K1.152

ON THE ORIGIN OF

PHYLA

JAMES W. VALENTINE

Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Darmstadt
Bibliothek Biologie

Inv.-Nr. 16683

The University of Chicago Press *Chicago and London*

Contents

Preface xxiii

PART ONE *Evidence of the Origins of Metazoan Phyla* 1

1 **The Nature of Phyla** 7

Phyla Are Morphologically Based Branches of the Tree of Life 7
  Concepts of Animal Phyla Have Developed over Hundreds of Years 7
  The Concept of Homology Is Basic to Determining Animal Relationships 11
  Linnean and Hennigian Taxa Have Different Properties 12

Genealogical Histories Can Be Traced in Trees, Which Are Positional Structures 13
  Morphological Entities within Metazoan Bodies, Such as Cells, Can Be Positioned in Trees 14
  Trees Composed of Individual Organisms Can Be Incredibly Complicated 14
  Trees Composed of Species Are Much Simpler 15
  Trees Can Be Formed of Linnean Taxa above the Species Level 15
  Molecular Information Can Position Morphologically Based Taxa in a Tree 15

Natural Biological Hierarchies Are Nested Structures of Functional Entities That Emerge When Complex Systems Are Organized 16
  There Are Four Major Types of Hierarchical Structure 16
  Hierarchies Help Sort Out Relations among Biological Features 20
    Novel Phenomena Emerge at Successive Hierarchical Levels • The Effects of Levels upon One Another Are Quite Asymmetrical

Natural Hierarchies Are Formed by Trees 22
  An Ecological Hierarchy of Biotic Entities Is Formed by the Tree of Life 22
  Hierarchies of Genes Can Be Mapped onto the Somatic and Ecological Hierarchies 23

The Linnean Hierarchy Is Quasi-Natural 24

Trees and Hierarchies Have Very Distinct Properties 25

Cladistics Is a Systematics Based on Trees 27
Some Cladistic Terms Are Hypotheses as to the Evolutionary Status of Characters 27
In Cladistic Classifications, Branch Points May Define Sister Taxa That Are Holophyletic 29

Phyla Have Split Personalities 31

Molecular Branchings Can Define Clades, while Morphological Features Define Linnean Taxa 32

Bodyplans Consist of Evolutionarily Disparate Features 33
Bodyplans Are Polythetic 33
Important Bodyplan Features May Be Plesiomorphies or Synapomorphies, and May Be Homoplasies 33

Systematic Hierarchies and Trees: A Summary 37

2 **Design Elements in the Bodyplans of Phyla** 40

Cells Are the Basic Building Blocks of Metazoan Bodies 40
Cytoskeletons Provide the Framework for Cytoarchitectures 41
Metazoan Cells Have Descended from Protistans, Probably Choanoflagellate-Like 43

Cells Are Integrated into Tissues by Protein Bindings or Matrices 45
Extracellular Matrix Supports Metazoan Tissues 45
In Most Metazoan Tissues, Cells Are Connected or Anchored by Protein Molecules 45

Metazoans Have Several Major Types of Tissues 47
Most Tissues Are Epithelial or Connective 47
Muscle Tissue May Be either Epithelial or Connective 47
Nervous Tissues Are Not Organized either as Epithelia or as Connective Tissues 49
Multinucleate (Syncytial) Tissues Are Found in Many Disparate Phyla 49

Organs and Organ Systems Are Formed of Tissues 49

Organisms Are Best Understood as Developmental Systems 50
Cleavage and Cell Differentiation Are Linked in Most Metazoan Ontogenies 50
Gastrulation Gives Rise to Ectodermal and Endodermal Germ Layers 55
Middle Body Layers Range from Simple Sheets of Extracellular Matrix to Mesodermal Germ Layers 55
Pseudocoels and Hemocoels Are Body Cavities That Lie on the Site of the Blastocoel 59

Coeloms Are Body Cavities That Lie within Mesoderm 60
Some Coeloms Function as Hydrostatic Skeletons • Some Coeloms Are Adjuncts to Organs
Larval Stages Commonly Possess Bodyplans of Their Own 62

