## Pierre Bourdieu Manet

## A Symbolic Revolution

Lectures at the Collège de France (1998–2000) followed by an unfinished manuscript by Pierre and Marie-Claire Bourdieu

Edition established by Pascale Casanova, Patrick Champagne, Christophe Charle, Franck Poupeau and Marie-Christine Rivière

'Opus Infinitum', by Christophe Charle

'Self-Portrait as a Free Artist', by Pascale Casanova

Translated by
Peter Collier and Margaret Rigaud-Drayton

## **Contents**

| Acknowledgements  | _ 1X |
|---|------|
| Editors' Note   | X    |
| Translators' Note   | xii  |
|   |      |
| Lectures at the Collège de France, 1998/9: The Manet Effect   | 1    |
| Lecture of 6 January 1999  Lecture objectives: the symbolic revolution that Manet started. — A successful symbolic order. — Pompier painting. — The construction of modern art: what was at stake in this struggle. — Parenthesis: a social problem and a sociological problem. — State art and avantgarde academicism. — The mock revolution. — Parenthesis on scientific populism. — An impossible research programme: the space of criticism. — From the familiar to the scandalous. — A painting full of incongruity. — The clash between the noble and the 'vulgar'. — The affinity between the hierarchies. — 'RealismIformalism': a false dichotomy. | 3    |
| Lecture of 13 January 1999  Question on the revolution in art. – The game of the educated guess  ('That makes me think of'). – Constructing the field of criticism.  – The effects of the work of art. – The 'intersubjective unconscious'.  – The intentionalist theory. – Aesthetic transgression and solecisms.  – The rhetoric of euphemism and the effect of a title. – The effects of composition. – A symbolic bomb. – The rationale of a painting. –  Using a painting within a painting to question painting. – Intention and disposition.   | 22   |
| Lecture of 20 January 1999  Reply to a question on dialectics. – Transgressions of the ethical order. – Manet and Monet. – The academic eye. – Dispositional theory. – The philosophy of intention. – Intention and disposition.  When a habitus comes into contact with a space of possibilities. –  | 38   |

vi Contents

| Contents  |     |
|---|-----|
| The example of writers. – Critique of the notion of sources. – The hypothesis of coherence.   |     |
| Lecture of 27 January 1999 Reflexive return to the previous lecture. — Pre-constructed objects and technical impeccability. — Epistemological breaks and social breaks. — The theory of dispositions and scholastic bias. — The philosophy of intention and the philosophy of disposition. — Critique of genetic criticism. — Critique of the iconographic tradition. — The hermeneutic posture. — Copies, parodies and pastiches. — A very strange exercise. — Knowledge through the body. | 55  |
| Lecture of 3 February 1999  Replies to two misunderstandings. — On the right use of sources. — Listening to a lecture. — Internalists and externalists. — Youthful works and student exercises. — The intelligence of the body. — The structural conditions of creation. — A total social fact. — An institutional crisis. — A formalist theory. — Finishing with the 'finish' of the pompier painters.   | 75  |
| Lecture of 10 February 1999  Return to a hasty reaction. — Limits of the formalist approach. — The illusio as metabelief. — The trap of dichotomous logics. — Questioning the academic system and the historicization of the work of art. — Social history of academic art. — Studios as elite schools. — Corps and field. — The field of publishing.   | 92  |
| Lecture of 17 February 1999  An academic art. — Pompier art, aristocrats and nouveaux riches.  — The academic aesthetic. — An integrated academic institution. — Studios and rites of initiation. — Consecration and the production of belief. — A gradus ad parnassum. — The Academy and academic painting. — Technical and historical virtuosity. — An aesthetic of readability — A 'dehistoricized' history. — An aesthetic of the finished.   | 106 |
| Lecture of 24 February 1999  Manet's critics. — Parenthesis on the line separating the private from the public. — Lifestyle and style of the works. — The abolition of meaning. — The heretics and the orthodox. — Nomination. — The struggle for monopoly. — Exhibition and consecration. — The transformation of the school system. — The defence of the corps. — A crisis of belief. — Durkheim's morphological model and its limits.  | 124 |
| Lecture of 4 March 1999  External factors and the logic of fields: the surplus production of diplomas. — The reproduction of differences. — 'Refuge' disciplines and positions. — The weakening of the state monopoly. — The contribution of the public to the revolution. — The sclerosis of the Salon and the generalized crisis of helief. — A comparison of the artistic milieus of   | 142 |

