

Keeping the Promise of Social Security in Latin America

Indermit S. Gill, Truman Packard, and Juan Yermo

With the assistance of Todd Pugatch

A COPUBLICATION OF STANFORD ECONOMICS AND FINANCE, AN IMPRINT OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS. AND THE WORLD BANK

Contents

Foreword		xvii
Ac	knowledgments	xix
1	RETHINKING SOCIAL SECURITY PRIORITIES IN LATIN AMERICA	1
	PART I. RETROSPECTIVE: FISCAL, FINANCIAL, AND SOCIAL BENEFITS FROM PENSION REFORM	17
2	STRUCTURAL REFORMS TO SOCIAL SECURITY IN LATIN AMERICA	19
3	THE FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY OF PUBLIC PENSION	
	PROMISES IN LATIN AMERICA	39
4	THE FINANCIAL BENEFITS OF PENSION REFORM	57
5	SOCIAL GAINS FROM PENSION REFORMS IN LATIN AMERICA	89
	PART II. ANALYTICAL: HOW GOVERNMENTS	
	CAN HELP INDIVIDUALS DEAL WITH RETIREMENT	107
6	How INDIVIDUALS VIEW SOCIAL SECURITY	109
7	How WELL HAS THE "SAVINGS" COMPONENT	
	PERFORMED FROM THE INDIVIDUAL'S PERSPECTIVE?	125
Q	THE PREFERENCES THAT INDIVIDUALS REVEAL	165

X CONTENTS

	PART III. PROSPECTIVE: THE FUTURE OF SOCIAL SECURITY IN LATIN AMERICA	197
9	PREVENTING POVERTY IN OLD AGE: IMPROVING THE POOLING COMPONENT	199
10	FACILITATING CONSUMPTION SMOOTHING: IMPROVING	
	THE SAVINGS COMPONENT	227
11	THE WAY FORWARD	267
	Technical Annex. Assumptions of PROST Simulations	283
	Appendix. Background Papers for Keeping the Promise	291
	Bibliography	293
	Index	321
RΩ	XES	321
1.1		3
3.1		
	Considerably More Costly Than Expected	45
3.2		
	Chile and Argentina	47
4.1	r	~1
1 0	Financial System	64 74
4.2 5.1		/4
ر.	Why Do We Care?	95
5.2)3
J.2	Pension Reform?	97
6.	The Welfare State as a Piggy Bank to Reduce	
	Old-Age Income Insecurity	110
6.2	, i	113
7.		
_	Income Security Systems	127
7.	2 Comparing Notional Defined Contribution Systems and Pension Funds Invested in Inflation- and	
	Wage-Indexed Government Bonds	128
7.		120
/	Protect Workers from Policy Risk?	133
7.		133
· •	Risks in Pension Fund Portfolios in	
	Latin America	137

CONTENTS xi

8.1	PRIESO: Social Risk Management Surveys in	
	Chile and Peru	176
8.2	Peru's Reformed Pension System: Multipillar in	
	Name Only	179
9.1	The Cuota Social: Preventing Poverty among	
,	Elderly Men and Women in Mexico	208
9.2	BONOSOL: Bolivia's Universal Pension Program	210
10.1	The Big-Bang Approach to Voluntary Pension	
1011	Savings Reform in Chile	248
10.2	The Role of the Financial System in Brazil's	
10.2	Voluntary Pension Savings Plans	250
11.1	The Role of the Second Pillar	278
11.1	The Role of the Second I man	2,0
FIGURE	S	
1.1	Pension Systems Cover between 10 and 60 Percent	
	of the Economically Active Population in	
	Latin American Countries	6
1.2	Between One-Tenth and Two-Thirds of the	
	Aged Populations Receive Pensions in	
	Latin American Countries	7
2.1	Rising Life Expectancy Increases the Share of	
	Elderly People in the Population and Upsets	
	the Balance of Pure PAYG Pension Systems	20
2.2	Destination of Mandatory Pension Contributions	29
3.1	Simulated Implicit Pension Debts (IPDs) with	_
	and without Structural Reforms	40
3.2	Total Pension Debt (Explicit) Accumulated	
	after 2001, with and without Structural Reforms	43
3B.1	Cash Flow Gap in Bolivia	45
3B.2a	Chile Was Fiscally Strong prior to Reform;	
	Argentina Was Not	47
3B.2b	Pension System Deficits Contributed Significantly to	
	Deteriorating Fiscal Balance in Argentina	48
3.3	There Is No Indication That Pension Reform	
	Increased Mexico's Country Risk	52
4.1	Pension Funds Are Major Investors in	
	Government Debt	63
4.2	Interest Rate Spreads Have Declined in Peru and	-
	Bolivia Since the Reforms (1993-2002)	79
4.3	Stock Market Turnover Ratios in Selected	.,
	Latin American Countries (1990-2001)	81
5.1	Structural Reforms Are Likely to Improve Equity by	
	Lowering Regressive Transfers and Returns	91