Many Bodyplan Features Reflect Locomotory Techniques 64
In Soft-Bodied Forms, Locomotory Devices Range from Cilia to Limbs 64
"Hard" Skeletons May Complement or Replace the Biomechanical Functions of Fluid Skeletons 65

Symmetry and Seriation Are the Principal Descriptors of Body Style 66
Symmetry Is Imparted by Repetition of Parts across Planes or along Radii 67
Anteroposterior Regionation Involves Seriation, Segmentation, and Tagmosis 68

Evolutionary Changes in Body Size Occur throughout Metazoan History 70
Area/Volume Ratios Are Sensitive to Most Size Changes 70
Life Is Different at High versus Low Reynolds Numbers 71

Morphological Complexity Is Not a Simple Topic 72

3 **Development and Bodyplans** 76

The Evolution of Developmental Systems Underpins the Evolution of Bodyplans 76

The English Language and Genomes Both Have Combinatorial, Hierarchical Structures 77
In Narrative English the Immensity of Combinations Inherent in the Alphabet Is Constrained within a Hierarchy 77
Hierarchical Constraints Also Operate within Metazoan Genomes 79

The Metazoan Gene Is a Complex of Regulatory, Transcribed, and Translated Parts 80
Transcribed Gene Regions Are Processed to Produce mRNA 80
Cis-*Regulatory Elements Mediate Transcription* 81

Regulatory Signals Are Produced by *Trans*-Regulatory Systems 83
Transcription Factors Bind to Enhancers 83
Trans-*Regulators Are Controlled by Signals That Ultimately Arise from Other Regulatory Genes* 85

Genomic Complexity Is a Function of Gene Numbers and Interactions 86

Metazoan Genomes Display Surprising Patterns of Similarities and Differences among Taxa 88

Some Functional Classes of Genes Are Broadly Similar across Metazoan Phyla 90
Bodyplans Are Patterned by Sequential Expressions of High-Level Regulatory Genes 91
Anteroposterior Axis Specification and Patterning Genes Are Found throughout Eumetazoa 92
Dorsoventral Axis Specification and Patterning Genes Are Similar across Bilateria 97
Organogenesis Involves Positioning by Patterning Genes and Development via Gene Cascades Controlled by Selector Genes 100
Signaling Pathways, Like Individual Genes, Are Recruited for a Variety of Tasks 103

Developmental Genomes May Evolve on Many, Semidecomposable Levels 103
Evolution of Cis-*Regulatory Elements Entails Effects That Differ from the Evolution of Transcribed Genes* 103
Regulatory Variation May Be Maintained by Several Unique Mechanisms 105
Units of Selection in Developmental Evolution Include Semi-independent Modules 107
Bodyplan Evolution Commonly Uses Established Genetic Units of Selection for Novelties 109
Genes May Be Recruited or Captured • Cases of Heterochrony and Heterotopy Are Changes in the Time or Place of Gene Expression

Regulatory Gene Systems Organize Complexity 112
The Developmental Genome Should Be Hierarchical 112
Networks That Organize the Products of a Tree into a Hierarchy Are Hypothesized to Be Scale-Free 112
Regulatory Genes Are Arbiters of Developmental Narratives 114

4 **Morphological and Molecular Phylogenies** 115

Assumed Evolutionary Histories Affect Morphologically Based Phylogenetic Hypotheses 115

Many of the Classic Phylogenetic Hypotheses Involve Assumptions as to the Phylogenetic History of the Coelom 119
Dichotomous Coelom Theories Postulate an Early Branching between Protostomes and Deuterostomes from a Common Ancestor 119
Enterocoel Theories Postulate That Enterocoely Is a Primitive Feature of Bilaterians 120
Schizocoel Theories Derive "the Coelom" from a Spiralian Acoelomate 122
Morphological Phylogenies Can Use Some Help 123