| Paris and London. – Manet and the Pre-Raphaelites. – Manet seen by Mallarmé.  |     |
|---|-----|
| Lectures at the Collège de France, 1999/2000: Foundations of a<br>Dispositionalist Aesthetic  | 161 |
| Lecture of 12 January 2000  Doubts and reflexivity. — Birth of the artistic field. — A commentary on Mallarmé's text on Manet. — A critique of criticism. — The Zola—Manet—Mallarmé paradigm. — The inconsistencies of Bar at the Folies-Bergère. — Mallarmé on Manet. — The structural homology between the artistic and religious fields. — Belief and the return to the sources.   | 163 |
| Lecture of 19 January 2000  Zola and Mallarmé. – Formalism, materialism and symbolism. – 'Throwing yourself into the water' as a philosophy of action. – A practical aesthetic.   | 182 |
| Lecture of 26 January 2000  A critical look at the previous lecture: the need for a double historicization. — A parenthesis on art criticism. — Back to Mallarmé's article. — Framing the picture to make cut-outs of the world. — A new economy of production. — When two histories meet.  | 199 |
| Lecture of 2 February 2000  Summary of the previous lecture. — Accounting for artistic forms: the infrastructure/superstructure model. — Models of historical processes. — The approach I take in this lecture series: the habitus-field model. — Manet and the challenge to analysis. — Analytical method. — Beyond the continuous/discontinuous alternative.  | 217 |
| Lecture of 9 February 2000  Breaks vs. continuity. — The Salon des refusés of 1863. — For a rational form of eclecticism. — The Impressionist break with continuity (1): Impressionism foreshadowed. — The Impressionist break with continuity (2): parody. — The paradox of symbolic revolutionaries. — Accounting for charisma. — Technical factors. — Morphological changes. — Factors linked with demand. — A multifactorial model. — Specificity of the economy of symbolic goods.   | 234 |
| Lecture of 16 February 2000  The artistic field. – Social transformations and formal transformations. – A parenthesis on being 'economical' with research. – The 'painter of modern life'. – The fallacy of the shortcircuit. – The gaze in Manet's work. – The field as intermediary social space. – Artist societies. – A parenthesis on pseudo-concepts. – Aestheticopolitical attitudes and positions in the field of art. – The field of criticism between the literary and artistic fields. – A revolution in the field of art. | 253 |

| Lecture of 23 February 2000  The production of belief. — The usefulness of the notion of field. — The field of criticism: its two dimensions. — Portraits of critics. — How the field of criticism operates. — The principle of competence. — When the notion of field guides the analysis. — Manet, the subject and object of the artistic field.   | 274   |
|--|---|
| Lecture of 1 March 2000  Mechanistic explanations and structural causality. — Bodily hexis. —  Manet's cleft habitus. — Manet's capital. — The places where Manet accumulated social capital: (1) The collège Rollin. — (2) The salon of Commandant Lejosne. — (3) The salon held by Manet's wife. — (4) The studio of Thomas Couture. — (5) The Louvre. — (6) The cafés and their fashionable bohemian crowd. — (7) Painters' studios.                            | 294   |
| Lecture of 8 March 2000  A reminder – my approach. – Art as a 'pure practice without theory'.  – The author's point of view and relationship to the public. – An aesthetic of effects. – Manet understood as a concrete individual.  – Form and content. – The Manet effect. – Foils and fulcrums. – Analyses of Manet's works.  | 320   |
| Opus Infinitum: Genesis and Structure of a Work without End (by Christophe Charle)   | 351   |
| Manet the Heresiarch. Genesis of the Artistic and Critical Fields (Unfinished Manuscript by Pierre and Marie-Claire Bourdieu) Introduction 1: Pompier Art as an Academic Universal 2: The Crisis in the Academic Institution 3: Break and Continuity 4: The Field of Criticism and the Artistic Field 5: 'The Heresiarch and Co.' 6: Manet's Aesthetics Appendix Self-Portrait as a Free Artist: or 'I Don't Know Why I am Telling You That' (by Pascale Casanova) | 363<br>365<br>367<br>383<br>396<br>409<br>431<br>452<br>490 |
| Appendix Summaries of the Lectures Published in Annuaire du Collège  | 497   |
| de France  | 499   |
| Notes Image Credits Index of Paintings Cited Index   | 504<br>563<br>565<br>568                                    |