

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

Edited by
Sandrine MALJEAN-DUBOIS



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

CONTENTS

Preface v

Introduction. The Effectiveness of Environmental Law: A Key Topic
Sandrine MALJEAN-DUBOIS 1

1. The effectiveness of environmental law: a long-neglected issue 2
2. Effectiveness: what is it? 3
 - 2.1. Effectiveness and other related concepts 3
 - 2.2. The multiple meanings of effectiveness 4
3. Difficulties in assessing effectiveness 7
4. How to improve the effectiveness of environmental law 8
 - 4.1. Better legislation 9
 - 4.2. Better implementation 10

PART 1. MEASURING AND ASSESSING EFFECTIVENESS

Chapter 1.

**The Climate Resilience of Critical Infrastructural Network Sectors.
An Interdisciplinary Method for Assessing Formal Responsibilities for
Climate Adaptation in Critical Infrastructural Network Sectors**
Herman Kasper GILISSEN, Peter DRIESSEN, Heleen MEES, Marleen
VAN RIJSWICK, Hens RUNHAAR, Caroline UITTENBROEK and
Rebecca WÖRNER 15

Abstract 16

1. Introduction 16
2. A methodological framework for the assessment of climate
resilience 19
 - 2.1. Phase 1: Preparation 20
 - 2.2. Phase 2: Assessment 20
 - 2.3. Phase 3: Reflection and recommendations 21
3. Six indicators for assessing climate resilience 22
4. Application of the assessment framework: two case studies from
the Netherlands 27
 - 4.1. Steps 1 and 2: Justification of the selection and the climate
risks per sector 27

4.2. Steps 3 and 4: Overview of sectoral characteristics and current responsibilities	29
4.3. Steps 5 and 6: Assessment, reflection and recommendations.	31
5. Conclusions.	35

PART 2. IMPROVING EFFECTIVENESS

2.1. BETTER LEGISLATION.	39
-------------------------------	----

Chapter 2.

The Effectiveness of Payment for Ecosystem Services: a mix between a gradient model of public intervention and an effective normative framework

Adélie POMADE.	41
Abstract	41
1. Introduction	41
2. Cases Studies	44
2.1. French Vittel case.	44
2.2. Belgium agro-environmental measures.	47
2.3. Costa Rica's PES program.	51
3. Results	55
3.1. The balance between public and private regulation within PES ...	55
3.2. The intensity and quality of the normative framework of the mechanism	61
4. Conclusion	65

Chapter 3.

The Effectiveness of Environmental Law through Contracts

Mathilde HAUTEREAU-BOUTONNET.	67
1. The vertical effectiveness of environmental law through contracts	69
1.1. The contractual influence of the environmental legal order.	70
1.2. The environmental influence of the contractual legal order.	73
2. The horizontal effectiveness of environmental law through contracts ...	76
2.1. The creation of contractual environmental obligations.	76
2.2. The prescription of contractual environmental obligations	78

Chapter 4.**Legal Weaknesses and Windows of Opportunity in Transnational Biodiversity Protection: as Seen through the Lens of an Ecosystem Approach-Based Paradigm**

Elina RAITANEN	81
Abstract	81
1. Introduction	82
2. Ecosystem approach-based paradigm – Normative basis and the rationale.	83
3. Operationalizing the ecosystem approach-based paradigm to combat the regulatory weaknesses of biodiversity protection	87
3.1. Towards coherent laws – Creating linkages.	87
3.2. Towards adaptive law – The precautionary principle revisited.	93
4. Conclusions.	99

Chapter 5.**Better Expertise through Institutional Linkages. The Case of the Mediterranean Basin**

Guillaume FUTHAZAR.	101
1. Introduction	101
1.1. Technical bodies: a broad category.	103
1.2. Criteria for technical bodies.	104
1.3. The role of law.	105
2. The Mediterranean institutional landscape.	106
2.1. The Mediterranean technical bodies	108
2.2. Joint activities.	111
3. “Shared objects” as a means for coordination between technical bodies and regimes	112
3.1. Common goals and frameworks	113
3.2. Shared technical bodies	114
4. Memoranda of Understanding	116
4.1. The purpose of the Mediterranean MoUs	116
4.2. The legal nature of Mediterranean MoUs	117
4.2.1. Instruments with several legal indicators	117
4.2.2. The possible different legal natures of the Mediterranean MoUs	119
5. Conclusion	122

Chapter 6.