xii CONTENTS

5.2	Structural Reforms Make the New Systems More	
	Gender Neutral, but Women's Average Benefits	
	Can Be Significantly Lowered by the Use of	
	Gender-Specific Mortality Tables	92
5.3	Pension Income Increases Inequity Relative	
	to Earned Income from Labor	94
5.4	Has Participation Increased? There Is No Clear	
	Pattern in Data on Contribution to National	
	Pension Systems	100
7.1	Pension Funds Invest Mainly in Government	
	Bonds and Instruments Issued by Financial	
	Institutions	132
7.2	The Standard Trade-Off between Risk and	
	Return Has Not Materialized in Latin America	
	(Returns from Inception to December 2000)	136
7.3	In Chile Intercohort Differences in Returns	
	Have Been Large	139
7.4	Returns on Deposits in Chile Have Been Lower	
	but More Stable Than Pension Fund Returns	140
7.5	Brady Bonds Would Have Been a Better Investment	
	Than Domestic Equities for Peruvian Pension Funds	141
7.6	Variable Commission Rates Have Risen as a Result of	
,	Declines in Contribution Rates in Argentina and Peru	147
7.7	Half of the Pension Contributions of the	2.,
	Average Chilean Worker Who Retired in	
	2000 Went to Fees	148
7.8	Participation in the Second Pillar Is Costlier for	1.0
7.0	Poorer Workers in Chile	149
7.9	Annuities Have Yielded Varying Levels of	1 17
,.,	Retirement Benefits in Chile	154
7.10	Chile's Pension Funds Did Not Do a Good Job of	15 1
7.10	Educating Participants: Fondo 2 Had Few	
	Takers Despite Earning Higher Returns	156
7 11		150
7.11		158
7 12		150
7.12		159
8.1		
		100
0.2		
		182
83		102
0.5		183
7.11 7.12 8.1 8.2 8.3	Chilean Workers' Choices in the New Multifund System Largely Correspond with the Default Options Choices Are Similar for Different Income Groups in Chile If Controlling for Age Chile: Reported Contribution Density There Is No Difference in Risk Preferences between Employees and Self-Employed Workers Who Responded to the PRIESO Survey in Chile Self-Employed Workers Who Contribute to the AFP System in Chile Have a Greater Tolerance for Risk	158 159 180 182 183

