The Rhetoric of Economics

BY DONALD N. McCLOSKEY



CONTENTS

Acknowledgments xi Exordium xvii

THE POVERTY OF ECONOMIC MODERNISM 3

The Official Methodology of Economics Is Modernist 5

Modernism Is a Poor Method 11
It Is Obsolete in Philosophy 11
Falsification Is Not Cogent 13
Prediction Is Not Possible in Economics 15
Modernism Itself Is Impossible, and Not
Adhered To 16

FROM METHODOLOGY TO RHETORIC 20

Any Rule-Bound Methodology Is Objectionable 20 Methodology Is Bourgeois 24 Good Science Is Good Conversation 27 Rhetoric Is a Better Way to Understand Science 28 The Jokes of Economists Tell 30 Other Sciences Have Rhetorics 32

3 ANTI-ANTI-RHETORIC 36

2

The Alternative to Modernism Is Not Irrationalism 36

The Political Arguments for Methodology Are Weak 39

We Wish to Make Plausible Statements, Whether "Scientific" or Not 42

The Philosophical Objections to Rhetoric Are Not Persuasive 46

Anti-Modernism Is Nice 50 Rhetoric Is Good for You 51 5

Contents

4

THE LITERARY CHARACTER OF ECONOMIC SCIENCE 54

Science Uses Literary Methods 54
Proofs of the Law of Demand Are Mostly Literary 57
Linguistics Is an Appropriate Model for Economic
Science 62
Literary Thinking May Improve Applied Economics

, ,

FIGURES OF ECONOMIC SPEECH 69

Even a Modernist Uses, and Must Use, Literary
Devices: The Case of Paul Samuelson 69
Most of the Devices Are Only Dimly Recognized 72
Models Are Nonornamental Metaphors: The Case of
Gary Becker 74
Both Mathematical and Nonmathematical Reasoning

in Economics Rely on Metaphor 79
The Master Tropes Rule Economics: The Case of

The Master Tropes Rule Economics: The Case of Robert Solow 83

THE RHETORIC OF SCIENTISM: HOW JOHN MUTH PERSUADES 87

Muth's Article Was Ill-Written But Important 87 Muth's Main Points Can Be Expressed in English 91 Muth's Article Engages in the Usual Appeals to Scientific Method 96

Muth's Appeal Is in Fact to the Community of Scholars 99

The Explicit Arguments Are Rhetorically Complex 102

Muth's Rhetoric Is Indistinguishable from That in Other Fields 105 7

THE PROBLEM OF AUDIENCE IN HISTORICAL ECONOMICS: ROBERT FOGEL AS RHETOR 113

The Text Was Important 113
Fogel's Book Poses a Rhetorical Puzzle 116
Fogel's Book Is Self-Consciously Rhetorical 118
The Rhetorical Density Explains Its Successes and Its
Failures 120

Fogel's Book Uses Intensively the Common Topics 126

The Book Also Uses the Special Topics of Economics

The Text Invented an Audience 133

8

THE UNEXAMINED RHETORIC OF ECONOMIC QUANTIFICATION 138

Rhetorical Standards Are Necessary to Measure the Integration of Markets 141

In Like Fashion, Rhetorical Standards Are Necessary in Linguistics to Measure the Similarity of Languages 147

That Is, the Speech Acts of Scholars Are Social Actions 150

9

THE RHETORIC OF SIGNIFICANCE TESTS 154

The Discussion of Purchasing Power Parity Is Rhetorically Muddled 154

The Test of Statistical Significance Is a Poor Rhetoric of Economic Argument 159

The Rhetorical History of Statistics Is the Source of the Difficulty 164

The Unexamined Rhetoric Damages the Practice of Economists 169

Contents

10

THE GOOD OF RHETORIC IN ECONOMICS 174

Rhetoric Can Improve Economic Prose 175 Rhetoric Can Improve Teaching 178 Rhetoric Can Improve Relations with Other Disciplines 179 Rhetoric Can Improve Economic Argument 181 And Improve the Temper of Economists 183

Works Cited 189 Index 203