

David Roth-Isigkeit

# The Plurality Trilemma

A Geometry of Global Legal Thought

palgrave  
macmillan

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction: Theory, Practice, and Meta-Theory</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	<i>Theory and Practice</i>	2
1.1.1	<i>Performativity of Theory</i>	3
1.1.2	<i>Theory as Politics</i>	6
1.1.3	<i>Legal Thought</i>	9
1.2	<i>Making a Map: The Case for Meta-Theory</i>	12
1.2.1	<i>Structure of Theoretical Discourse</i>	12
1.2.2	<i>Conceptual Fragmentation</i>	16
1.2.3	<i>Unity of the Social</i>	18
1.3	<i>About This Book</i>	20
1.3.1	<i>Aim: Cutting Through the Complexity of Global Legal Thought</i>	20
1.3.2	<i>Method: Contextualizing Societal Epistemologies</i>	22
1.3.3	<i>Structure: Habermas—Luhmann—Dworkin</i>	24
	<i>Bibliography</i>	26
<b>2</b>	<b>Global Legal Thought and Plurality</b>	<b>31</b>
2.1	<i>Global Law and Plurality: Three Levels of Discourse</i>	31
2.1.1	<i>First-Order Plurality: Regime Conflict and Transnationalization of Law</i>	33
2.1.2	<i>Second-Order Plurality: Legitimacy and Global Order</i>	41
2.1.3	<i>Third-Order Plurality: Meta-Theory</i>	44

2.2	<i>Plurality as a Problem of Legal Theory</i>	47
2.2.1	<i>The Doctrine of Sources and Plurality</i>	48
2.2.2	<i>Contested Collision Rules</i>	52
2.2.3	<i>Losing Ground: The Erosion of the Traditional Framework</i>	55
2.3	<i>Coordination, Normativity, and the Theoretical Turn</i>	56
2.3.1	<i>Coordination</i>	57
2.3.2	<i>Normativity</i>	58
2.3.3	<i>Theory and Practice in the Formation of Global Law</i>	60
2.4	<i>Concepts, Labels, and the Need for Signposts</i>	61
2.4.1	<i>Spatial Differentiations: From National to Global</i>	62
2.4.2	<i>Conceptual Differentiations: Constitutionalism, Pluralism, Constitutional Pluralism</i>	66
2.4.3	<i>The Geometry of Global Legal Thought</i>	71
	<i>Bibliography</i>	72
<b>3</b>	<b>Taming Plurality Through Formal Legal Rationality: Habermasian Approaches to Global Law</b>	79
3.1	<i>Habermas and the Civilization of Legal Authority in the Global Realm</i>	80
3.1.1	<i>Democratic Legal Authority and International Relations</i>	80
3.1.2	<i>Institutional Reform as a Response to Plurality</i>	84
3.1.3	<i>Between Kant's Utopia and Normative Pragmatism</i>	87
3.2	<i>Normative and Systemic Unity Through Argumentative Rationality</i>	90
3.2.1	<i>Legal Communication Culture: A Universal Code of Legality?</i>	90
3.2.2	<i>Systemic Unity Through Language</i>	92
3.2.3	<i>Structural Coherence of Global Legal Rationality</i>	95
3.3	<i>Preserving the Publicness of Global Law</i>	96
3.3.1	<i>Global Governance as Administrative Action</i>	97
3.3.2	<i>Preserving the Publicness of International Public Authority</i>	99
3.3.3	<i>Public Sphere Without Democratic Input?</i>	101

3.4	<i>Conflicts-of-Law Approaches</i>	104
3.4.1	<i>Conflicts-Law (Constitutionalism) as Legitimacy Model</i>	105
3.4.2	<i>Spectrum of Balancing: Savigny, Currie, and Interest Analysis</i>	107
3.4.3	<i>Political and Apolitical Readings of Private International Law</i>	110
3.5	<i>Formalism Between Koskenniemi and Kelsen</i>	114
3.5.1	<i>Koskenniemi's Oscillation Hypothesis</i>	115
3.5.2	<i>Critical Formalism and Plurality</i>	118
3.5.3	<i>Two Dimensions of Validity</i>	121
	<i>Bibliography</i>	123
<b>4</b>	<b>Unleashing Conflict: Post-modern Luhmannian Approaches to Global Law</b>	129
4.1	<i>Societal Fragmentation: Teubner's Autopoietic Law Between Autonomy and Self-Restraint</i>	131
4.1.1	<i>Global Bukovina</i>	132
4.1.2	<i>Ecological Jurisprudence</i>	134
4.1.3	<i>Societal Constitutionalism Beyond the State</i>	136
4.2	<i>Compatibility of Fluctuating Knowledge: Ladeur's Networks of Global Law</i>	139
4.2.1	<i>Radical Fragmentation of the Knowledge Society</i>	139
4.2.2	<i>Weak Compatibility Through Meta-Rules</i>	141
4.2.3	<i>Networks of Global Law</i>	142
4.3	<i>Horizontal Effect of Constitutional Rights as Collision Rule</i>	144
4.3.1	<i>Responsivity and the Active Status</i>	144
4.3.2	<i>Reflexive Decentral Conflict Resolution in Theory and Practice</i>	146
4.3.3	<i>Post-modern Epistemology or Normative Closure?</i>	149
4.4	<i>Radicalizing the Active Dimension of the Horizontal Effects Doctrine</i>	151
4.4.1	<i>Social Movements as Constitutional Subjects</i>	151
4.4.2	<i>Ideological Deep Structure of International Law</i>	154
4.4.3	<i>Ontology of Conflict: Radical Democracy as Political and Legal Theory</i>	156

