

HOW ECONOMICS FORGOT HISTORY

The problem of historical specificity
in social science

Geoffrey M. Hodgson



London and New York

CONTENTS

<i>List of illustrations</i>	xī
<i>Preface</i>	xīīī
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xīx
	i'

PART I

Introduction	1
1 The limitations of general theory	3
2 The problem of historical specificity	21

PART II

The nineteenth century: the German historical school and its impact	41
Dramatis personae	41
3 Karl Marx and the specificity of the capitalist system	43
4 The older historical school in Germany	56
5 The historical school in the British Isles	65
6 The methodological failure of the older historical school	75
7 Out of Austria: Carl Menger and the <i>Methodenstreit</i>	79
8 Alfred Marshall and the British <i>Methodendiskurs</i>	95
9 The responses of the younger historical school in Germany	113

CONTENTS

PART III

The twentieth century: from American institutionalism to the end of history	135
Dramatis personae	135
10 Thorstein Veblen and the foundations of Institutionalism	137
11 Early American institutionalism and the problem of historical specificity	152
12 The theoretical manifesto of John Commons	166
13 Talcott Parsons and the ascent of ahistorical sociology	178
14 Death and counter-revolution at the London School of Economics	204
15 John Maynard Keynes and his declaration of a <i>General Theory</i>	215
16 The triumph of barren universality	232
17 Institution blindness and the end of history	248

I~

PART IV

The millennium: the second coming of history?	271
18 Are there universals in social and economic theory?	273
19 Property, culture, habits and institutions	287
20 Exchange and production: property and firms	310
21 A note on social formations and levels of abstraction	322
22 An evolutionary perspective on the historical problem	330
23 Invention is helpless without tradition	346/
<i>Bibliography</i>	356
<i>Index</i>	• 403