


Coping with city growth during the British industrial revolution

JEFFREY G. WILLIAMSON
Department of Economics, Harvard University



Cambridge University Press

Cambridge

New York Port Chester Melbourne Sydney

Contents

List of tables	<i>page</i> xii
List of figures	xvi
Acknowledgments	xix
1 Coping with city growth, past and present	1
1.1 Looking backward from the present	1
1.2 Placing Britain's city growth in perspective	2
1.3 The issues	5
2 The urban demographic transition: Births, deaths, and immigration	8
2.1 Why do we care about the urban demographic transition?	8
2.2 Reconstructing rural emigration, city immigration, and the urban transition	9
2.3 Fertility and mortality in city and countryside	11
2.4 Rural emigration and city immigration, 1776–1871	16
1841–71: A reconstruction based on the Registrar General	
1776–1871: A long-run reconstruction based on Wrigley, Schofield, and Law	
2.5 Were Britain's cities full of young adults?	30
2.6 The importance of being a young adult	34
2.7 How the cities got to be young: The migrant-selectivity bias	39
2.8 Counterfactual analysis of the migrant-selectivity bias	47
2.9 What have we learned?	51
Appendix 2.1 Words of caution about the data	54
Appendix 2.2 The Cairncross and Williamson urban registration districts compared	57

3	Migrant selectivity, brain drain, and human capital transfers	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	The resource content of the migrants: Setting the stage	60
	Making a hard problem simpler	
	The commodity costs of children	
	Child earnings and net rearing costs	
	What do we know about remittances?	
3.3	The human capital transfer embodied in the migrants	75
	How to estimate the transfer	
	The size of the transfer	
3.4	Tying some demo-economic knots	80
4	The demand for labor and immigrant absorption off the farm	82
4.1	Internal migration between farm and nonfarm employment	82
4.2	Where were the nonfarm jobs? Sources of employment growth, 1755–1861	84
	Did urbanization outrun industrialization?	
4.3	The sources of nonfarm labor demand	90
	A simple model for the epoch 1821–61	
	Immigrant labor-absorption problems: The elasticity of labor demand	
	Immigrant labor-absorption problems: Measuring shifts in labor demand	
	Immigrant labor-absorption problems: Sources of shifts in labor demand	
4.4	The bottom line	100
	Appendix 4.1 Estimating emigration from agriculture and immigration into nonagriculture, 1781–1861	101
5	Absorbing the city immigrants	102
5.1	How did city labor markets work? The Todaro model	102

5.2	Absorbing city immigrants in nineteenth-century Britain	105
5.3	Urban workers in 1851: Earnings and unemployment	109
5.4	Testing competing views of urban labor-market absorption	113
5.5	Implications and qualifications	122
	Appendix 5.1 Hypothesis testing: City adult males in Britain, 1851	125
6	The impact of the Irish on British labor markets	129
6.1	Irish immigration and the labor-surplus model: Three questions	129
	Did the Irish depress the standard of living of British labor?	
	Did the Irish crowd out potential emigrants from Britain's countryside?	
	Did Irish labor supplies foster industrialization?	
6.2	Who were the Irish and where did they go in Britain?	132
	The Irish were unskilled	
	The Irish were urban	
	The Irish were adults	
6.3	How big was the Irish inflow?	139
	The debate over magnitudes	
	Estimating the Irish in Britain, 1787-1871	
	The impact of the Irish on the British labor force	
6.4	How the British labor market absorbed the Irish	142
	Immigrant absorption in partial equilibrium	
	Immigrant absorption in general equilibrium	
6.5	Britain's immigrant absorptive capacity	150
6.6	Counterfactual: A British industrial revolution without the Irish?	151

6.7	Assessing the elastic labor-supply model	156
	The classical labor-surplus model	
	The role of the Irish	
Appendix 6.1	Estimating the Irish in Britain, 1787–1871	161
Appendix 6.2	British and Irish-born labor-participation rates, 1821–61	166
Appendix 6.3	A formal statement of the long-run model	170
Appendix 6.4	Applying the long-run model to the period 1821–61: Actuals, counterfactuals, and history	177
7	Did British labor markets fail during the industrial revolution?	178
7.1	Two competing views	178
	Labor markets did fail	
	Labor markets did not fail	
	Making an assessment	
7.2	Labor market disequilibrium and migration response: The evidence for failure	181
	Rising nominal wage gaps	
	Migration response	
7.3	Nominal-wage gaps: A comparative assessment	185
7.4	Were wage gaps illusory?	187
	Cost-of-living differentials	
	Urban disamenity premia	
	Poor-relief adjustments	
	Addendum: What about in-kind payments?	
	Bottom line: Were wage gaps illusory?	
7.5	The quantitative importance of labor-market failure: Deadweight losses	194
	The issues	
	“Partial” general equilibrium analysis	
7.6	The quantitative importance of labor-market failure: Distribution and accumulation effects	200
	Who lost and who gained?	

	Industrialization and accumulation responses	
7.7	Factor-market failure in general equilibrium Other forces making for too many farmers A general equilibrium assessment	203
7.8	Why didn't manufacturing capital migrate to the countryside to exploit the rural labor surplus in the South?	210
7.9	Implications	211
	Appendix 7.1 Poor-relief payments	214
	Appendix 7.2 Modeling the general equilibrium effects of factor-market failure	215
8	Did Britain's cities grow too fast?	219
8.1	Setting the stage	219
8.2	Dealing with some important irrelevancies Was city growth fast? Were the cities faced with demographic surprises? Did the cities suffer dependency burdens? Did Britain overurbanize in the Hoselitz sense? Was private migration behavior inconsistent with socially optimal migration?	221
8.3	Were there too many migrants in Britain's cities? The relevant evidence Wage gaps and private factor-market failure First, was there an urban bias? Second, do wage gaps grossly overstate the benefits to additional migration?	226
8.4	The planners' dilemma: Who gains and who loses from migration?	229
8.5	Death and the marginal social costs of city growth	230
9	City housing, density, disamenities, and death	231
9.1	The perils of nineteenth-century city life	231

9.2	The high cost of urban housing The issues: Early nineteenth-century Britain and the Third World The rising cost of housing Inelastic city labor supplies and limits to city growth? By how much did urban rents exceed rural rents?	233
9.3	Crowding, disamenities, and mortality in the cities	243
9.4	Urban disamenities and the wage bribe British towns in 1905 Urban Poor Law parishes in the 1830s	246
9.5	Was the industrial revolution worth it? The workers' view	257
9.6	Trading off environment for commodities	260
Appendix 9.1	Data for the 1905 hedonic regressions	262
Appendix 9.2	Data for the 1834 hedonic regressions	264
Appendix 9.3	"Sweet Auburn" and "Sheffield" for the migration experiment	265
Appendix 9.4	"Sweet Auburn" and "Sheffield" for infant-mortality rates	266
10	Did Britain underinvest in its cities?	267
10.1	Optimal versus actual investment behavior Were investment requirements really "modest" during the industrial revolution? Scarce investment in city social overhead: A smoking gun? Circumstantial evidence: The problem of proof	267
10.2	Should Britain have introduced sanitary reform faster and sooner? The Great Sanitation Debate The impact of public-health investment on mortality	281

The social rate of return to investment in public health	
The social reformers' benefit/cost analysis	
The critical issue: Who paid and what failed?	
10.3 Decrowding city housing: What would it have cost?	298
The social reformers' views on crowding	
Measuring overcrowding	
The costs of decrowding	
10.4 The agenda	304
Appendix 10.1 Estimating urban crowding in the nineteenth century	306
References	310
Index	330