4.5	<i>Beyond Constitutionalism a New World Order Is Waiting</i>	158
4.5.1	<i>Translating Radicalism to Global Law Discourse</i>	159
4.5.2	<i>Productive Conflict Without Procedures?</i>	160
4.5.3	<i>Toward a New World Order</i>	163
	<i>Bibliography</i>	166
<b>5</b>	<b>Process and Harmonizing Principles: Dworkinian Approaches to Global Law</b>	171
5.1	<i>Dworkinian Method and International Law</i>	172
5.1.1	<i>Interpretivism</i>	173
5.1.2	<i>Unity of Value</i>	175
5.1.3	<i>Dworkin's New Philosophy for International Law</i>	177
5.2	<i>The Turn to Process</i>	179
5.2.1	<i>Transnational Legal Process and the Policy-Oriented Jurisprudence of the New Haven School</i>	180
5.2.2	<i>Global Law as Government Networks</i>	184
5.2.3	<i>Pluralist Jurisprudence: Reformulating Process as Relative Authority</i>	187
5.3	<i>Interdisciplinarity</i>	189
5.3.1	<i>Rationalist Interdisciplinary Mainstream</i>	190
5.3.2	<i>Dworkinian Turn in Constructivism</i>	192
5.3.3	<i>Process, Interdisciplinarity, and Decisionism</i>	195
5.4	<i>Global Legal Principles Between Governmental Interest and Humanity</i>	197
5.4.1	<i>Rights as Constitutional Principles</i>	197
5.4.2	<i>External Evaluation of Plural Regimes</i>	202
5.4.3	<i>Regime Obligations Toward the International Community</i>	204
5.5	<i>The Dworkinian Approach to Plurality</i>	206
5.5.1	<i>Habermasian Hoax: The Threshold of the Identity Principle</i>	206
5.5.2	<i>Principles for Whom? Basic Units of Political Morality</i>	210
5.5.3	<i>Dworkinian Approaches Between Governmental Interest and Humanity</i>	213
	<i>Bibliography</i>	214

<b>6</b>	<b>The Plurality Trilemma: The Contingent Geometry of Global Legal Thought</b>	219
6.1	<i>The Geometrical Method</i>	221
6.1.1	<i>A Systematic Inquiry</i>	221
6.1.2	<i>Elements of Geometry</i>	223
6.1.3	<i>Three Conceptual Triangles: Legitimacy, Values, Dynamism</i>	224
6.2	<i>Democracy Axis</i>	228
6.2.1	<i>Convergence: Input Legitimacy</i>	228
6.2.2	<i>Opposition: Output Legitimacy</i>	232
6.2.3	<i>Divergence: Aggregative and Radical Models of Democracy</i>	235
6.3	<i>Value Axis</i>	237
6.3.1	<i>Convergence: Value-Based Normativity</i>	238
6.3.2	<i>Opposition: Action-Based Normativity</i>	240
6.3.3	<i>Divergence: Monological and Dialogical Method</i>	242
6.4	<i>Dynamism Axis</i>	243
6.4.1	<i>Convergence: Dynamic Methodology</i>	244
6.4.2	<i>Opposition: Legitimacy Through Legality</i>	246
6.4.3	<i>Divergence: The Understanding of the Political</i>	248
6.5	<i>The Geometry of Global Legal Thought</i>	250
6.5.1	<i>A Common Coordinate System of Global Legal Thought</i>	250
6.5.2	<i>The Plurality Trilemma</i>	251
6.5.3	<i>Weak Contingency: The Global Law of Choice</i>	252
	<i>Bibliography</i>	253
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion: Icarus</b>	257
7.1	<i>Wrap-Up of the Argument</i>	258
7.1.1	<i>Pluralization of the Law and the Impact of Legal Thought</i>	258
7.1.2	<i>The Geometry of Global Legal Thought: Three Patterns</i>	259
7.1.3	<i>Plurality Trilemma and Weak Contingency</i>	260
7.2	<i>Risks and Concerns</i>	260
7.2.1	<i>Activists and Their Projects</i>	261
7.2.2	<i>Legal Thought as a Profession</i>	263
7.2.3	<i>Replacement of Legal Language</i>	266

7.3	<i>Perspectives</i>	268
7.3.1	<i>Toward a Balance of Academic Activism and Professional Self-Restraint</i>	268
7.3.2	<i>A Practical Turn...</i>	272
7.3.3	<i>... And a Critical Project</i>	275
	<i>Bibliography</i>	276
	<b>Index</b>	281