Workers Participation in Europe

by
DR. JUR. WALTER KOLVENBACH
Attorney at Law, Düsseldorf

d with 10000000 and the contraction of the contrac
TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE DARMSTADT
Fachbereich 1
Gesamtbibliothek
Betriebswirtschaftslehr e
Inventor-Nr.: 1. 1. 3.20
Abstell-Nr. : A 40/132
Sachgebiete:
8. 1.4
003.02.340

1977
KLUWER • Deventer • The Netherlands
ALFRED METZNER • Frankfurt am Main • Germany

Table of contents

I.	INT	CRODUCTION	7		
	1. Methods of employee participation		7		
	2.	Institutions of employee participation	8		
	3.	Works council as method of workers participation	8		
	4.	Impact of workers participation in decision-making-			
		process	9		
II.					
	EM	PLOYEE PARTICIPATION	11		
	1.	The 'green paper'	11		
	2.	The European company	12		
	3.	Fifth directive to co-ordinate the structures of company			
		laws in the member states	15		
III.	LE	GAL PROBLEMS OF EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION IN BOARDS OF			
	DIRECTORS AND EQUIVALENT DECISION-MAKING BODIES				
	1.	Single board and dual system	19		
	2.	Organizations for representation of employees	19		
	3.	Comparison of German system and Dutch system	20		
IV.	. EUROPEAN LEGISLATION ON WORKERS DIRECTORS				
	1.	Spain	25		
	2.	France	29		
		A. Existing legislation	29		
		B. Sudreau report	29		
	3.	Luxemburg	33		
	4.	Austria	35		
	5.	Denmark	37		
	6.	Norway	41		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	A.	Companies with less than 50 employees	41
	В.	Medium-sized companies	41
	C.	Companies with more than 200 employees	43
	7. Sw	reden	45
	8. Fe	deral Republic of Germany	49
	A.	Co-determination in the coal mining, iron and steel	
		producing industry	49
	В.	Companies with less than 2000 employees	51
	C.	Companies with more than 2000 employees	54
	9. Th	e Netherlands	61
	10. Ur	nited Kingdom	65
	A.	The TUC-statement of policy on industrial democracy	65
	В.	Definition for the task of Bullock committee	66
	C.	New concept of partnership between capital and	
		labour	66
	D.	2x + y	67
	E.	Trade union influence	70
	F.	Minority report	71
	G.	Worker directors on British boards – a new legal	
		structure?	71
v.	CONCL	USION	73
NO	TES		75