Evolutionary Histories Affect Molecularly Based Estimates of the Timing, Branching Patterns, and Order of Origins of Phyla 123
The Dating of Deep Nodes by Molecular Clocks Is Problematic as Yet 123
Dating Nodes Does Not Date the Origin of Bodyplans 126
Genes That Are Phylogenetically Informative for Higher Taxa Must Evolve Slowly but Not Too Slowly 126
Variations in the Rate of Gene-Sequence Change among Taxa Can Produce False Molecular Phylogenies 127
Homologous Positions Must Be Aligned When Comparing Gene Sequences 130
Some Clades Are Characterized by a Natural Bias in Nucleotide Substitutions 131

Morphological and Molecular Homologies Are Decomposable 131
During Evolution, Morphological Hierarchies Are Dynamic Structures, and the Composition of Their Entities Is Somewhat Fluid 131
Molecular Homologies Do Not Necessarily Map on Morphological Homologies 131

There Is a Large Variety of Ways to Form Trees from Molecular Sequences 132
Distance Methods Estimate the Mean Number of Changes between Species 133
Parsimony Methods Find Trees That Minimize the Amount of Evolutionary Change Required to Produce Observed Sequences 134
Invariant Methods Concentrate on Reducing Long-Branch Attraction 135
Likelihood Methods Estimate Actual Change under a Given Evolutionary Model 135
Several Methods Help Evaluate the Quality of Support for Given Nodes 136
There Are Some Remedies for What Ails Molecular Trees 136

Although Molecular Phylogenies Produce Conflicting Topologies, They Have Also Produced a Growing Consensus on Major Alliances of Phyla 138
Early Studies Suggested Surprising Alliances of Phyla 138
Subsequent Work Has Tended to Support the Existence of Several Major Metazoan Alliances 141

Combined Morphological/Molecular Phylogenies of Phyla May Require Improved Assessments of Homologies to Be Successful 143

Stratigraphic Data Can Add Useful Information to Phylogenetic Hypotheses 146

The Alliances of Phyla Indicated by Molecular Methods Provide Evidence for Evaluating the Origin and Early History of Phyla 148

ilaterian Alliances Can Be Identified in a Very Conservative SSU rRNA Tree 148
he Conservative Tree May Be Modified by Other Criteria to Produce a More Liberal Hypothesis 149

ossil Record 153

tratigraphic Record Is Incomplete in a Spotty Way 153
edimentary Rocks Are Accumulated and Preserved Episodically 153
edimentary "Completeness" Varies with the Resolution That Is Desired 157
he Completeness of Sedimentary Sections Is Independent of Their Ages 159

Marine Fossil Record, while Incomplete, Yields Useful Samples Rather Consistent Fraction of the Fauna 160
ocal Fossil Assemblages Are Largely Durably Skeletonized and Time-Averaged 160
Many Local Faunas Are Required in order to Estimate Global Diversity at Times of High Environmental Heterogeneity 162
umping Preservational Gaps Is Possible by Extrapolation between Rich Faunal Horizons 163
The Known Geologic Ranges of Taxa Are Sensitive to Their Fossil Abundances 164

e Are Ways of Coping with Incomplete Records 167
Taxonomic Completeness Increases at Higher Levels of the Taxonomic Hierarchy 167
Taxonomic Completeness Rises as Larger Bins Are Used to Increase Time-Averaging 167
Paleoecological and Biogeographic Completeness Increase at Higher Levels of the Ecological Hierarchy 168
Data from Coarser Units May Be Tested by Local Fine-Scale Studies 168

Neoproterozoic-Cambrian Fossil Record Provides the Only Direct lence of Early Metazoan Bodyplans 168
Satisfactory Definition and Dating of Late Neoproterozoic and Cambrian Rocks Have Been Accomplished Only Recently 169
Criteria for Defining the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian Boundary Have Varied over the Years • The Age of the Late Neoproterozoic–Early Cambrian Sequence Has Been Established Chiefly by Precision Dating of Zircon Crystals
Late Neoproterozoic and Early Cambrian Geographies Were Very Different from Today's 172
Knowledge of Late Neoproterozoic and Cambrian Faunas Has Greatly Increased in Recent Decades 174