CONTENTS xiii

8.4	Peru: Reported Contribution Density	184
8.5	There Is No Difference in Risk Preferences between	
	Employees and Self-Employed Workers in Peru	187
8.6	Self-Employed People in the AFP System in Peru	
	Are More Risk Averse	188
9.1	Rising Life Expectancy Increases the Share of	
	Elderly People in the Population and Upsets the	
	Balance of Pure Pooling Pension Systems	200
9.2	Accumulated Wealth Increases with Age and	
	Is Greatest among Old People	204
9.3	Relative Generosity and Cost of Alternative	
	Public Poverty Pension Arrangements in	
	Selected Countries	212
9.4	Average Noncontributory Pensions Are between	
	30 Percent and 60 Percent of Average Contributory	
	Pensions	217
10.1	In Peru, Fees Remain Persistently High, Despite	
	Increasing Returns and Declining	
	Administrative Costs	234
10.2	Savings Products Offered by Insurers Are More	
	Popular Than the AFPs' Liquid <i>Cuenta 2</i>	249
10.3	Mutual Funds Have Grown Significantly Only	
	in Brazil, Where Pension Funds Are Voluntary	252
10.4	Fees for Equity Mutual Funds in Chile Have	
	Remained Stubbornly High	255
10.5	Economies of Scale in Fund Management Kick in	
10.0	at Low Asset Levels	256
10.6	Insurance Company Bankruptcy Is a Threat to	
	Policyholders	258
11.1	Coverage Can Vary at Similar Stages of Economic	
	Development	274
	20,010pmon	
TABLES		
1.1	Instruments of Old-Age Income Security	10
2.1	Principal Features of Structural Reforms to Social	
	Security Systems (Old-Age Disability and Death)	
	in Latin America during the 1980s and 1990s	23
2.2	Principal Features of Structural Reforms to Social	
	Security Systems (Old-Age Disability and Death)	
	in Latin America during the 1990s and 2000s	26
2.3	Contribution Rates and Earnings Ceilings in	_0
	the Mandatory Funded Systems	32
2.4	Voluntary Funded Pillars in Latin America	35

xiv CONTENTS

5.1	Current Pension Deficits (Benefit Expenditures Less	
	Contribution Revenue) Financed by	
	Government Transfers	42
4.1	Portfolio Ceilings by Main Asset Classes	
	(December 2002)	61
4.2	Portfolio Floors by Country	62
4.3	Assets Held by Pension Funds Have Doubled as a	
	Percentage of GDP (December 1998-December 2002)	62
4.4	Pension Funds Invest Mainly in Debt of Governments	
	and Financial Institutions (December 2002)	67
4.5	For the Average Latin American Country, the Two	
	Largest Institutions Control Two-Thirds of the	
	Pension Funds (December 2002)	68
5.1	There Is Evidence That Pension Reforms	
	Positively Affect Incentives	102
5.2	Participation Rates and Incidence of Benefits	
	Show a Regressive Pattern of Coverage by	
	Formal Pension Systems	104
6.1	Justifying a Government-Imposed Mandate to	
	Save for Old Age—Microeconomic Reasons	117
6.2	Justifying a Government-Imposed Mandate to	
	Save for Old-Age—Macroeconomic Reasons	120
7.1	Gross, Real Returns to Pension Funds Have	
	Been High	130
7.2	Asset Allocation and Portfolio Limits	142
7.3	Workers Still Pay High Commissions in	
	Some Countries (December 2002)	145
7.4	The Form of Benefits Is Usually Inconsistent	
	with an Assumption of Retiree Irrationality with	
	Respect to Saving	151
7.5	The Five New Funds in Chile Vary by Proportion	
	of Equity Investment	157
8.1	Probability That Workers Contribute to Social	
	Security Is Determined by Individual, Household, and	
	Labor Market Factors	171
8.2	Reformed Pension Systems in Chile and Peru Are	
	Similar, but There Are Important Differences	178
9.1	Poverty among Elderly People Is as Frequent as	
	among Other Age Groups When Measured by	
	Current Income	202
9.2	Expenditure on Noncontributory Pension Programs	215
9.3	Noncontributory, Poverty Prevention Pensions Cover a	
	Significant Portion of Pension Recipients	216

CONTENTS XV

9.4	As a Share of Average Wages, Minimum Wages	
	Are Relatively High in Chile, Colombia, and	
	Some Other Latin American Countries	218
9.5	Cost of Providing and Guaranteeing a Public	
	Pension Equal to the Minimum Wage	220
10.1	Reform Options to Reduce the Operational	
	Expenses of the New Private Second Pillar	235
10.2	Reform Options to Ensure That Savings Are	
	Passed on to Affiliates as Lower Commissions	240
10.3	Reform Options to Improve Investment and	
	Longevity Risk Management	243
TA.1	Assumptions Common to All Country Cases	283
TA.2	Country-Specific Details, Assumptions, and	
	Sources of Data	284
TA.3	Assumed Profiles of Representative Affiliated	
	Men and Women	289