## **Christiane Strohm**



## United States and European Union Auditor Independence Regulation

Implications for Regulators and Auditing Practice

With a foreword by Prof. Dr. Christoph Watrin

## **Table of Contents**

Table of	of C	Contents	IX
List of	Tal	oles	xm
List of	Fig	ures	XV
List of	Eq	uations	xvil
	•	breviations	
Chapte			
А.	/1 1.	Motivation and Objective	
A. B.		•	
٥.		Empirical Studies.	
C.		Structure of Dissertation.	
Chapte	er L	I. Auditor Independence Risk	17
A.		Definitions of Auditor Independence	17
В.	Eco	nomic Changes: The Market of Audit and Non-Audit Services	19
	1.	Industry Exposure	
	2	Joint Provision of Audit and Non-Audit Services	20
	3.	Auditor as an Opportunistic Agent	
C.		Auditor Independence Risk Models	22
	1.	Introduction	22
	2.	Threats to Auditor Independence	23
		a) Incentives	23
		b) Opportunities	26
		c) Integrity	26
		d) Interrelationships	27
	3.	Safeguards to Auditor Independence Threats.	28
Chapte	er I	II. Prior Research on Auditor Independence	31
A.		Taxonomy	31
B.		Auditor Independence and Incentives	31
	1.		
	2.	Self-Review Threats	33
	3.	Advocacy Threats	35
	4.	Familiarity or Trust Threats	36
	5.	Intimidation Threats	37
	6.	Summary of Research on Auditor Incentives	37
C.		Auditor Independence and Opportunity	39
	1.	Client Characteristics	40

	2. Audit Program Judgments	41
	3. Summary of Research on Auditor Opportunity	42
D.	Auditor Independence and Integrity	43
	1. Cultural Differences	43
	2. Interpretation of Laws and Regulation	43
	3. Auditor Resistance	44
	4. Summary of Research on Auditor Integrity	45
E.	Discussion and Main Research Questions.	46
Chapte	er IV. Auditor Independence Regulation	49
A.	Regulation of the United States of America	49
	1. Introduction	49
	2. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board	50
	3. Rules Regarding Auditor Independence	53
B.	Regulation of the European Union	55
	1. Introduction.	55
	2. Commission Recommendation.	57
	3. Proposal for a Revised 8* Directive	59
	a) Introduction	59
	b) Public Oversight over the Audit Profession	59
	c) Auditor Independence Requirements	62
C.	Comparison of United States and European Union Regulation	63
	1. Requirements.	63
	2. Rules-Based versus Principles-Based Approach to Regulation	65
D.	Germany's Reaction towards the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the European	
	Recommendation and Proposal	68
Chapt	er V. Task Complexity and Hypotheses Development	73
A.	Introduction	73
B.	Task Complexity of Regulation	74
	1. Framework of Task Complexity	74
	2. Safeguarding Quality of Regulation	7
	3. Task Complexity and Decision Making Quality	80
C.	Knowledge	82
	1. Definition and Characteristics of Knowledge	82
	2. Effect on Decision Making Quality	83
D.	Task Motivation	84
	Negative Moderator: Monetary Incentives	84
	2. Positive Moderator: Litigation Risk	85

	3.	Audit Firm's Decision Making	86
E.		Summary	88
Chapt	er V	VI. Empirical Studies on Auditor Independence Regulation	91
A.		Experiment on Regulation Approach and Knowledge	91
	1.	Participants	92
	2.	Research Design and Procedures.	92
	3.	Results.	99
		a) Decision Making Quality and Safeguarding Effects	99
		b) Manipulation Check	102
	4.	Discussion	103
В.		Experiment on Regulation Approach and Audit Firm-Client	
		Characteristics.	105
	1.	Participants	105
	2.	Research Design and Procedures	105
	3.	Results	115
		a) Decision Making Quality and Safeguarding Effects	115
		b) Additional Exploratory Factor Analysis	118
		c) Manipulation Checks	121
	4.	Discussion.	123
C.		Regulation Approach and Educational Knowledge Effects	125
	1.	Research Design.	126
	2.	Results	127
	3.	Discussion	130
D.		Regression Analysis to Identify Significant Fee Threats	131
	1.	Research Design and Procedures	
	2.	Results	134
	3.	Discussion	136
Chapt	er '	VII. Consequences from the Empirical Findings for the	
		European Capital Market	139
A.		Evaluation of the Conceptual Principles-Based Approach	139
	1.	Introduction	139
	2.	National Auditor Independence Regulation of Germany, France and the	
		United Kingdom	140
	3.	Effects of Auditor's Impaired Independence on the	
		European Capital Market	145

B.	Experiment on Transparency Effects on Perceived Auditor	
	Independence Risk	147
1	Proposed Transparency Report.	147
2.	Participants	153
3.	Research Design and Procedures	153
4.	Results	158
5.	Discussion	164
Chapter	VIII. Conclusions and Future Research	167
A.	Implications for European Union Regulation	167
B.	Main Contributions	176
C.	Limitations and Future Research	177
Appendi	ces	180
Bibliogra	anhy	215