

# **OECD Economic Surveys: United States 2010**



## *Table of contents*

<b>Executive summary</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Assessment and recommendations</b> .....	<b>9</b>
1. Rebalancing the economy after the crisis .....	9
2. Putting public finances on a sustainable path .....	20
3. Implementing cost-effective climate change-mitigation policies .....	32
Bibliography .....	43
Annex A.1. Progress in structural reform .....	46
<b>Chapter 1. Rebalancing the economy</b> .....	<b>49</b>
Rebalancing the economy away from overinvestment in housing and increasing the resilience of the mortgage market .....	50
Revising financial supervision to reduce the likelihood of future financial crises and lessen their transmission to other areas of the economy .....	56
Repairing household balance sheets and reducing the current account imbalance...	63
Avoiding reduced labour market flexibility .....	68
Notes .....	74
Bibliography .....	74
Annex 1.A1. Housing choice with a changing interest rate in a two period , optimization problem .....	78
<b>Chapter 2. Restoring fiscal sustainability</b> .....	<b>81</b>
After the crisis: dealing with large fiscal imbalances .....	82
Pathways toward fiscal stability .....	91
The long-term fiscal outlook is challenging .....	98
Notes .....	103
Bibliography .....	104
• \ Annex 2.A1. A small budget Simulation model .....	106
<b>Chapter 3. Implementing cost-effective policies to mitigate climate change</b> .....	<b>109</b>
It would be prudent to reduce Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions to limit climate change .....	110
The United States is a major emitter of GHG .....	112
Participation of the United States and other large emitters is pivotal to reaching an international agreement to reduce GHG emissions .....	115
The most cost-effective way to reduce GHG emissions is to price them and to support the development and diffusion of emission-reducing technologies ...	121
Government policies implemented thus far to reduce GHG emission have ;7 been neither ambitious nor cost effective .....	124
The current Administration's preferred climate-change policy would yield •\ large cost-effective reductions in emissions if implemented .....	131

Notes .....	135
Bibliography .....	136
<b>Boxes</b>	
1. Summary of recommendations for rebalancing the economy after the crisis...	19
2. Summary of recommendations for restoring fiscal sustainability. ....	31
3. Summary of recommendations for achieving cost-effective abatement of GHG emissions. ....	43
1.1. The household balance sheet and savings. ....	65
1.2. Productivity growth during the recession. ....	69
1.3. Sectoral reallocation of labour in recessions. ....	71
1.4. Measures to increase private employment. ....	72
2.1. The budgetary costs of fiscal interventions during the crisis. ....	84
2.2. Impact of the recession on State budgets. ....	87
2.3. Measures proposed in the FY 2011 budget of the US government ...;	88
3.1. Strategic considerations for forming a global coalition to combat climate change. ....	116
3.2. Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). ....	130
3.3. The federal government's technology strategy to reduce GHG emissions. ...	131
<b>Tables</b>	
1. Demand and Output .....	9
2. Public finances have deteriorated during the crisis. ....	21
3. Tax expenditures in personal income tax: international comparisons. ....	26
4. Fuel savings from vehicles complying with motor vehicle CO <sub>2</sub> regulations will outweigh higher initial vehicle costs. ....	41
1.1. Assessing progress and timeline for implementation of financial regulatory reform by international bodies. ....	59
1.2. Changes in GDP, employment, and productivity in selected OECD countries..	70
2.1. United States - General government account .....	83
2.2. ARRA provides a large Stimulus in 2010. ....	86
2.3. Tax expenditures in personal income tax: international comparisons. ....	93
2.4. Options for reforming tax expenditures. ....	94
2.5. The CBO estimates that the recent health reform will reduce the federal budget deficit slightly over 2010-19. ....	101
2.A1.1. Key results of Simulation model .....	108
3.1. Sugarcane ethanol and cellulosic ethanol are more effective for reducing GHG emissions than corn ethanol .....	128
3.2. A number of State/regional or voluntary GHG emissions trading schemes are getting underway. ....	129
3.3. The economic costs of reducing GHG emissions are modest when a comprehensive approach is adopted. ....	134
<b>Figures</b>	
1. Productivity has increased strongly in the United States during the recession ...	11
2. Financial industry profits are improving, but remain held down by write-downs and provisioning. ....	13
3. Small business credit conditions remain tight .....	14
4. US loan delinquency rates are high .....	15
5. The United States entered the crisis with a budget deficit. ....	20
6. The fiscal deficit and government debt increased sharply during 2006-09. ....	21

