

# Incarceration Nation

*How the United States Became the Most  
Punitive Democracy in the World*

PETER K. ENNS

*Cornell University*



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page xi</i>
1 Introduction	1
1.1 <i>The high costs of mass incarceration</i>	5
1.2 <i>Why did the United States become the world's incarceration leader?</i>	10
1.2.1 <i>The importance of public opinion</i>	12
1.3 <i>Plan of this book</i>	15
2 A forgiving or a punitive public?	19
2.1 <i>Past measures of criminal justice attitudes</i>	20
2.2 <i>The importance of measuring opinion change</i>	23
2.3 <i>How do political actors think about public opinion?</i>	28
2.4 <i>Measuring the public's punitiveness</i>	31
2.5 <i>Public opinion and American exceptionalism</i>	39
2.5.1 <i>A brief consideration of cross-national data</i>	40
2.5.2 <i>The political landscape in the United States</i>	43
2.6 <i>Conclusion</i>	44
A-2 <i>Appendices to Chapter 2: question wording</i>	44
A-2.1 <i>Questions used in Figures 2.2 and 2.3</i>	44
A-2.2 <i>Questions used in Footnote 14</i>	45
A-2.3 <i>Questions used in Table 2.1</i>	45
A-2.4 <i>Questions used in Figures 2.7 and 2.8</i>	48

3	Who led whom?	49
3.1	<i>Political and public concern for crime</i>	50
3.2	<i>Barry Goldwater's 1964 presidential campaign</i>	52
3.3	<i>Johnson's shift on crime</i>	58
3.4	<i>Opinion polls and Nixon's presidential campaigns</i>	60
3.5	<i>Mass and elite opinion in the 1970s and 1980s</i>	65
3.6	<i>Conclusion</i>	67
A-3	<i>Appendices to Chapter 3</i>	69
A-3.1	<i>Pro-Goldwater comments</i>	69
A-3.2	<i>ANES questions (Figure 3.2)</i>	72
A-3.3	<i>Trust and confidence in Goldwater and Johnson questions (Figure 3.3)</i>	73
A-3.4	<i>Johnson's approval ratings</i>	73
4	Explaining the public's punitiveness	74
4.1	<i>Crime rates over time</i>	75
4.1.1	<i>Implications of similar crime trajectories</i>	80
4.2	<i>Measuring news about crime</i>	81
4.2.1	<i>The rise of crime and news coverage of crime</i>	82
4.3	<i>Crime reporting and the public's punitiveness</i>	85
4.4	<i>The rise of crime dramas on TV</i>	89
4.5	<i>Crime, news, and the development of a law and order society from 1950 to 2010</i>	91
4.6	<i>Conclusion</i>	96
A-4	<i>Appendix to Chapter 4</i>	98
A-4.1	<i>Robustness of the Granger causality analysis</i>	98
5	Democracy at work? Public opinion and mass incarceration	100
5.1	<i>The importance of analyzing changes in the incarceration rate</i>	103
5.2	<i>The public's punitiveness and changes in the incarceration rate</i>	108
5.2.1	<i>Control variables</i>	109
5.2.2	<i>Time series analysis</i>	113
5.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	120
A-5	<i>Appendices to Chapter 5</i>	121
A-5.1	<i>Drug mortality data coding</i>	121
A-5.2	<i>Additional robustness checks</i>	122

6	Punitive politics in the states	127
6.1	<i>State incarceration rates</i>	131
6.2	<i>Measuring state punitiveness</i>	133
6.3	<i>What explains changes in state incarceration rates?</i>	135
6.3.1	<i>Control variables</i>	138
6.3.2	<i>Incarceration rate results</i>	140
6.4	<i>A second test: state expenditures on corrections</i>	144
6.4.1	<i>Corrections expenditure results</i>	145
6.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	148
A-6	<i>Appendices to Chapter 6</i>	149
A-6.1	<i>Incarceration rate analysis: additional robustness checks</i>	149
A-6.2	<i>Corrections expenditures analysis: additional robustness checks</i>	152
7	Conclusion	156
7.1	<i>The importance of studying change</i>	157
7.2	<i>What might have been</i>	158
7.3	<i>The human toll of mass incarceration</i>	160
7.4	<i>Public opinion as a unifying framework</i>	161
7.5	<i>The future of the US prison system</i>	162
	<i>References</i>	165
	<i>Index</i>	185