

Spucht

CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS FOR INDUSTRIAL PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

By
Benny Iggländ

TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE DARMSTADT	
Fachbereich 1	
<u>Gesamtbibliothek</u>	
<u>Betriebswirtschaftslehre</u>	
Inventar-Nr.:	<i>45.245</i>
Abstell-Nr.:	<i>A 31/325</i>
Sauggebiete:	<i>1.8.2</i>
	<i>5.2.1</i>

A 31
1.8.2
5.2.1

00271592

BWL TU Darmstadt



54486308

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	The real-world problem underlying the research project	1
1.2	General direction of the project	3
1.2.1	The overall purpose of the research project	3
1.2.2	Aim and scope of the study	4
1.2.2.1	Application and restrictions	5
1.2.2.2	Aim of the study	7
1.3	Plan of the report	7
2	RESEARCH ISSUES	11
2.1	Reasons behind imposed restrictions	11
2.2	Supporting framework - an overview	13
2.3	Research questions	15
3	A SURVEY OF PREVIOUS RESEARCH	17
3.1	Product management and product development	17
3.1.1	The need for product development	17
3.1.1.1	Product life cycle length	18
3.1.1.2	Market information for product development	19
3.1.2	New product success rate	19
3.1.3	The product development process	20
3.1.4	Factors behind product success and failure	21
3.1.5	Summary	22
3.2	Industrial buying	23
3.2.1	Process understanding	23
3.2.2	Industrial buying models	24
3.2.3	Summary	27
3.3	Group decisions	27
3.3.1	Social group decision behavior	29
3.3.2	Group aggregation	30
3.3.3	Group member influence	32
3.4	Preference measurement	33
3.4.1	Object of preference measurement	33
3.4.2	Methods for preference measurement	35
3.4.3	Conjoint measurement	36
3.4.4	Estimation methods	37
3.4.5	Reliability and validity	38
3.4.5.1	Reliability	39
3.4.5.2	Validity	40
4	METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS	45
4.1	Conjoint analysis	45
4.1.1	Background	45
4.1.2	Utility function estimation	46
4.1.3	Conjoint analysis in this study	46
4.2	Delphi studies in buying decision contexts	47
4.3	The Delphi method	48
4.4	A pre-test study and its results (The Copier Study)	49
4.5	Field study directions	50

5	DESIGN OF A CONJOINT ANALYSIS STUDY	53
5.1	Design considerations	53
5.1.1	Attribute identification	53
5.1.1.1	Determinant attributes	53
5.1.1.2	Attribute identification methods	54
5.1.1.3	Number of determinant attributes to use in a study	55
5.1.2	Price as attribute information cue	56
5.1.3	Group evaluation	58
5.1.4	Data collection	59
5.1.5	Versions of conjoint analysis	59
5.1.5.1	Two-factors-at-a-time vs. full profile designs	61
5.1.5.2	Measurement variables and profile presen- tation	62
5.1.5.3	Utility function estimation methods	62
5.1.5.4	Interaction	63
5.1.5.5	Robustness of reduced designs in conjoint analysis	64
5.1.6	Questionnaire and scale design	65
5.1.7	Methods for testing validity and reliability	66
5.1.8	Conclusions on how to design a conjoint anal- ysis study	68
5.2	Design of the field studies	69
5.2.1	Attribute selection	69
5.2.1.1	Inhouse personnel judgment	69
5.2.1.2	Consultants' and customers' judgments	70
5.2.1.3	Conclusions	70
5.2.2	Evaluation profiles	72
5.2.2.1	Fractional factorial design	73
5.2.2.2	Experimental plan	73
5.2.2.3	Attribute levels and evaluation kit	74
5.2.3	Utility function calculation	75
5.3	Pretest of the study design	75
5.4	Performing the field studies	76
6	RESULTS OF FIELD STUDIES	79
6.1	Results from the Swedish Turbine Study	79
6.2	Results from the Postal Swedish Turbine Study	84
6.3	Results from the Postal Finnish Turbine Study	86
6.4	Comparison of the three studies	89
6.5	Some observations on the dynamics of group deci- sion making	90
7	RESULTS RELATED TO THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS	95
7.1	Group preferences from individual preferences	95
7.2	Preference measurement	99
7.3	Convenient data collection methods	100
7.4	Applicability in differing market situations	103
8	CONCLUSION	105
8.1	Results related to the aim of the study	105
8.2	Further research	107
A.	REFERENCES	111

B. APPENDICES	129
C. DETAILS OF THE STS	131
C.1 Introduction	131
C.2 Way of study	131
C.2.1 Method	132
C.2.2 Presentation	133
C.2.3 Participants	133
C.2.3.1 Invitations	134
C.2.3.2 Acceptance to participate	134
C.2.4 Study work path	135
C.3 Interviews	135
C.3.1 Time spent	135
C.3.2 Participants' comments	135
C.3.2.1 Study design	136
C.3.2.2 Supplier	137
C.3.2.3 Price	137
C.3.2.4 Efficiency	138
C.3.2.5 Delivery time	138
C.4 Calculation of utility functions	138
C.4.1 R squared	138
C.4.2 Standard deviation	139
C.4.3 Significance	139
C.4.3.1 Significance for individuals	139
C.4.3.2 Significance for groups	139
C.4.4 Residuals per profile	141
C.4.4.1 Residuals for individuals	142
C.4.4.2 Residuals for groups	144
C.5 Choice frequency	144
C.6 Replications	146
C.7 Hold out validation	147
C.7.1 Correlation	147
C.7.1.1 Correlation actual - predicted evaluations	147
C.7.1.2 Correlation actual-rounded/restricted predictions	148
C.7.2 Number of errors	148
C.7.3 Comments	149
C.8 Attribute importance	149
C.9 Importance measures	150
C.9.1 Contribution to SS explained	150
C.9.2 Width of coefficient weights	151
C.9.3 Attribute rank order	151
C.9.4 Attribute rank weight	152
C.9.5 Summary	153
C.10 Group aggregation	153
C.10.1 Performed aggregations	155
C.10.2 Sum of evaluations	157
C.10.3 Group judgments	159
C.10.4 Pooling	159
C.10.4.1 The case for median and mean pooling	160
C.10.4.2 Results of utility function estimation on median and mean values	161
C.10.4.3 Comparison of pooling methods	161
C.10.4.4 Group individual pooling	162
C.10.5 Group aggregation by proportional weighting	162

