

Contemporary Debates in Philosophy of Biology

Edited by

Francisco J. Ayala and Robert Arp

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

Contents

Notes on Contributors	ix
General Introduction	1
References and Further Reading	7
PART I IS IT POSSIBLE TO REDUCE BIOLOGICAL EXPLANATIONS TO EXPLANATIONS IN CHEMISTRY AND/OR PHYSICS?	13
Introduction	13
References and Further Reading	15
1 It Is Possible to Reduce Biological Explanations to Explanations in Chemistry and/or Physics <i>Evelyn Fox Keller</i>	19
2 It Is Not Possible to Reduce Biological Explanations to Explanations in Chemistry and/or Physics <i>John Dupré</i>	32
PART II HAVE TRAITS EVOLVED TO FUNCTION THE WAY THEY DO BECAUSE OF A PAST ADVANTAGE?	49
Introduction	49
References and Further Reading	51
3 Traits Have Evolved to Function the Way They Do Because of a Past Advantage <i>Mark Perlman</i>	53
4 Traits Have Not Evolved to Function the Way They Do Because of a Past Advantage <i>Robert Cummins and Martin Roth</i>	72

PART III ARE SPECIES REAL?	87
Introduction	87
References and Further Reading	88
5 Species Are Real Biological Entities <i>Michael F. Claridge</i>	91
6 Species Are Not Uniquely Real Biological Entities <i>Brent D. Mishler</i>	110
PART IV DOES SELECTION OPERATE PRIMARILY ON GENES?	123
Introduction	123
References and Further Reading	125
7 Selection Does Operate Primarily on Genes: In Defense of the Gene as the Unit of Selection <i>Carmen Sapienza</i>	127
8 Selection Does Not Operate Primarily on Genes <i>Richard M. Burian</i>	141
PART V ARE MICROEVOLUTION AND MACROEVOLUTION GOVERNED BY THE SAME PROCESSES?	165
Introduction	165
References and Further Reading	166
9 Microevolution and Macroevolution Are Governed by the Same Processes <i>Michael R. Dietrich</i>	169
10 Microevolution and Macroevolution Are Not Governed by the Same Processes <i>Douglas H. Erwin</i>	180
PART VI DOES EVOLUTIONARY DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY OFFER A SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGE TO THE NEO-DARWINIAN PARADIGM?	195
Introduction	195
References and Further Reading	197
11 Evolutionary Developmental Biology Offers a Significant Challenge to the Neo-Darwinian Paradigm <i>Manfred D. Laubichler</i>	199
12 Evolutionary Developmental Biology Does Not Offer a Significant Challenge to the Neo-Darwinian Paradigm <i>Alessandro Minelli</i>	213
PART VII WERE THE BASIC COMPONENTS OF THE HUMAN MIND SOLIDIFIED DURING THE PLEISTOCENE EPOCH?	227
Introduction	227
References and Further Reading	228
13 The Basic Components of the Human Mind Were Solidified During the Pleistocene Epoch <i>Valerie G. Starratt and Todd K. Shackelford</i>	231

14 The Basic Components of the Human Mind Were Not Solidified During the Pleistocene Epoch <i>Stephen M. Downes</i>	243
PART VIII DOES MEMETICS PROVIDE A USEFUL WAY OF UNDERSTANDING CULTURAL EVOLUTION?	253
Introduction	253
References and Further Reading	254
15 Memetics Does Provide a Useful Way of Understanding Cultural Evolution <i>Susan Blackmore</i>	255
16 Memetics Does Not Provide a Useful Way of Understanding Cultural Evolution: A Developmental Perspective <i>William C. Wimsatt</i>	273
PART IX CAN THE BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES ACT AS A GROUND FOR ETHICS?	293
Introduction	293
References and Further Reading	295
17 The Biological Sciences Can Act as a Ground for Ethics <i>Michael Ruse</i>	297
18 What the Biological Sciences Can and Cannot Contribute to Ethics <i>Francisco J. Ayala</i>	316
PART X IS THERE A PLACE FOR INTELLIGENT DESIGN IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF BIOLOGY?	337
Introduction	337
References and Further Reading	340
19 There Is a Place for Intelligent Design in the Philosophy of Biology: Intelligent Design in (Philosophy of) Biology: Some Legitimate Roles <i>Del Ratzsch</i>	343
20 There Is No Place for Intelligent Design in the Philosophy of Biology: Intelligent Design Is Not Science <i>Francisco J. Ayala</i>	364
Index	391