDICTION OF BELGIAN MILITARY TRIBUNALS OVER WAR CRIMES AND CERTAIN TREASONABLE ACTS	203
2. JURISDICTION OF THE PEOPLE'S COURTS IN CZECHO- SLOVAKIA OVER WAR CRIMINALS AND TRAITORS	205
3. JURISDICTION OF YUGOSLAV COURTS OVER WAR CRIMES AND TREASONABLE ACTIVITIES	207
ANNEX II: INDEX OF CASES REPORTED OR CITED IN THESE VOLUMES	210