IRL-Bericht 4

Isabella M.Mambretti

Urban Parks between Safety and Aesthetics

Exploring urban green space using Visualisation and Conjoint Analysis methods

Publikationsreihe
des Instituts **fur** Raum-
und Landschaftsentwicklung (IRL), ETHZ

Inftltut for Raum- und Landschaftsentwicklung

K I C I NetzwerkStadtundLandschatt
Network City and Landscape

6

Eidgendssische Technlsche Hochschule Zurich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

v/dlf

CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	**5**
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	**6**
	CONTENTS	**7**
	ABSTRACT	**11**
	RIASSUNTO	**13**
1	**INTRODUCTION**	**15**
1.1	Motivation	16
1.1.1	Urban green space	17
1.2	Research domain	21
1.2.1	Experimental research	22
1.2.2	Two parks in Zurich	23
1.3	Research outline	25
2	**STUDYING THE LANDSCAPE**	**27**
2.1	Landscape as concept, allegory and icon	27
2.1.1	Landscape as concept	29
2.1.2	Landscape as allegory	30
2.1.3	Landscape as icon	30
2.2	Visualisation in landscape analysis	31
2.2.1	Visualisation as an investigative tool	32
2.2.2	Visualisation as a communication tool	33
2.2.2.1	The communication jam	34
2.2.3	Revival of the static image	36
2.2.3.1	Comparison of the two approaches	38
2.3	Evaluating virtual parks	40
2.3.1	Evaluation subjects	41
2.3.1.1	Personal safety	43
2.3.1.2	Aesthetic quality	43
2.3.1.3	Overall preference	44
2.4	Urban crime	44
2.4.1	Crime, security and personal safety	45
2.4.2	Safety demands on open green spaces	47
2.4.3	Visual impermeability	49
2.5	Urban park visual units	53
2.5.1	Virtual model	53
2.5.2	Visual units	54
2.5.2.1	Vegetation character	54
2.5.2.2	Vegetation spatial arrangements and seasonal changes	56
2.5.2.3	Colour and ground cover	57

3	**CASE STUDIES**	**59**
3.1	Green space	59
3.1.1	A resource to investigate	61
3.1.1.1	Bryant Park	62
3.1.2	Parks and gardens	63
3.1.3	Conflicts in the use of urban parks	63
3.2	The City of Zurich	64
3.2.1	Green space overview	64
3.2.2	Platzspitz Park	66
3.2.3	Zurichhorn Park	68
4	**METHODOLOGY**	**71**
4.1	Analysing small landscapes with GIS	71
4.1.1	GIS-based highly detailed visualisation	73
4.1.1.1	Creation of GIS systems	73
4.1.2	From I MAG IS to Orchestra	78
4.1.2.1	IMAGIS	78
4.1.2.2	Orchestra	79
4.2	Visualisation process	80
4.2.1	Data gathering and geometry creation	80
4.2.2	Generation of texture library	82
4.2.3	Generation of vegetation library	83
4.2.4	Settings of 3D scenarios	86
4.2.5	Rendering and final production	88
4.3	Evaluation method	90
4.3.1	Definition of evaluation method	90
4.3.1.1	Conjoint analysis	90
4.3.1.2	Assumptions underlying the statistical model	91
5	**SURVEY EXPERIMENT**	**93**
5.1	Purpose	93
5.1.1	Research design	94
5.2	Definition of attributes of interest	94
5.3	Main attributes	96
5.3.1	Park attributes	97
5.3.1.1	Vegetation spatial arrangements	97
5.3.1.2	Viewpoint	98
5.3.1.3	Seasonal changes	100
5.3.2	Physical characteristics	100
5.3.2.1	Depth and sky portion	101
5.3.2.2	Luminosity and colour variation	101

5.4	Survey experiment	102
5.4.1	Full factorial profile	102
5.4.2	Experiment design	103
5.5	Questionnaire outline	104
5.5.1	Detailed questions	105
6	**PRELIMINARY RESULTS**	**107**
6.1	Survey experiment	107
6.1.1	Sample frame	107
6.1.2	Data collection	109
6.1.2.1	Residents	109
6.1.2.2	Professionals	109
6.1.2.3	Citizens near the park and park visitors	110
6.2	Evaluation of the experiment	110
6.3	Demographics of respondents	111
6.3.1	Socio-demographic characteristics	111
6.4	Behaviour towards parks	113
6.5	Virtual parks as a social arena	114
6.5.1	Thinking about parks	114
6.5.2	Evaluating benefits of the park depicted	115
6.5.3	Evaluating enjoyment of the park depicted	116
6.6	First descriptive round	118
6.6.1	Images/profiles	119
6.6.2	Ratings by gender, age and types of interviewees	120
6.6.3	Ratings across questionnaires	121
6.6.4	Ratings of attributes	121
6.6.5	Ratings of attributes divided by questionnaire groupings	122
6.6.6	Ratings of vegetation spatial arrangements over seasons	123
6.7	Comments	123
7	**STATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS**	**125**
7.1	Main questions	125
7.2	Operational decisions	128
7.3	Analyses and results	129
7.3.1	Evaluation for Park 1	129
7.3.1.1	Judgments of personal safety	129
7.3.1.2	Judgments of aesthetic quality	130
7.3.1.3	Judgments of overall preference	131
7.4	Effect of visualisation	133
7.5	Generalisability	137
7.5.1	Generalisability for parks	138
7.5.2	Generalisability for tests	141
7.6	Conclusions and discussion	146

8	**CONCLUSIONS**	**149**
8.1	**Evaluation of the research**	149
8.1.1	Visualisations	149
8.1.2	Conjoint analysis	149
8.2	**Achievements and limitations of the research**	150
8.3	**Suggestions for further research**	151
8.4	**Outlook**	152
9	**APPENDIX A**	153
9.1	**Approach to visualisation**	153
9.1.1	Photography	153
9.1.2	Drawing and digital media	154
9.1.3	Rendering	155
9.1.4	Media and applications	156
9.2	**Two parks in Zurich**	157
9.2.1	Platzspitz Park	157
9.2.2	Evolution of Platzspitz Park	159
9.2.3	Zurichhorn Park	163
10	**APPENDIX B**	**165**
10.1	**The 64 full factorial profiles of Platzspitz Park**	**165**
10.2	**The 64 full factorial profiles of Zurichhorn Park**	**173**
10.3	**Examples of the questionnaires**	**181**
11	**APPENDIX C**	**187**
11.1	**Descriptive analysis**	**187**
11.2	**Physical characteristics of images**	**194**
11.3	**Correlations**	**196**
11.4	**Interactions**	**198**
12	**APPENDIX D**	**205**
12.1	**List of figures**	**205**
12.2	**List of tables**	**209**
12.3	**References**	**211**