

Reduction of Membrane Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors - Development of Innovative and Sustainable Techniques

**Dem Fachbereich Bauingenieurwesen und Geodäsie
der Technischen Universität Darmstadt
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines
Doktor-Ingenieurs (Dr.-Ing.) vorgelegte
Dissertation**

von

**M.Sc. Barbara Siembida-Lösch
aus
Oświęcim**

Darmstadt, im Januar 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Problem definition	1
1.2. Structure of the thesis.....	2
2. Fundamentals of membrane separation processes	4
2.1. Overview of membranes and membrane separation processes.....	4
2.1.1. Classification of membrane separation processes	4
2.1.2. Membranes – materials and morphology.....	6
2.1.2.1. Membrane materials.....	6
2.1.2.2. Membrane morphology	7
2.1.2.3. Membrane modules	7
2.2. Micro- and ultrafiltration process – basic principles and definitions.....	8
2.2.1. Mass transfer	8
2.2.2. Fundamental parameters: pressure, flux, permeability, and retention rate	9
2.3. Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) process.....	11
2.3.1. Functional principle and configuration.....	11
2.3.1.1. Functional principle.....	11
2.3.1.2. MBR configuration	12
2.3.2. Operating method – dead-end and crossflow filtration.....	13
2.3.3. MBR design and process efficiency.....	14
2.3.3.1. MBR design	14
2.3.3.2. Efficiency of the MBR process	16
2.4. Limitations of MBR applications.....	17
2.4.1. Process description	17
2.4.2. Fouling rate	18
2.4.3. Fouling mechanisms	19
2.4.4. Overview of factors influencing fouling in MBR	20
2.4.4.1. Membrane properties	20
2.4.4.2. Operating conditions	21
2.4.4.3. Biomass characteristics	22

2.5.	Modeling of membrane filtration processes	25
2.5.1.	Diffusive mass transfer - concentration boundary layer.....	25
2.5.2.	Hydrodynamic particle transport – cake buildup.....	28
2.5.3.	Membrane mass transfer resistance	29
2.6.	Strategies for fouling reduction and improved membrane performance	30
2.6.1.	Control of filter cake buildup – Crossflow aeration system.....	30
2.6.2.	Membrane cleaning.....	31
2.6.2.1.	Physical cleaning (backwash with permeate, relaxation)	31
2.6.2.2.	Chemical cleaning	32
2.6.2.3.	Physical-chemical processes	33
2.6.2.4.	Alternative cleaning methods – mechanical cleaning	33
3.	General objectives and approach.....	35
4.	Materials and methods	37
4.1.	Overview of experiments and plants.....	37
4.1.1.	MBR plant (A) in Darmstadt-Eberstadt	37
4.1.1.1.	Plant set-up	37
4.1.1.2.	Experimental schedule	39
4.1.1.3.	Measuring protocol	40
4.1.2.	MBR pilot plant (B) in Wiesbaden-Biebrich	41
4.1.2.1.	Plant set-up	41
4.1.2.2.	Experimental schedule	42
4.1.2.3.	Measuring protocol	46
4.1.3.	MBR pilot plant (C) in Wiesbaden-Biebrich	46
4.1.3.1.	Plant set-up	46
4.1.3.2.	Experimental schedule	47
4.1.3.3.	Measuring protocol	47
4.1.4.	Pilot plant (D) - glass basin (TU Darmstadt).....	48
4.1.4.1.	Plant set-up	48
4.1.4.2.	Experimental schedule	50
4.1.4.3.	Measuring protocol	50
5.	Analyses	51
5.1.	Sampling and conditioning.....	51
5.2.	Chemical and physical analyses	51
5.3.	Characteristics of activated sludge.....	51
5.3.1.	MLSS, MLVSS	51
5.3.2.	Viscosity and rheological behavior.....	52
5.3.3.	Microscopic images	52
5.3.4.	Filterability (CST)	52

5.3.5.	Coagulation/flocculation (jar test)	52
5.3.6.	Fractionation experiments	52
5.4.	Characteristics of activated sludge supernatant	53
5.4.1.	Soluble/colloidal matter	53
5.4.1.1.	Photometric analysis (proteins, polysaccharides)	53
5.4.1.2.	Chromatographic analysis (LC-OCD) - size exclusion chromatography.....	53
5.5.	Membrane material testing	54
5.5.1.	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).....	54
5.5.2.	Integrity tests.....	54
5.5.2.1.	Membrane MWCO determination – rejection measurements.....	54
5.5.2.2.	Water microbiology testing (total coliforms, E. coli)	55
5.6.	Turbidity.....	56
5.7.	Brine solution	56
5.8.	Oxygen transfer rate	56
6.	Results and discussions	59
6.1.	MBR plant (A) in Darmstadt-Eberstadt	59
6.1.1.	Efficiency of biological wastewater treatment of the MBR plant	59
6.1.1.1.	Composition of municipal wastewater	59
6.1.1.2.	MLSS and MLVSS	60
6.1.1.3.	Organic sludge loading.....	61
6.1.1.4.	Microscopic image of activated sludge	62
6.1.1.5.	Elimination of organic compounds	63
6.1.1.6.	Nitrogen removal.....	63
6.1.2.	Efficiency of the membrane filtration process.....	64
6.1.2.1.	Flux	64
6.1.2.2.	Permeability	65
6.2.	MBR pilot plant (B) in Wiesbaden-Biebrich.....	66
6.2.1.	Efficiency of biological wastewater treatment of the MBR plant	66
6.2.1.1.	Composition of synthetic wastewater	66
6.2.1.2.	MLSS and CST.....	67
6.2.1.3.	Sludge loading rate	67
6.2.1.4.	Elimination of organic compounds.....	68
6.3.	Research on permeability loss	69
6.3.1.	Influence of activated sludge characteristics on fouling	71
6.3.1.1.	Colloidal matter (proteins, polysaccharides)	71
6.3.1.2.	Truly soluble matter (< 0.04 µm)	79
6.3.1.3.	MLSS	83
6.4.	Research on fouling reduction	86

6.4.1.	Application of granulate material	86
6.4.1.1.	Experiments with selected granulate – test phase I	86
6.4.1.2.	Permanent use of granulate material during MBR operation with synthetic and real wastewater – test phase II	88
6.4.1.3.	Granulate material contra conventional MBR operation	90
6.4.1.4.	Permeability recovery of the conventionally operated membrane module.....	91
6.4.1.5.	Granulate as flux enhancer.....	92
6.4.2.	Investigation of membrane material after granulate application	92
6.4.2.1.	SEM images	92
6.4.2.2.	Integrity tests.....	94
6.4.2.3.	Effluent quality.....	96
6.4.3.	Change in ambient conditions (aerobic/anaerobic)	96
6.4.4.	Backwash with a salt brine solution.....	98
6.4.5.	Chemical cleaning	99
6.4.6.	Long-term relaxation.....	101
6.4.7.	Crossflow aeration system	102
6.4.7.1.	Efficiency	102
7.	Economic feasibility of mechanical cleaning.....	107
7.1.	Increase of membrane throughput/flux (without chemical cleaning)	107
7.2.	Cost reduction for membrane cleaning	108
8.	Final conclusions	110
9.	Further research work.....	117
10.	Summary.....	119
10.1.	Research on permeability loss	119
10.2.	Research on fouling reduction	120
REFERENCES	122	
APPENDIX	132	