Environmental Dignity Rights

Erin DALY and James R. MAY	125
Abstract	125
1. Introduction	126
2. Dignity rights in comparative constitutional perspective.....	132
3. Environmental rights in comparative constitutional perspective	136
4. Imagining environmental dignity rights	138
5. Invoking environmental dignity rights	141
5.1. Dignity as the measure of environmental violations.....	142
5.2. Standing.....	144
5.3. Rights to inclusion.....	145
6. Conclusion	146

Chapter 7.

The Environmental Protection of Traditional Knowledge and the Active Participation of Indigenous Peoples in the Planning, Management and Decision-Making Processes as Means of Improving the Effectiveness of Environmental Law

Priscilla CARDOSO RODRIGUES	149
Abstract	149
1. Introduction	150
2. Indigenous traditional knowledge and its recognition as cultural ecosystem services.....	152
2.1. Definition and legal protection of the indigenous traditional knowledge	152
2.2. Indigenous traditional knowledge as cultural ecosystem services	154
3. The valuation of indigenous traditional knowledge within the ecosystem services framework.....	157
3.1. The valuation of ecosystem services, benefits, and values.....	157
3.2. Valuing the indigenous traditional knowledge through an ecosystem services approach	159
3.3. A conceptual and methodological proposal to value the indigenous traditional knowledge through an ecosystem services approach.....	161
4. The active participation of indigenous peoples in planning, management and decision-making processes as a means of improving the effectiveness of the environmental law	163
5. Conclusion	169

Chapter 8.**Promoting Electricity from Renewable Energy Sources in France.
Is French Law Appropriate for Achieving the Objectives?**

Marie LAMOUREUX 171

Abstract 171

Introduction 172

1. French and European energy policy 174
 - 1.1. 2005 programming law on energy policy 174
 - 1.2. 2009-2010 the Grenelle Acts 174
 - 1.3. 2015 Energy Transition for Green Growth Act 175
 - 1.4. European climate and energy policy 176
2. The (in)effectiveness of the French legal framework 178
 - 2.1. Complexity and slowness of administrative procedures 179
 - 2.2. Inconsistencies 180
 - 2.3. Instability and legal uncertainty 181
3. Conclusion 184

Chapter 9.**Changing Patterns of International Environmental Law-Making:
Addressing Normative Ineffectiveness**

Owen McIntyre 187

Abstract 187

1. Introduction 188
2. Environmental law-making through classical sources 191
 - 2.1. International conventions 192
 - 2.2. Customary international law 198
 - 2.3. General principles of law 202
 - 2.4. Judicial and arbitral tribunals 205
 - 2.5. Publicists 207
3. Innovative features of international environmental law-making 208
 - 3.1. Reliance on “soft-law” 208
 - 3.2. Technical complexity and the role of international institutions 210
 - 3.3. Multi-level and multi-polar governance 211
 - 3.4. Participation and procedural sophistication 215
 - 3.5. Environmental treaty congestion, fragmentation and integration 217
4. Conclusion 219

Chapter 10.**The Effectiveness of EU Nature Legislation: a long battle to secure supporting sectoral policies**

Sandra JEN.....	221
1. Effectiveness of EU nature legislation from conflicting to supporting EU policies – the long battle to secure coherence with EU nature legislation.....	221
2. The race to protect future Natura 2000 sites threatened by regional and cohesion policy projects.....	224
2.1. 1993-1999: provisions on “compatibility and check”.....	224
2.2. 1999 – Commissioners united for coherence and conditionality .	226
2.3. Enlargement to new Member States.....	229
2.4. 2006-2013 financing Natura 2000 and biodiversity mainstreaming.....	230
3. Reconciling the Common Agriculture Policy with nature protection: still a challenge.....	232
3.1. Cross compliance and the nature directives.....	234
3.2. Numerous options for Natura 2000 funding under the CAP.....	237
4. Conclusion.....	238
2.2. BETTER IMPLEMENTATION	

