

In Defense of the Economic Analysis of Regulation

Robert W. Hahn

AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Contents

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	vii
FOREWORD, Robert W. Hahn and Robert E. Litan	ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. A REVIEW OF THE MAIN CRITIQUES	4
Discounting of Benefits Is Wrong and Antiregulatory	6
Selection Bias Is Generally Antiregulatory	8
Use of Ex-Ante Estimates May Be Antiregulatory	10
Quantitative Economic Analysis Is Antiregulatory because It Squeezes Out Qualitative Benefits	11
The Results of These Studies Are Not Robust	13
The Benefits and Costs to Subgroups Are Frequently Overlooked in Practice	14
III. CRITICISMS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S NUMBERS STUDIES	15
Benefits and Costs	16
Benefits	22
Costs	31
IV. IN SUPPORT OF REGULATORY SCORECARDS AND THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF REGULATION	33
Scorecards and Economic Analysis Provide Useful Information on the Effectiveness of Regulatory Policies	33
Scorecards and Economic Analysis Set the Stage for Smarter Regulation	39
Scorecards Help with the Development of New Techniques to Assess the Quality of Regulatory Analysis and Oversight	41

Scorecards Help Make the Regulatory Process More Transparent and Hold Regulators More Accountable	44
Scorecards Help with the Development of New Research Insights	45
Scorecards Are Not Antiregulatory	46
What Is the Alternative?	47
V. WHERE THE CRITICS AND I MAY CONVERGE	51
Need to Weigh the Benefits against the Costs of Regulation	51
Need to Balance Quantitative and Qualitative Information in Decision Making	51
Need to Provide a Better Treatment of Uncertainty	52
Need to Consider Distributional Issues	53
Need to Do More Retrospective Analyses	54
Need for Greater Regulatory Transparency	54
Need for New Institutional Approaches That Improve Regulation	54
VI. CONCLUSION	56
NOTES	61
ABOUT THE AUTHOR	117