

Sonke Hartmann

# Project Scheduling under Limited Resources

Models, Methods, and Applications



Springer

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Project Scheduling Models</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Basic Model: The RCPSP . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Problem Setting . . . . .	5
2.1.2	Deriving Time Windows . . . . .	7
2.1.3	Mathematical Programming Formulation . . . . .	9
2.2	Variants and Extensions . . . . .	11
2.2.1	Multiple Execution Modes . . . . .	11
2.2.2	Generalized Temporal Constraints . . . . .	15
2.2.3	Generalized Resource Constraints . . . . .	17
2.2.4	Alternative Objectives . . . . .	20
2.2.5	Multiple Projects . . . . .	22
2.3	Relations to Packing and Cutting Problems . . . . .	22
2.3.1	Motivation . . . . .	23
2.3.2	Different Dimensions . . . . .	25
2.3.3	Different Types . . . . .	28
2.3.4	Additional Features . . . . .	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Exact Multi-Mode Algorithms</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Enumeration Schemes . . . . .	34
3.1.1	The Precedence Tree . . . . .	35
3.1.2	Mode and Delay Alternatives . . . . .	37
3.1.3	Mode and Extension Alternatives . . . . .	39
3.2	Bounding Rules . . . . .	41
3.2.1	Time Window Based Rules . . . . .	41
3.2.2	Preprocessing . . . . .	42
3.2.3	Dominating Sets of Schedules . . . . .	43
3.2.4	The Cutset Rule . . . . .	45
3.2.5	Immediate Selection . . . . .	46
3.2.6	A Precedence Tree Specific Rule . . . . .	47

3.3	Theoretical Comparison of Schedule Enumeration . . . . .	48
3.3.1	Complete Enumeration . . . . .	48
3.3.2	Enumeration with Bounding Rules. . . . .	51
3.4	Computational Results. . . . .	55
3.4.1	Effects of the Bounding Rules. . . . .	55
3.4.2	Comparison of the Algorithms. . . . .	57
<b>Classification of Single-Mode Heuristics</b>		<b>61</b>
4.1	Schedule Generation Schemes. . . . .	62
4.1.1	Serial Schedule Generation Scheme. . . . .	62
4.1.2	Parallel Schedule Generation Scheme. . . . .	64
4.2	Priority Rule Based Heuristics. . . . .	65
4.2.1	Priority Rules. . . . .	66
4.2.2	Proposed Methods. . . . .	67
4.3	Metaheuristic Approaches. . . . .	70
4.3.1	General Metaheuristic Strategies. . . . .	70
4.3.2	Representations. . . . .	73
4.3.3	Proposed Methods. . . . .	78
4.4	Other Heuristics. . . . .	80
4.4.1	Truncated Branch-and-Bound Methods. . . . .	80
4.4.2	Disjunctive Arc Based Methods. . . . .	80
4.4.3	Further Approaches. . . . .	81
<b>Single-Mode Genetic Algorithms</b>		<b>83</b>
5.1	Evolution and Optimization. . . . .	83
5.1.1	The Theory of Evolution . . . . .	84
5.1.2	Basic Genetic Algorithm Scheme. . . . .	85
5.2	Activity List Based Genetic Algorithm. . . . .	86
5.2.1	Individuals and Fitness. . . . .	86
5.2.2	Crossover and Mutation. . . . .	87
5.2.3	Selection. . . . .	90
5.3	Random Key Based Genetic Algorithm. . . . .	91
5.3.1	Individuals and Fitness. . . . .	91
5.3.2	Crossover and Mutation. . . . .	92
5.4	Priority Rule Based Genetic Algorithm. . . . .	93
5.4.1	Individuals and Fitness. . . . .	93
5.4.2	Crossover and Mutation. . . . .	94
5.5	Computational Results. . . . .	94
5.5.1	Configuration of the Genetic Algorithms. . . . .	95
5.5.2	Comparison of the Genetic Algorithms. . . . .	97
5.5.3	Impact of Genetic Operators. . . . .	99
5.6	Extending the Genetic Algorithm . . . . .	100
5.6.1	Generalized Genetic Algorithm Paradigm . . . . .	100

5.6.2	Extended Representation . . . . .	101
5.6.3	Improved Initial Population . . . . .	103
5.6.4	Adapting the Genetic Operators . . . . .	105
5.6.5	Adding a Local Search Phase . . . . .	105
5.6.6	Configuration of the Extended Genetic Algorithm . . . . .	112
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation of Single-Mode Heuristics</b>	<b>115</b>
6.1	Test Design . . . . .	115
6.2	Computational Results . . . . .	117
6.2.1	Best Heuristics . . . . .	118
6.2.2	Performance of Metaheuristics . . . . .	122
6.2.3	Performance of Priority Rule Based Heuristics . . . . .	122
6.2.4	Impact of Schedule Generation Scheme . . . . .	123
6.2.5	Impact of Resource Parameters . . . . .	124
6.2.6	Computation Times . . . . .	126
<b>7</b>	<b>Multi-Mode Genetic Algorithm</b>	<b>129</b>
7.1	Components of the Genetic Algorithm . . . . .	130
7.1.1	Individuals and Fitness . . . . .	131
7.1.2	Initial Population . . . . .	132
7.1.3	Crossover and Mutation . . . . .	133
7.2	Improving Schedules by Local Search . . . . .	135
7.2.1	Single Pass Improvement . . . . .	136
7.2.2	Multi Pass Improvement . . . . .	137
7.2.3	Inheritance Beyond the Genetic Metaphor . . . . .	138
7.3	Computational Results . . . . .	138
7.3.1	Configuration of the Algorithm . . . . .	139
7.3.2	Population Analysis . . . . .	141
7.3.3	Comparison with other Heuristics . . . . .	144
<b>8</b>	<b>Case Studies</b>	<b>149</b>
8.1	Scheduling Medical Research Experiments . . . . .	149
8.1.1	Problem Description . . . . .	150
8.1.2	Modeling Approach . . . . .	152
8.1.3	Computational Results for Original Data . . . . .	156
8.1.4	Optimality Issues . . . . .	157
8.1.5	Impact of Data Variations . . . . .	159
8.1.6	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	162
8.2	Selecting Market Research Interviewers . . . . .	163
8.2.1	Problem Description . . . . .	164
8.2.2	Modeling Approach . . . . .	168
8.2.3	Dynamic Planning Environment . . . . .	173

<b>9 Conclusions</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>A Test Instances</b>	<b>181</b>
A.1 Patterson Instance Set . . . . .	.181
A.2 Instance Sets Generated by ProGen . . . . .	.182
A.2.1 Single-Mode Instance Sets. . . . .	.183
A.2.2 Multi-Mode Instance Sets. . . . .	.184
<b>B Solving the MRCPSP using AMPL</b>	<b>187</b>
B.1 AMPL-Formulation of the MRCPSP. . . . .	.187
B.2 AMPL-Data File for the MRCPSP. . . . .	.190
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>List of Basic Notation</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>219</b>