International Law in the U.S. Supreme Court

CONTINUITY AND CHANGE

David L. Sloss Santa Clara University School of Law

Michael D. Ramsey University of San Diego School of Law

William S. Dodge University of California, Hastings College of the Law

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

List	of Contributors page xi
Tabl	le of Cases xix
Acki	nowledgments xxxv
	Introduction
PAR	T I FROM THE FOUNDINC TO THE CIVIL WAR
1	International Law in the Supreme Court to 1860
PAR	T II FROM THE CIVIL WAR TO THE TURN OF THE CENTURY
2	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1861-1900
3	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1861-1900
4	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court,1861-1900. <i>Thomas H. Lee and, David L. Sloss</i>
5	A Social History of International Law: Historical Commentary, 1861-1900
PAF	RT III FROM THE TURN OF THE CENTURY TO WORLD WAR 11
6	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1901-1945
7	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1901-1945
8	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court, 1901-1945 257 Roger P. Alford

9	Varieties and Complexities of Doctrinal Change: Historical Commentary, 1901-1945
PAR	T IV FROM WORLD WAR II TO THE NEW MILLENNIUM
10	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1946-2000
11	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1946-2000
12	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court, 1946-2000 380 <i>Melissa A. Waters</i>
13	Global Power in an Age of Rights: Historical Commentary, 1946-2000
PAR	T V INTERNATIONAL LAW IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE TWENTY'FIRST CENTURY
	Introductory Note
VA	TREATIES AFTER 2000
	Main Essay - Medellin and Sanchez-Llamas: Treaties from John Jayto John Roberts.Lori F. Damrosch
	Response Essay — The Benefits of Avoiding Conflicts between the Constitution and International Law
	Response Essay - <i>Medellin</i> and the Passive Vices
V.B	CUSTOMARY INTERNATIONAL LAW AFTER 2000
	Main Essay - <i>Sosa</i> and the Derivation of Customary International Law
	Response Essay - "Cheap Talk" about Customary International Law 494 Chimene I. Keitner
	Response Essay — History, Ideology, and <i>Erie v. Tompkins</i>
V.C	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION AFTER 2000
	Main Essay - International Law and Constitutional Interpretation in the Twenty-First Century: Change and Continuity

VI11

Contents

	Response Essay - Why Constitutional Comparativism Is Different: A Response to Professor Tushnet
	Response Essay — Judicial Dialogue in <i>Roper:</i> Signaling the Court's Emergence as a Transnational Legal Actor?
V.D	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND STATUTORY INTERPRETATION AFTER 2000
	Main Essay - <i>Empagran's</i> Empire: International Law and Statutory Interpretation in the U.S. Supreme Court of the Twenty-First Century
	Response Essay — Loose Canons: International Law and Statutory Interpretation in the Twenty-First Century
	Response Essay - <i>Empagran:</i> Empire Building or Judicial Modesty?
V.E	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND THE WAR ON TERROR
	Main Essay - The Supreme Court, the War on Terror, and the American Just War Constitutional Tradition
	Response Essay - Constitutional Resolve in a World Changed Utterly
-	Response Essay - Judicial Imperialism and the War on Terror Cases
PAR	T VI CONCLUSION
	Continuity and Change over Two Centuries

Index

607