Late Neoproterozoic Fossils Include Enigmatic Soft-Bodied Forms and Traces • Earliest Cambrian Faunal Traces Indicate Increases in Body Size and in Biological Activities • Numbers of Crown Phyla Appear during the Cambrian Explosion • The Middle Cambrian Contains Spectacular Faunas, but No Crown Phyla Appear for the First Time • Phyla That First Appear after the Explosion Are Soft-Bodied with One Exception (Bryozoa)

If All Phyla Were Present by the Close of the Explosion, Their Records Agree Well with Expectations Based on Their Preservabilities 186

The Lack of Neoproterozoic Fossil Ancestors of Living Phyla Is Not Inconsistent with the Quality of the Fossil Record 187

There Is a Vast Range of Hypotheses That Attempt to Explain the Cambrian Explosion 189

Perhaps There Was No Cambrian Explosion 191

The Explosion Was Due to Physical Changes in the Environment 191

The Explosion Was Due to Biological Changes in the Environment 193

The Explosion Reflects Intrinsic Evolutionary Change 194

In Sum, the Cambrian Fossils Imply an Explosion of Bodyplans, but the Underlying Causes Remain Uncertain 194

PART TWO *The Metazoan Phyla* 197

6 **Prebilaterians and Earliest Crown Bilaterians** 201

Sponges and Spongiomorphs 201

Bodyplan of Porifera 201

Cellularia • Symplasma

Development in Porifera 205

Cellularia • Symplasma

Fossil Record of Sponges and Spongiomorphs 208

Porifera • Archaeocyatha • Radiocyatha • Chancelloriidae

Poriferan Ancestry and Early Radiation of the Sponge Grade 211

Cnidarians and Cnidariomorphs 214

Bodyplan of Cnidaria 214

Development in Cnidaria 218

Early Fossil Cnidaria and Cnidariomorphs 219

The Vendobiont Hypothesis 225

Cnidarian Relationships and Early Radiation of Cnidariomorphs 226

Ctenophora 228

Bodyplan of Ctenophora 228

Development in Ctenophora 228
Fossil Record of Ctenophora 230
Ctenophoran Relationships 231

Placozoa 232

Myxozoa 233

Diversification of Prebilaterian Metazoa 234

Acoelomorpha: Earliest Crown Bilaterians? 236
Bodyplan of Acoelomorpha 237
Development in Acoelomorpha 239
Fossil Record of Acoelomorpha 240
Acoelomorphs and the Early Bilateria 240

7 Protostomes: The Ecdysozoa 241

Priapulida 241
Bodyplan of Priapulida 241
Development in Priapulida 243
Fossil Record of Priapulida 243

Kinorhyncha 244
Bodyplan of Kinorhyncha 244
Development in Kinorhyncha 246
Fossil Record of Kinorhyncha 246

Loricifera 246
Bodyplan of Loricifera 246
Development in Loricifera 248
Fossil Record of Loricifera 248

Nematomorpha 248
Bodyplan of Nematomorpha 248
Development in Nematomorpha 249
Fossil Record of Nematomorpha 250

Nematoda 250
Bodyplan of Nematoda 250
Development in Nematoda 252
Fossil Record of Nematoda 255

Paleoscolecidae 256

Relationships of Paracoelomate Ecdysozoans 257

Onychophora 257

Bodyplan of Onychophora 258
Development in Onychophora 259
Onychophora and Fossil Lobopods 259
Aysheaia, Luolishania, and *Xenusion* • Armored Lobopods • Later Forms
Relationships among Living and Fossil Onychophorans 262

Tardigrada 262
Bodyplan of Tardigrada 263
Development in Tardigrada 264
Fossil Record of Tardigrada 264

Arthropoda 264
Bodyplan of Arthropoda 264
Major Clades • Pentastomids
Development in Arthropoda 271
Early Fossil Record of Arthropoda 275
Early Fossil Relatives (or Perhaps Basal Stem Groups) of Arthropoda 281

