

INDIVIDUALISM IN MODERN THOUGHT

From Adam Smith to Hayek

Lorenzo Infantino



London and New York

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	x
1 Introduction	1
<i>The 'abuse of reason' 1</i>	
<i>Political economy and the discovery of unintentional order 2</i>	
<i>The birth of sociology and intentional order '4</i>	
<i>The 'revolt against individualism' 5</i>	
<i>The alliance against the open society 6</i>	
2 Bernard de Mandeville and Adam Smith: the 'theory of the 'great society'	9
<i>Man, a social animal 9</i>	
<i>Needs and the division of labour 11</i>	
<i>Can a 'commercial society' survive? 13</i>	
<i>The advantage of Ego and the advantage of the Other: the 'invisible hand' 15</i>	
<i>A teleological development 19</i>	
<i>Mandeville: the service rendered, by others is always a means 21</i>	
<i>Smith: the service rendered by others is always a means (on the principle of 'sympathy') 24</i>	
<i>'The Adam. Smith problem' 27</i>	
<i>The consistency of Smith's theory 30</i>	
<i>Some conclusions 31</i>	
3 Which method? A question about the philosophy of the social sciences	41
<i>Unintentional order and the individualistic method 41</i>	
<i>The 'individualistic' method makes clear the social link 43</i>	
<i>The individualistic method and the errors of psychologism 45</i>	

	<i>Auguste Comte: the collectivistic method and the impossibility of the 'great society'</i>	48
	<i>Karl Marx: between- politics and science</i>	52
4	Durkheim and the application of the collectivistic method	57
	<i>Durkheim versus the 'great society'</i>	57
	<i>The state as independent variable</i>	60
	<i>Durkheim and political economy</i>	64
	<i>Is society a 'sui generis' reality?</i>	67
	<i>Society is not a 'sui. generis' reality</i>	71
	<i>Between positivism and. idealism</i>	77
5	Is an 'individualistic' reading of Durkheim possible?	83
	<i>The dements that justify an 'individualistic' reading of Durkheim</i>	83
	<i>Durkheim under the 'individualistic' lens</i>	85
	<i>Is it possible to reconcile Durkheim and. Spencer?</i>	90
	<i>Is it possible to reconcile Durkheim and. Simmel?</i>	95
6	Economists and sociologists compared: Carl Menger and Georg Simmel, Ludwig von Mises and Max Weber	100
	<i>Carl Menger: methodological individualism and 'marginalistic revolution'</i>	100
	<i>The 'convergences' between Carl Menger and Georg Simmel</i>	106
	<i>Ludwig von Mises: the theory of action in the development of Austrian marginalism</i>	114
	<i>The 'convergences' between Max Weber and Ludwig von Mises</i>	118
	<i>Mises's criticism of Weber's quadripartition of meaningful action</i>	125
7	The early Parsons: between sociology and economics	131
	<i>The 'death' of Spencer and the expulsion of Simmel</i>	131
	<i>The misunderstanding of the rational, construction of preferences</i>	134
	<i>In search of the 'voluntaristic-creative' element</i>	140
	<i>The problem of the 'common system of ultimate ends'</i>	146
	<i>The 'sociologist ic theorem'</i>	151
	<i>The. missing solution</i>	157
	<i>Economic cost and social obligation</i>	163

CONTENTS

Conclusions	166
<i>'Let us learn to be selves'</i>	166
<i>Sociology and economics</i>	169
<i>The task of the social sciences</i>	172
<i>Notes</i>	174
<i>References</i>	210
<i>Index</i>	222