C.10.5.1	Judgment nearness	163
C.10.5.2	Deviations to group evaluations	163
C.10.5.3	Influence and job position	165
C.10.6	Group aggregation by majority rule	166
C.10.7	Group aggregation by plurality rule	167
C.10.8	Comments	169
C.11	Concluding thoughts	171
D.	DETAILS OF THE PSTS	173
D.1	Introduction	173
D.2	Way of study	173
D.2.1	Method	174
D.2.2	Presentation	175
D.2.3	Participants	175
D.2.3.1	Invitations	175
D.2.3.2	Acceptance to participate	176
D.2.3.3	Participating job categories and degree of involvement	178
D.2.3.4	Job categories and correlation to weighted group evaluations	178
D.2.4	Study work path	178
D.3	Comments given on the study	179
D.4	Calculation of utility functions	180
D.4.1	R squared	180
D.4.2	Standard deviation	181
D.4.3	Significance	181
D.4.4	Significance for individual respondents	182
D.4.5	Residuals per profile	182
D.4.6	Residuals for individual respondents	183
D.5	Choice frequency	184
D.5.1	General	185
D.5.2	Replications	186
D.5.3	Differences between rounds 1, 2, and 3	186
D.6	Hold out validation	187
D.6.1	Correlation	187
D.6.1.1	Correlation actual - predicted evalu- ations	188
D.6.1.2	Correlation actual - rounded/restricted predictions	188
D.6.2	Number of errors	189
D.6.3	Comments	190
D.7	Attribute importance	191
D.8	Importance measures	192
D.8.1	Contribution to SS explained	192
D.8.2	Width of coefficient weights	193
D.8.3	Attribute rank order	193
D.8.4	Attribute rank weight	194
D.8.5	Summary	195
D.9	Concluding thoughts	195
E.	DETAILS OF THE PFTS	197
E.1	Introduction	197
E.2	Way of study	197
E.2.1	Method	198
E.2.2	Presentation	199

E.2.3	Participants	199
E.2.3.1	Invitations	199
E.2.3.2	Acceptance to participate	200
E.2.3.3	Participating job categories and degree of involvement	201
E.2.3.4	Job categories and correlation to weighted group evaluations	202
E.2.4	Study work path	202
E.3	Comments given on the study	202
E.3.1	Language problems	203
E.3.2	Participants' comments	205
E.4	Calculation of utility functions	205
E.4.1	R squared	205
E.4.2	Standard deviation	205
E.4.3	Significance	205
E.4.4	Significance for individual respondents	206
E.4.5	Residuals per profile	207
E.4.6	Residuals for individual respondents	208
E.5	Choice frequency	209
E.5.1	General	210
E.5.2	Replications	211
E.5.3	Differences between rounds 1, 2, and 3	211
E.6	Hold out validation	212
E.6.1	Correlation	212
E.6.1.1	Correlation actual - predicted evalu- ations	213
E.6.1.2	Correlation actual - rounded/restricted predictions	213
E.6.2	Number of errors	213
E.6.3	Comments	215
E.7	Attribute importance	215
E.8	Importance measures	216
E.8.1	Contribution to SS explained	217
E.8.2	Width of coefficient weights	217
E.8.3	Attribute rank order	218
E.8.4	Attribute rank weight	218
E.8.5	Summary	219
E.9	Concluding thoughts	219
E.10	Common group results	220
E.10.1	Common group constitution	221
E.10.1.1	Comments given on the study	221
E.10.1.2	Calculation of utility functions	221
E.10.1.3	Choice frequency	222
E.10.1.4	Hold out validation	222
E.10.1.5	Attribute importance	222
E.10.2	Summary	222
F.	COMPARISON OF THE THREE STUDIES	225
F.1	Comparison of study background	225
F.2	Comparison of results	226
F.2.1	Participants	227
F.2.2	Job category and degree of involvement	227
F.2.3	Expected number of participants	227
F.2.4	Calculation of utility functions	229
F.2.4.1	R squared	229
F.2.4.2	Standard deviation	230

F.2.4.3	Significance	230
F.2.4.4	Residuals	231
F.2.5	Choice frequency	232
F.2.6	Replications	232
F.2.7	Hold out validation	233
F.2.8	Attribute importance	234
F.3	Discussion of common observations	235
F.3.1	Exclusion of participants	235
F.3.2	Pooling methods	236
F.3.3	Aggregation of individual responses	237
F.4	Utility and cost functions	238
F.4.1	Utility functions	238
F.4.2	Cost functions	239
F.5	Summary of results from the study	240
G.	EVALUATION KIT	243
G.1	Time schedule	243
G.2	Kits distributed	244
G.3	Invitation letters	245
G.4	Instruction sheet	245
G.5	Profiles	246
H.	UTILITY FUNCTIONS	251
I.	DEFINITIONS	255