Some Branch Points within the Ecdysozoa 283
Nodes 1, 2, and 3 283
Nodes 4 and 5 284
Onychophora + Tardigrada + Arthropoda Clade 284
Onychophora • Tardigrada • Arthropoda
Ecdysozoan Cleavages 285

Early History of the Lobopodian and Arthropodan Clades 286

8 **Protostomes: Lophotrochozoa 1: Eutrochozoans** 288

Platyhelminthes: Rhabditophora and Catenulida 288
Bodyplan of Platyhelminthes 290
Free-Living Rhabditophora • Catenulida • *Xenoturbella* • Some Flatworm Bodyplan Variations
Development in Marine Rhabditophora 293
Fossil Record of Flatworms 294
Flatworm Relationships 294

Mollusca and Mollusklike Forms 295
Bodyplan of Mollusca 295
Development in Mollusca 301
Early Fossil Record of Mollusca 302
Early Fossil Record of Mollusklike Forms 308
Acaenoplax • Probivalvia or Stenothecoida • Hyolitha • *Kimberella*
Molluscan Relationships 310

Annelida 312
Bodyplan of Annelida 314
Development in Annelida 319
Pogonophora 320
Bodyplan of Pogonophora • Development in Pogonophora
Echiura 322
Bodyplan of Echiura • Development in Echiura
Fossil Record of Annelida 324
Pogonophora • Echiura
Annelid Ancestry 326

Sipuncula 326
Bodyplan of Sipuncula 326
Development in Sipuncula 328
Fossil Record of Sipuncula 328
Sipunculan Relationships 328

Nemertea 328
Bodyplan of Nemertea 329
Development in Nemertea 330
Fossil Record of Nemertea 331
Nemertean Relationships 331

Mesozoans: Rhombozoa and Orthonectida 331
Bodyplans of Mesozoans 332
Development in Mesozoans 332
Mesozoan Relationships 333

Fossil Groups That May Be Eutrochozoans 333
Coeloscleritophora 333
Turrilepadida 335
Fascivermis 336
Other Groups of Problematica 336

Possible Branch Points within Eutrochozoa 337

9 Protostomes: Lophotrochozoa 2: Lophophorates 339

Bryozoa 339
Bodyplan of Bryozoa 339
Development in Bryozoa 342
Ontogeny • Astogeny (Colony Development)
Fossil Record of Bryozoa 343
Bryozoan Relationships 343

Phoronida 345
    Bodyplan of Phoronida 345
    Development in Phoronida 346
    Fossil Record of Phoronida 348
    Phoronid Relationships 348

Brachiopoda 348
    Bodyplan of Brachiopoda 350
    Development in Brachiopoda 353
    Fossil Record of Brachiopoda 354
    Extinct Brachiopod-like Forms 354
    Brachiopod Relationships 355

Lophophorate Relationships 356

10 Protostomes: Paracoelomates 360

Gastrotricha 361
    Bodyplan of Gastrotricha 361
    Development in Gastrotricha 361
    Fossil Record of Gastrotricha 363
    Gastrotrich Relationships 363

Rotifera 363
    Bodyplan of Rotifera 363
    Development in Rotifera 364
    Fossil Record of Rotifera 365
    Rotiferan Relationships 365

Acanthocephala 365
    Bodyplan of Acanthocephala 365
    Development in Acanthocephala 366
    Fossil Record of Acanthocephala 367
    Acanthocephalan Relationships 367

Entoprocta 368
    Bodyplan of Entoprocta 368
    Development in Entoprocta 369
    Fossil Record of Entoprocta 370
    Entoproctan Relationships 370

Cycliophora 370
    Bodyplan of Cycliophora 371
    Development in Cycliophora 372
    Fossil Record of Cycliophora 372
    Cycliophoran Relationships 372

