

Antero Putkiranta

# INDUSTRIAL BENCHMARKS: FROM WORLD CLASS TO BEST IN CLASS

EXPERIENCES FROM FINNISH MANUFACTURING AT PLANT LEVEL

HELSINKI SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS OECONOMICAE HELSINGIENSIS

A-268

# Table of Content

## Abstract

Acknowledgements

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Performance measurement and best practices  | 3         |
| 1.1.1    | The role of benchmarking  | 6         |
| 1.2      | Research approach, objectives, and methodology                                    | 10        |
| 1.2.1    | Research objectives   | 12        |
| 1.2.2    | Research methodology and process  | 13        |
| 1.2.3    | Reliability and validity  | 14        |
| 1.3      | The organization of the study   | 15        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Review of manufacturing strategy, performance measurement and benchmarking</b> | <b>17</b> |
| 2.1      | Developments in manufacturing practices   | 17        |
| 2.2      | Manufacturing and logistics strategies  | 19        |
| 2.2.1    | Corporate strategy and manufacturing strategy                                     | 22        |
| 2.2.2    | Capability based strategies   | 24        |
| 2.2.3    | Product and process life cycles   | 26        |
| 2.2.4    | Process strategies  | 29        |
| 2.2.5    | Characteristics of different types of processes                                   | 32        |
| 2.2.6    | Different roles of a manufacturing site   | 33        |
| 2.2.7    | Operations management in network operations                                       | 34        |
| 2.3      | Strategy and performance measurement  | 35        |
| 2.3.1    | Top down approach to implementation   | 35        |
| 2.3.2    | Linking performance measurement to strategy                                       | 36        |
| 2.3.3    | Performance measurement process   | 38        |
| 2.3.4    | Benchmarking  | 40        |
| 2.3.5    | Balanced scorecards   | 42        |
| 2.3.6    | The practice-performance model  | 43        |
| 2.3.7    | Paths of change   | 44        |
| 2.4      | The impact of practice on performance   | 45        |
| 2.4.1    | Best practices  | 45        |
| 2.4.2    | Different aspects of performance measurement                                      | 47        |
| 2.4.3    | Structural and operational decisions  | 49        |
| 2.4.4    | Capabilities and competitive criteria   | 50        |
| 2.4.5    | External and internal performance   | 52        |
| 2.4.6    | Human practices and performance   | 53        |
| 2.4.7    | Flexibility, simplicity and discipline  | 55        |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 2.5      | Synthesis of the theoretical discussion.....                            | 56         |
| 2.6      | Some hypothesis regarding performance and practices.....                | 6C         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>A framework for benchmarking.....</b>                                | <b>64</b>  |
| 3.1      | Apects of practices and performance.....                                | 6£         |
| 3.1.1    | Factors influencing performance and practices.....                      | 66         |
| 3.1.2    | External conditions influencing performance.....                        | 67         |
| 3.1.3    | Internal decisions influencing performance.....                         | 6£         |
| 3.1.4    | Performance indicators influenced by external and internal factors..... | 71         |
| 3.1.5    | Best Practices in different industries.....                             | 74         |
| 3.2      | Roles of different benchmarking and measurement systems.....            | 75         |
| 3.3      | Different applications of benchmarking.....                             | 78         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Made in Europe once - made in Finland twice.....</b>                 | <b>80</b>  |
| 4.1      | The practice-performance model.....                                     | 81         |
| 4.2      | Key findings in 'Made in Europe' -study.....                            | 85         |
| 4.3      | Studies in Finnish manufacturing.....                                   | 88         |
| 4.3.1    | The sample.....   | 88         |
| 4.3.2    | Made in Finland study 1993.....   | 90         |
| 4.3.3    | Made in Finland study 2004.....   | 90         |
| 4.3.4    | Performance measurement methods.....                                    | 91         |
| 4.3.5    | Some Issues regarding benchmarking.....                                 | 92         |
| 4.4      | Results of the Made in Finland study 1993 vs. 2003.....                 | 98         |
| 4.5      | Business vision priorities and inhibitors.....                          | 105        |
| 4.6      | World class, competitiveness and ownership.....                         | 110        |
| 4.7      | Summary.....  | 124        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Structural analysis for best in class.....</b>                       | <b>126</b> |
| 5.1      | The changes in the supply chain context 1993 vs. 2003.....              | 126        |
| 5.2      | Repositioning of the plans in terms of process and product classes..... | 130        |
| 5.3      | Other structural aspects of performance and practices.....              | 137        |
| 5.4      | Summary of structural changes with some cases.....                      | 143        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Conclusions.....</b>   | <b>148</b> |
| 6.1      | Summary of results.....   | 148        |
| 6.2      | Managerial implications.....  | 151        |
| 6.3      | Future research.....  | 152        |
|          | <b>References.....</b>  | <b>155</b> |
|          | Appendix 1.....   | 162        |
|          | Appendix 2.....   | 170        |
|          | Appendix 3.....   | 171        |