

DEIRDRE NANSEN MCCLOSKEY

**HOW IDEAS, NOT CAPITAL
OR INSTITUTIONS, ENRICHED
THE WORLD**

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS CHICAGO AND LONDON

CONTENTS

Exordium: The Three Volumes Show That We Are Rich Because of an Ethical and Rhetorical Change	xi
Acknowledgments	xxxvii

FIRST QUESTION: WHAT IS TO BE EXPLAINED?

<i>Parti</i>	A GREAT ENRICHMENT HAPPENED, AND WILL HAPPEN
1	The World Is Pretty Rich, but Once Was Poor 5
2	For Malthusian and Other Reasons, Very Poor 14
3	Then Many of Us Shot Up the Blade of a Hockey Stick 21
h	As Your Own Life Shows 30
5	The Poor Were Made Much Better Off 37
6	Inequality Is Not the Problem 45
7	Despite Doubts from the Left 53
8	Or from the Right and Middle 61
9	The Great International Divergence Can Be Overcome 73

**SECOND QUESTION:
WHY NOT THE CONVENTIONAL EXPLANATIONS?**

EXPLANATIONS FROM LEFT AND RIGHT HAVE
PROVEN FALSE

- 10 The Divergence Was Not Caused by Imperialism 85
- 11 Poverty Cannot Be Overcome from the Left
by Overthrowing "Capitalism" 93
- 12 "Accumulate, Accumulate" Is Not What Happened
in History 101
- 13 But Neither Can Poverty Be Overcome from the
Right by Implanting "Institutions" 111
- U Because Ethics Matters, and Changes, More 117
- 15 And the Oomph of Institutional Change Is Far Too Small 129
- 16 Most Governmental Institutions Make Us Poorer 139

**THIRD QUESTION:
WHAT, THEN, EXPLAINS THE ENRICHMENT?**

- III* BOURGEOIS LIFE HAD BEEN RHETORICALLY REVALUED IN
BRITAIN AT THE ONSET OF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION
- 17 It Is a Truth Universally Acknowledged That Even
Dr. Johnson and Jane Austen Exhibit
the Revaluation 151
- 18 No Woman but a Blockhead Wrote for Anything
but Money 161
- 19 Adam Smith Exhibits Bourgeois Theory at Its Ethical Best 172
- 20 Smith Was Not a Mr. Max U, but Rather the Last
of the Former Virtue Ethicists 184

- 21 That Is, He Was No Reductionist, Economistic
or Otherwise 193
- 22 And He Formulated the Bourgeois Deal 199
- 23 Ben Franklin Was Bourgeois, and He Embodied
Betterment 210
- 24 By 1848 a Bourgeois Ideology Had Wholly Triumphed 223

Part IV A PRO-BOURGEOIS RHETORIC WAS FORMING IN ENGLAND
AROUND 1700

- 25 The Word "Honest" Shows the Changing Attitude
toward the Aristocracy and the Bourgeoisie 235
- 26 And So Does the Word "*Eerlijk*" 247
- 27 Defoe, Addison, and Steele Show It, Too 255
- 28 The Bourgeois Revaluation Becomes a Common-
place, as in *The London Merchant* 263
- 29 Bourgeois Europe, for Example, Loved Measurement 271
- 30 The Change Was in Social Habits of the
Lip, Not in Psychology 277
- 31 And the Change Was Specifically British 285

Part V YET ENGLAND HAD RECENTLY LAGGED IN BURGEOIS
IDEOLOGY, COMPARED WITH THE NETHERLANDS

- 32 Bourgeois Shakespeare Disdained Trade
and the Bourgeoisie 295
- 33 As Did Elizabethan England Generally 305
- 34 Aristocratic England, for Example, Scorned Measurement 316
- 35 The Dutch Preached Bourgeois Virtue 326

36 And the Dutch Bourgeoisie *Was* Virtuous 336

For Instance, Bourgeois Holland *Was* Tolerant,
37 and Not for Prudence Only 345

VI REFORMATION, REVOLT, REVOLUTION, AND READING
INCREASED THE LIBERTY AND DIGNITY OF ORDINARY
EUROPEANS

The Causes Were Local, Temporary, and Unpredictable 359

38 "Democratic" Church Governance Emboldened People 367

39 The Theology of Happiness Changed circa 1700 377

40 Printing and Reading and Fragmentation Sustained
the Dignity of Commoners 388

41 Political Ideas Mattered for Equal Liberty and Dignity 401

42 Ideas Made for a Bourgeois Revaluation 410

43 The Rhetorical Change Was Necessary,
44 and Maybe Sufficient 417

VII NOWHERE BEFORE ON A LARGE SCALE HAD BOURGEOIS
OR OTHER COMMONERS BEEN HONORED

45 Talk Had Been Hostile to Betterment 427

46 The Hostility Was Ancient 440

47 Yet Some Christians Anticipated a Respected Bourgeoisie 450

48 And Betterment, Though Long Disdained,
Developed Its Own Vested Interests 459

And Then Turned 468

On the Whole, However, the Bourgeoisies and Their
Bettering Projects Have Been Precarious 476

rt VIII WORDS AND IDEAS CAUSED THE MODERN WORLD

- 51 Sweet Talk Rules the Economy 489
- 52 And Its Rhetoric Can Change Quickly 499
- 53 It Was Not a Deep Cultural Change 505
- 54 Yes, It Was *Ideas*, Not Interests or Institutions, That Changed,
Suddenly, in Northwestern Europe 511
- 55 Elsewhere Ideas about the Bourgeoisie Did Not Change 520

FOURTH QUESTION: WHAT ARE THE DANGERS?

"artIX THE HISTORY AND ECONOMICS HAVE BEEN
MISUNDERSTOOD

- 56 The Change in Ideas Contradicts Many Ideas from
the Political Middle, 1890-1980 531
- 57 And Many Polanyish Ideas from the Left 543
- 58 Yet Polanyi Was Right about Embeddedness 553
- 59 Trade-Tested Betterment Is Democratic in
Consumption 560
- 60** And Liberating in Production 569
- 61 And Therefore Bourgeois Rhetoric Was Better for
the Poor 574

THAT IS, RHETORIC MADE US, BUT CAN READILY UNMAKE
US

After 1848 the Clerisy Converted to Antibetterment 589

The Clerisy Betrayed the Bourgeois Deal, and Approved
the Bolshevik and Bismarckian Deals 597

64	Anticonsumerism and Pro-Bohemianism Were Fruits of the Antibetterment Reaction	608
65	Despite the Clerisy's Doubts	618
66	What Matters Ethically Is Not Equality of Outcome, but the Condition of the Working Class	631
67	A Change in Rhetoric Made Modernity, and Can Spread It	640
	Notes	651
	Works Cited	703
	Index	751