Gnathostomulida 372

Bodyplan of Gnathostomulida 372

Development in Gnathostomulida 373

Fossil Record of Gnathostomulida 373

Gnathostomulid Relationships 373

Chaetognatha 374

Bodyplan of Chaetognatha 374

Development in Chaetognatha 375

Fossil Record of Chaetognatha 376

Chaetognath Relationships 376

Phylogenetic Schemes for Paracoelomates 377

Major Schemes for Vermiform Paracoelomates 377

The Fossil Record and Paracoelomate Histories 378

11 **Deuterostomes** 381

Hemichordata 383

Bodyplan of Enteropneust Hemichordata 383

Bodyplan of Pterobranch Hemichordata 385

Development in Hemichordata 387

Fossil Record of Hemichordata 388

Enteropneusta • Pterobranchia • Graptolithina

Hemichordate Relationships and Ancestry 390

Echinodermata 391

Bodyplan of Echinodermata 391

Development in Echinodermata 394

Fossil Record of Echinodermata 397

Crinozoa • Blastozoa • Echinozoa • Homalozoa • The Echinoderm Skeleton

Echinoderm Ancestry 404

Vetulicolia 406

Invertebrate Chordata 406

Urochordata 406

Bodyplan of Ascidiacea • Development in Ascidiacea • Bodyplan of Appendicularia (Larvacea) • Development in Appendicularia • Fossil Record of Urochordata • Urochordate Ancestry

Cephalochordata 413

Bodyplan of Cephalochordata • Development in Cephalochordata • Fossil Record of Cephalochordata

Other Possible Invertebrate Chordates 417

Early Vertebrata 418
    Earliest Agnathans 418
    Euconodonta 418
    Paraconodonta 421

Chordate Ancestry 421
    Older Scenarios 421
    Revised Scenarios 422

PART THREE *Evolution of the Phyla* 425

12 **Phanerozoic History of Phyla** 429

Diversification Patterns of Higher Taxa with Mineralized Skeletons Can Be Evaluated by Richnesses and Disparities 431
    Taxonomic Disparity Commonly Reached High Levels Early in Clade History 431
        Bryozoa Radiated at the Ordinal Level while at Low Diversity • Brachiopoda Also Radiated at the Ordinal Level while at Low Diversity • Mollusca Produced Disparate Stem Groups in the Early Cambrian • Echinodermata Diversified into Disparate Clades from Early Cambrian to Middle Ordovician Time • Other Durably Skeletonized Phyla Show High Early Disparity, and the Records of Soft-Bodied Forms Are Not Inconsistent with Such a Pattern
    Morphometric Disparity Has Been Evaluated Only within Phylum Subclades, Which Sometimes Reach High Levels Early in Clade History 438
        Within Blastozoa and Crinoidea (Echinodermata) High Morphological Disparity Is Achieved Early • Among Mollusca, Gastropoda Shows Larger Early Morphological Disparities while Rostroconcha Shows a Complicated Pattern • Trilobita Are Most Disparate Well after Their First Appearance
    Within Phyla, Disparity and Diversity Seem to Be Independent 443

Macroevolutionary Dynamics of Phyla Run the Gamut from Stability to Volatility 445
    Clade Dynamics Reflect Speciation and Extinction Rates 445
    Macroevolutionary "Competition" Arises from Differential Speciation and Extinction Rates 447
    Linnean Taxa May Form Macroevolutionarily Dynamic Units 448
    Sorting Strategies Arise from Selection among Individuals 449

Clade Histories of Invertebrate Taxa with Mineralized Skeletons Reflect Turnover Dynamics 452
    There Are Hints That the Origin of Marine Clades Is Favored in Shallow Tropical Waters 452

Turnover Rates Influence Clade "Shape" over Time 453
The Early History of Phyla Is Consistent with the Evolutionary Patterns Shown Following the Cambrian 458

Is the Number of Phyla Related to the Gross Heterogeneity of the Marine Environment? 460

The Late Neoproterozoic and Early Cambrian Pattern of Appearances Is Consistent with Patterns Found throughout the Phanerozoic 463