Chapter 11.**Towards More Effective Protection of Water Resources in Europe by Improving the Implementation of the Water Framework Directive and the Aarhus Convention in the Netherlands**

Ernst PLAMBECK, Lorenzo SQUINTANI and H.F.M.W. (Marleen) VAN RIJSWICK.....	243
1. Introduction.....	243
2. The structure of water management in the Netherlands: an overview..	246
3. Towards a better programmatic approach.....	250
3.1. The linkage between quality standards and specific projects.....	251
3.2. The meaning of the concept of ‘non-deterioration’.....	254
3.3. The room for a net-loss approach.....	258
4. Towards better participation and judicial protection.....	261
4.1. Participation to the drafting of water plans and programme of measures.....	261
4.2. Access to justice to challenge the validity of water plans and programme of measures.....	264
5. Conclusions.....	267

Chapter 12.**Environmental Inspectors and Public Prosecutors. Is Sharing Information Always Useful?**

Carole M. BILLIET and Sandra ROUSSEAU	271
Abstract	271
1. Introduction	271
2. Modelling communication between environmental inspectors and public prosecutors	277
2.1. Model setup and scenarios	277
2.2. Modelling the information sharing from environmental inspector to public prosecutor	278
2.2.1. Model assumptions	279
2.2.2. Decision making process of the sender (environmental inspector)	280
2.2.3. Decision-making process of the receiver (the public prosecutor)	281
2.2.4. Model results	283
2.2.4.1. Model 1: costless information sharing and identical objectives	283
2.2.4.2. Model 2: costless information sharing and diverging objectives	284
2.2.4.3. Model 3: costly information sharing and identical objectives	284
2.2.4.4. Model 4: costly information sharing and diverging objectives	285
2.2.5. Summary of the model results	286
3. Relevance for policy development and practice	287
3.1. Specialization of public prosecutors: 'environmental prosecutors' ..	287
3.2. Informative quality of notices of violation	289
3.3. Prioritization of environmental offences in prosecution	291
4. Conclusions	292

Chapter 13.**Environmental Damage Caused by Oil Exploitation in Brazil. The "Conduct Adjustment Agreement" (TAC) as a Means to Circumvent Civil Liability Ineffectiveness**

Carina COSTA DE OLIVEIRA, Liziane PAIXÃO SILVA OLIVEIRA and Priscila PEREIRA DE ANDRADE	295
Abstract	295
1. Introduction	296

2.	The Brazilian civil liability system for the reparation of environmental damage caused by oil spills	299
2.1.	General aspects of the Brazilian liability system	299
2.2.	Limits in providing for reparation of environmental damage concerning oil spills.	301
2.2.1.	Limits of the reparation in natura	302
2.2.2.	Limits of the monetary reparation	303
3.	Contributions of the “Conduct Adjustment Agreement” to the reparation of environmental damage caused by oil spills	305
3.1.	Reflections on the TAC’s main features	305
3.2.	The TAC’s contribution to the definition of the obligation to repair in natura	307
3.3.	The TAC’s contribution to the definition of the monetary obligation to repair	308
3.4.	The TAC’s definition of preventive obligations that indirectly contribute to repair	310
4.	Conclusion	311

Chapter 14.

Can Multilateral Development Banks be More Environmentally Effective? Perspectives from the Practice of International Accountability Mechanisms

	Vanessa RICHARD	313
1.	The purpose and scope of IAMs’ control of the implementation of environmental standards	323
1.1.	The specific roles of IAMs.	324
1.2.	On the binding character of the environmental and social standards of MDBs	326
1.3.	The scope of the IAMs’ remit.	333
2.	The merry-go-round of loopholes and pitfalls in the implementation of ESSs	336
2.1.	Methodology of the study.	336
2.2.	Kinds of non-compliance: the usual suspects.	340
2.3.	The details the devil is in: systemic institutions’ shortcomings.	344