

Non-Violation Complaints in WTO Law

Theory and Practice

Doctoral dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Law,
University of Bern, Switzerland

Presented by
Dae-Won Kim



6

PETER LANG

bern • Berlin • Bruxelles • Frankfurt am Main • New York • Oxford • Wien
2006

Contents

PREFACE	XIII
SUMMARY.	XV
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY.	XVII
DOCUMENTS REFERENCES.	XXXIII
TABLE OF CASES CITED IN THIS DISSERTATION.	XXXV
STANDARD ABBREVIATIONS.	XLIII

Part One

The Concept of Non-Violation Nullification or Impairment in WTO Law

I. OUTLINE OF DISSERTATION	3
A. Non-Violation Complaints and GATT/WTO Jurisprudence.	3
1. Negotiated Balance of Rights and Obligations in WTO.	3
2. Non-Violation Complaints and Balance of Rights and Obligations.	6
B. Aims and Structure of Dissertation.	9
1. Aims of Dissertation	9
2. Structure of Dissertation.	9
II. SIGNIFICANCE OF NON-VIOLATION COMPLAINTS.	11
A. In General.	11
1. Non-Violation as a Balancing Mechanism of Benefit	11

2. Theoretical Significance of Non-Violation Complaints.	14
3- Practical Significance of Non-Violation Complaints.	16
B. The Origins of Non-Violation Complaints.	20
1. Historical Origin of Non-Violation Complaints till GATT 47.	20
2. Institutional Origin of Non-Violation Complaints.	29
3. Non-Violation Concept Elsewhere.	42
III. THE DEVELOPMENT OF NON-VIOLATION COMPLAINTS.	45
A. Non-Violation Complaints in GATT 47.	45
B. Non-Violation Complaints in WTO Agreements	49
1. In General.	49
2. General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS)	52
3. Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property (TRIPS).	58
4. Anti-Competition Practices (Competition Policies).	66
5. WTO Jurisprudence.	71
6. Survey of Non-Violation Complaints Cases: 1948 ~ 2005.	78
7. The Jurisprudential Implications of Non-Violation: Sources Argument.	80

Part Two

The Established Interpretation of Non-Violation Complaints

IV. ESTABLISHED TREATY INTERPRETATION.	87
A. Overview of the Vienna Convention Articles 31 and 32.	87
1. In General.	87
2. Uniformity and Flexibility in Interpretation.	88

3. Textualism and Intentionalism	90
4. Coherent Interpretation between Two Sides	95
B. Typology of Interpretation	
of Non-Violation Complaints	97
1. Four Types of Interpretation	97
2. Textual Interpretation of Article XXIII :1(b)	98
3. Contextual Interpretation of Article XXIII: 1(b)... .	101
4. Historical Interpretation of Article XXIII: 1(b)	
of GATT.	105
5. Teleological Interpretation of Article XXIII: 1(b)	108
6. Summary: Coordination of	
Various Interpretations.	112
V. THREE REQUIREMENTS OF NON-VIOLATION COMPLAINTS	115
A. In General	115
B. Application of a Measure	117
1. Notion of Measure.	117
2. Application.	121
C. An Accruing Benefit	122
1. Nature of "Benefit".	122
2. Legitimately Expected Benefits	125
D. "Nullified or Impaired ... as the Result of"	
(Causation).	126
1. Nullification or Impairment	126
2. Causation ("As the Result")	
of Non-Violation Complaints	131
3. Remedy.	139
E. Different Scope of Interpretation	144
1. Narrow Interpretation (Restrictive Approach)	144
2. Broader Interpretation (Expansive Approach)	148
3. Two Variables Differentiating the Approaches	150