13 **Metazoan Evolution during the Prelude to the Cambrian Explosion** 465

Metazoan Multicellularity Evolved from Protistan Pluricellularity 466
Protistans Set the Stage 466
A Novel Bodyplan involving Differentiated Cell Types Founded the Kingdom Metazoa 467
The Complexity of Metazoan Bodies Led to the Emergence of a Hierarchical Somatic Organization and to Hierarchical, Scale-Free Networks within the Developmental Genome 469

Diploblastic Somatic Architecture Evolved from Sponges 471
Diploblastic Bodyplans Employ Epithelia 471
The Developmental Genomes of Prebilaterians Are Foreshadowed in Their Protistan Ancestors 473

The Nature of Early Bilateria Is Widely Debated 475
The Prebilaterian/Bilaterian Gap Is Wide 475
The Earliest Bilaterians May Descend from Stem Diploblastic Larvae 476
The Trochaea Hypothesis Proposes Evolution in the Plankton • The Set-Aside Hypothesis Proposes Evolution via Deferred Complexity • The Colonial Hypothesis Proposes Evolution via Rounds of Individuation • The Planuloid Hypothesis Proposes Benthic Evolution with Complexity Increases within the Somatic Hierarchy

A Benthic Hypothesis Can Explain Both Fossil and Molecular Data and Is Not Incompatible with Developmental Patterns 484
Molecular Norms of Metazoan Development Were Established in Prebilaterian Genomes 484
The Early Bilaterians Presumably Radiated during the Prelude to Produce a Diverse Paracoelomate Fauna 485
Cleavages and Larval Modes Are Related in Extant Bilaterians, and Suggest Models for the Fauna of the Prelude 487

Larval Modes and Therefore Cleavage Patterns Are Related to Environmental Conditions 488
Cleavage Patterns among Living Clades May Reflect the History of Their Larval Modes 490

Ectoderm, Endoderm, and Endomesoderm Are Probably Homologous throughout the Eumetazoa 492

Crown Paracoelomate Bodyplans Largely Represent a Radiation of Small-Bodied Protostomes 493

Metazoan Complexity Increased before the Cambrian Explosion, Perhaps Chiefly during the Early Cambrian 493

14 **Metazoan Evolution during the Cambrian Explosion and Its Aftermath** 497

Independent Trends in Body-Size Increases Produced the Major Bilaterian Alliances 497
The Degree of Disparity among Neoproterozoic Paracoelomates Is Entirely Conjectural 497
Cambrian Selection for Body-Size Increases Involved Regulation of Cell-Division Cycles 497

The Homology of Body Cavities across Bilateria Is Unlikely 498
Primary Body Cavities—Pseudocoels and Hemocoels—Have Been Lost in Some Lineages but Are Main Body Spaces in Others 498
Secondary Body Cavities—Coeloms—Are Highly Functional and Are Not Likely to Be Homologous across Bilateria 499

Systems Associated with Body Cavities, Such as Blood Vascular and Nephridial Systems, May Be Homoplastic 500

Body-Size Increases Are Consistent with the Early Cambrian Evolution of Planktotrophy and Divergences in Early Development 503

There Are Similarities in the Gross Morphological Adaptations of Some Phyla in the Separate Alliances 505
Deuterostomes Radiated in Two Major Clades, One of Which Evolved a Notochord, and the Rest Is History 506
Adaptive Trends within Ecdysozoa Implicate the Molting Habit as Providing Both Major Opportunities and Constraints 508
Lophotrochozoan Phylogeny Is Problematic, Suggesting a Rapid Radiation from the Crown Ancestor 510
The Remaining Protostomes Are Still a Troublesome Group 513

The Cambrian Explosion Produced Widespread Homoplasy: A Summary 513

Much Evolution of the Developmental Genome Occurred in the Service of Bodyplan Originations: A Summary 514

Why Are Problems of Early Metazoan Evolution So Hard? 516
  If Only It Were Just the Data 516
  The Incredible Richness of Choice 517
  Closing Thoughts 518

Appendix: The Geologic Time Scale 521
Glossary 525
References 533
Index 607