7.	United States - Eliminating the federal deficit by 2020 would bring down the debt ratio. . . . .	24
8.	The US tax-GDP ratio is low by OECD Standards. . . . .	25
9.	The United States relies less heavily on consumption taxes. . . . .	27
10.	Long-term fiscal trends are unsustainable. . . . .	28
11.	CO <sub>2</sub> atmospheric concentrations and global temperatures are rising. . . . .	32
12.	GHG emission intensity of Output is declining in the United States but is higher than in most OECD countries. . . . .	33
13.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita are much higher in the United States than in the EU27. . . . .	34
14.	Gasoline and diesel tax rates are relatively low in the United States. . . . .	35
15.	Public spending on energy-related RD&D has increased in recent years but remains low. . . . .	38
16.	The Department of Energy's (DOE's) innovation budget ("science") is steadily rising. . . . .	40
1.1.	US residential investment has fallen sharply prior to most recessions . . . . .	51
1.2.	Real house prices declined prior to three of the past four recessions . . . . .	52
1.3.	The increase in real house prices was similar in the United States to those in most other G7 countries. . . . .	52
1.4.	The homeownership rate in the United States is near the middle of rates in OECD countries. . . . .	54
1.5.	US households have lower housing equity than households in other G7 countries. . . . .	55
1.6.	Concentration in the US financial System is less than in other G7 countries. . . . .	61
1.7.	Household net worth fell by about a quarter between the middle of 2007 and early 2009 but has moved up somewhat since then. . . . .	63
1.8.	The US current account balance and saving rate have risen after trending down from 1991 to 2006. . . . .	63
1.9.	The US accounts for a large share of global current account imbalances . . . . .	64
1.10.	Household liabilities as a share of household net worth have increased considerably in the past 50 years. . . . .	65
1.11.	Liabilities as a share of disposable income have also increased considerably in the past 50 years. . . . .	65
1.12.	Household saving and net investment increased during the recession after declining since the 1980s. . . . .	66
1.13.	Return on net worth fell sharply during the recession . . . . .	67
1.14.	After a surge in productivity, labour productivity has begun to slow. . . . .	69
1.15.	Long-term unemployment is much higher than in previous recessions . . . . .	71
1.16.	Sectoral reallocation of labour does not appear to be high given the size of the recession. . . . .	71
2.1.	US budget balances were already in deficit when the crisis struck . . . . .	83
2.2.	The federal deficit has a substantial structural component. . . . .	87
2.3.	United States - Eliminating the federal deficit by 2020 would bring down the debt ratio. . . . .	90
2.4.	The US tax-GDP ratio is low by OECD Standards . . . . .	92
2.5.	The United States relies less heavily on consumption taxes. . . . .	95
2.6.	The share of the elderly (65 years or over) in the total population is set to rise rapidly over coming decades. . . . .	98
2.7.	Long-term fiscal trends are challenging . . . . .	99

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.1.	CO <sub>2</sub> atmospheric concentrations and global temperatures are rising	111
3.2.	Substantial growth in global GHG emissions is in prospect in a BAU scenario	111
3.3.	Growth in US GHG emissions has slowed, but remains higher than in European countries.	112
3.4.	The United States is a major emitter of GHG	113
3.5.	GHG emissions intensity of Output is declining in the United States but is higher than in most OECD countries.	114
3.6.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita are much higher in the United States than in the EU27	114
3.7.	Gasoline and diesel tax rates are relatively low in the United States.	115
3.8.	Most regions gain more from free riding than from participating in a world coalition.	117
3.9.	The impact of reduced local air pollution through GHG mitigation policies on the percentage of premature deaths avoided.	118
3.10.	Co-benefits only partially improve incentives for participation in a global climate-change agreement to reduce emissions by 50% by 2050.	119
3.11.	The United States could reduce its oil intensity by more than most other OECD countries under different mitigation policies.	120
3.12.	Public spending on energy-related RD&D has increased in recent years but remains low	126
3.13.	The Department of Energy's (DOE's) innovation budget ("science") is steadily rising.	132