*Part Three**Refining the Interpretation of Non-Violation Complaints*

VI. NON-VIOLATION COMPLAINTS	
IN INTERNATIONAL JURISPRUDENCE155
A. General Jurisprudential Relevance of Non-Violation to Sources Argument155
1. In Public International Law.155
2. In WTO Law.159
B. Procedural Relevance of Non-Violation to Causes of Action.162
1. Text in terms of Positive and Normative Dimensions.162
2. Text in terms of Consistent Rule-Making165
VII. THEORETICAL PRESUMPTIONS	
OF THE REFINED INTERPRETATION.167
A. Non-Violation Complaints in Legal Theory.167
B. Theories Underlying the Refined Interpretation173
1. Different Theories of International Law.173
2. New International Law Process as a Counterpositivistic Approach.178
C. Dimensional Interpretation.184
1. Dimension of Gathering Legal Data of Non-Violation Complaints.184
2. Dimension of Analytic Studies of Non-Violation Complaints.186
3. Dimension of Normative Studies of Non-Violation Complaints.188
VIII. INSTITUTIONAL PRESUMPTIONS	
OF THE REFINED INTERPRETATION.191
A. Non-Violation under Rule-Oriented Dispute Settlement Mechanism.191
1. Dispute, Negotiation and Adjudication.191
2. Characteristics of the Two Models.193

3. Desirable Model	194
4. Characteristics of Present WTO System: Mixed Character.	196
B. Rule-Oriented WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism	200
1. Dispute Settlement Mechanism of GATT 47.	200
2. Dispute Settlement Mechanism of WTO.	203
C. Dual Approach in Resolving Non-Violation Complaints.	207
1. Two Aspects of WTO Rules.	207
2. Two Modes for Resolving Non-Violation Complaints.	212
IX. COHERENCE AS INTERPRETATIVE GUIDELINE OF ARTICLE XXIII: 1.	217
A. Institutional Necessity for Coherent Interpretation: "Constitutionalisation".	217
1. Necessity from Institutional Presumption.	217
2. Constitutionalisation of WTO DSM (1).	219
B. Theoretical Necessity for Coherent Interpretation	222
1. Necessity from Theoretical Presumption.	222
2. Constitutionalisation of WTO DSM (2).	223
C. The Notion of Coherence.	224
1. Internal Coherence of Text of Article XXIII: 1(b)	226
2. External Coherence of Text of Article XXIII: 1(b)	227

Part Four

Transforming the Non-Violation into Liability

X. INTERNAL COHERENCE AS AN ANALYTIC APPROACH	231
A. Structure of "Benefit" in GATT XXIII: 1.	231
1. In General: Definitional Exactness and Reciprocity.	231
2. Definitional Exactness and Benefit.	232
3. Reciprocity and Benefit	234

B.	Two-tried Track in "Benefits" of Article XXIII: 1	237
1.	From "Benefits" to "Rights".	237
2.	Relationship between two Kinds of Benefits	243
C.	Corresponding Structure of Obligations.	246
1.	Specific Obligations in Article XXIII :1 (a).	246
2.	General Obligations in Article XXIII :1(b).	247
3-	Relationship of General Obligation to Legitimate Expectation	249
D.	Non-Violation as State Liability.	251
XI.	EXTERNAL COHERENCE AS A NORMATIVE APPROACH	257
A.	General Principles of International Law.	257
B.	Roles of "Objective" of GATT Article XXIII: 1.	268
1.	In General	268
2.	GATT/WTO Jurisprudence on Objective.	270
3.	Bridging Role between Specific and General Obligations.	274
XII.	STANDARDS DEFINING THE SCOPE OF NON-VIOLATION COMPLAINTS.	277
A.	Introduction.	277
B.	Compensatory Adjustment Standard.	280
1.	Relevant Cases: Citrus and Uruguayan Recourse	280
2.	Some Weaknesses of Compensatory Adjustment Standard.	282
C.	Expectation Standard.	283
1.	In General	283
2.	Competitive Relationship Test	288
3.	Market Access Test	291
	CONCLUSION	295
	INDEX.	299