

Crimes Against Humanity

A Normative Account

Larry May

Washington University

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

| | |
|--|----------------|
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | <i>page xi</i> |
| A. UNIVERSAL NORMS AND MORAL MINIMALISM | |
| 1 Introduction | 3 |
| I. IDENTIFYING INTERNATIONAL CRIMES | 5 |
| II. THE CONTINGENT PRESUMPTION FAVORING SOVEREIGNTY | 8 |
| III. SOVEREIGNTY AND TOLERATION | 11 |
| IV. HOBBS AND THE PURSUIT OF SECURITY | 14 |
| V. SOLVING THE PROBLEM OF SOVEREIGNTY | 18 |
| VI. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENTS OF THE BOOK | 20 |
| 2 <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms | 24 |
| I. UNIVERSAL NORMS IN AN INTERNATIONAL SETTING | 26 |
| II. CONTEMPORARY LEGAL POSITIVISM | 29 |
| III. MORAL MINIMALISM | 32 |
| IV. CONTEMPORARY NATURAL LAW THEORY AND ITS CRITICS | 35 |
| 3 Custom, <i>Opinio Juris</i> , and Consent | 40 |
| I. SOME LESSONS FROM HUME | 42 |
| II. A NON-CRIMINAL MODEL: THE OIL NATIONALIZATION CASES | 44 |
| III. IRAQ'S INVASION OF KUWAIT | 48 |
| IV. THE THREAT TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS | 50 |
| V. WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CUSTOM AND UNIVERSAL NORMS? | 53 |
| VI. DEFENDING CUSTOM | 55 |
| B. PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW | |
| 4 The Security Principle | 63 |
| I. INTERNATIONAL CRIMES AND MORAL LEGITIMACY | 64 |
| II. THE SECURITY PRINCIPLE | 68 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. A HOBBSIAN DEFENSE OF THE SECURITY PRINCIPLE | 72 |
| IV. OBJECTIONS TO THE SECURITY PRINCIPLE | 76 |
| 5 The International Harm Principle | 80 |
| I. HARMING HUMANITY | 81 |
| II. HUMANITY AND WIDESPREAD HARM | 84 |
| III. GROUP-BASED ACTIONS AND SYSTEMATIC HARM | 87 |
| IV. OBJECTIONS TO THE INTERNATIONAL HARM PRINCIPLE | 90 |
| 6 International Crime: The Case of Rape | 96 |
| I. RAPE AS A WAR CRIME | 98 |
| II. RAPE AS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY | 100 |
| III. RAPE AS PERSECUTION | 103 |
| IV. WHY NOT INDIVIDUALIZED INTERNATIONAL CRIMES? | 106 |
| C. PROSECUTING INTERNATIONAL CRIMES | |
| 7 Prosecuting "Minor Players" for Crimes Against Humanity | 115 |
| I. ETHNIC CLEANSING AND THE ACTS OF DUSKO TADIC | 117 |
| II. THREE UNCONTROVERSIAL ELEMENTS OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY | 119 |
| III. DISCRIMINATORY INTENT | 124 |
| IV. KNOWLEDGE OF THE PLAN | 128 |
| V. PROSECUTING ETHNIC CLEANSING AS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY | 132 |
| 8 Prosecuting State Leaders for Crimes Against Humanity | 139 |
| I. COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY AND GROUP HARM | 140 |
| II. GROUP ONTOLOGY AND THE STATE | 143 |
| III. RESPONSIBILITY AND PUNISHMENT OF STATES | 146 |
| IV. THE PINOCHET CASE | 148 |
| V. HEAD OF STATE IMMUNITY | 152 |
| 9 Prosecuting Genocide Amidst Widespread Complicity | 157 |
| I. THE GREATEST OF EVILS | 158 |
| II. SIMILARITY OF BEHAVIOR | 160 |
| III. THE ACT ELEMENT IN THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE | 164 |
| IV. THE INTENT ELEMENT IN THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE | 167 |
| V. SHARING RESPONSIBILITY FOR POLITICAL CRIMES | 170 |
| VI. RESPONSIBILITY AND PUNISHMENT FOR GENOCIDE | 174 |
| D. DEFENSES AND ALTERNATIVES | |
| 10 Superior Orders, Duress, and Moral Perception | 179 |
| I. THE NUREMBERG DEFENSE | 181 |
| II. NORMAL PERCEPTION IN ABNORMAL TIMES | 184 |
| III. OUTRAGE AND THE SENTIMENTS OF HUMANITY | 188 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| IV. RESTRICTED MORAL CHOICES | 191 |
| V. ARTICLES 31 AND 33 OF THE ICC CHARTER | 196 |
| 11 The International Rule of Law | 201 |
| I. THE CONCEPT OF THE RULE OF LAW | 202 |
| II. RETROACTIVITY | 207 |
| III. SELECTIVE PROSECUTION | 211 |
| IV. LET THE PUNISHMENT FIT THE CRIME | 214 |
| V. A HOBBSIAN APPROACH TO THE INTERNATIONAL RULE OF LAW | 216 |
| 12 Victims and Convictions | 220 |
| I. RESTORING THE RIGHT | 222 |
| II. EXPRESSING CONDEMNATION | 224 |
| III. TRIALS AND THE INTERNATIONAL RULE OF LAW | 227 |
| IV. THE FAILURE TO CONVICT INTERNATIONAL CRIMINALS | 230 |
| 13 Reconciliation and Amnesty Programs | 235 |
| I. THE GOALS OF RECONCILIATION | 236 |
| II. THE CONCEPT OF EQUITY | 239 |
| III. FORGIVENESS AND AMNESTY | 243 |
| IV. COLLECTIVE RESPONSIBILITY | 246 |
| V. COLLECTIVE REMEDIES | 249 |
| Conclusions | 254 |
| <i>Notes</i> | 259 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 295 |
| <i>Index</i> | 305 |