Concealed Questions

ILARIA FRANA



. تېز

Contents

| General preface | | xi |
|---|---|------|
| Acknowledgements | | xiii |
| List of symbols, conventions, and abbreviations | | xv |
| 1 Introduction | | 1 |
| 1.1 Concealed questions: what are they? | | 1. |
| 1.2 Concealed questions: why are they interesting? | | 3 |
| 1.3 Beyond definite concealed questions | | 5 |
| 1.4 (Concealed) questions and meta-questions | | 9 |
| 1.5 Outline of the book | | 10 |
| 2 Concealed questions and questions | | 13 |
| 2.1 Introduction | | 13 |
| 2.2 The origin of the question approach | | 13 |
| 2.3 CQs are only semantically questions | | 17 |
| 2.4 A challenge and a refinement | | 20 |
| 2.4.1 Copular questions are ambiguousCQs are not | | 20 |
| 2.4.2 CQs denote specificational questions | | 21 |
| 2.5 A semantic implementation | | 26 |
| 2.6 More on selection | | 28 |
| 2.7 Summary | | 32 |
| 3 Concealed questions and individual concepts | | 35 |
| 3.1 Introduction | | 35 |
| 3.2 Concealed questions and individual concepts | | 36 |
| 3.2.1 Montague and the temperature paradox | * | 36 |
| 3.2.2 Heim's (1979) analogy | ١ | 39 |
| 3.2.3 Romero (2005) on <i>know</i> _{CQ} | | 40 |
| 3.2.4 Abstraction and quantification over concepts | | 42 |
| 3.3 NPs as predicates of individual concepts | | 44 |
| 3.3.1 Montague and the temperature paradox, take #2 | | 44 |
| 3.3.2 Reactions to Montague's proposal | | 46 |
| 3.3.3 Gupta's syllogism | | 50 |
| 3.3.4 Lasersohn (2005) | | 52 |
| 3.3.5 Romero (2006, 2007) | | 54 |
| 3.3.6 Schwager (2007) | | 57 |
| 3.3.7 The modification argument | | 58 |

.

¢

: |] -

| | 3.4 | On the proper treatment of quantification over concepts | 59 |
|---|------|--|------|
| | | 3.4.1 The type-shifter approach: first attempt | 59 |
| | | 3.4.2 Identifying the domain of quantification | 60 |
| | | 3.4.3 Meaningfully sorted concepts | 63 |
| | 3.5 | Interim conclusions | 65 |
| | 3.6 | An account of quantified CQs and simple abstraction | 66 |
| | | 3.6.1 Defining the domain of quantification | 66 |
| | | 3.6.2 Quantification: Clara knows every price | 70 |
| | | 3.6.3 Abstraction: The price that Miles knows is rising | 73 |
| | | 3.6.4 Side note: the Q-shifter and traces of type <s,e></s,e> | 74 |
| | 3.7 | Challenges | 75 |
| | | 3.7.1 Set readings with quantified CQs | 75 |
| | | 3.7.2 Set readings with indefinite CQs | 77 |
| | | 3.7.3 Meta-question readings | 80 |
| | | 3.7.4 Puzzling counterparts | 81 |
| | | 3.7.5 Pair-list readings with one-to-many relational nouns | 82 |
| | 3.8 | Summary | 85 |
| 4 | Pair | r-list and set readings | 87 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 87 |
| | 4.2 | On the distribution of pair-list and set readings | · 87 |
| | 4.3 | Set readings and the IOD shifter | 89 |
| | 4.4 | Toward an account of set readings | 91 |
| | | 4.4.1 The problem of semantically impoverished traces | 91 |
| | | 4.4.2 The copy theory of movement | 92 |
| | | 4.4.3 The interpretation of copy-traces | 93 |
| | | 4.4.4 Tackling set readings (first pass) | 95 |
| | | 4.4.5 Second pass: copy-traces in intensional contexts | 97 |
| | | 4.4.6 Third (and final) pass: exhaustivity and non-factive verbs | 99 |
| | 4.5 | Pair-list readings (round 2) | 105 |
| | | 4.5.1 Quantification over concepts with copy-traces | 105 |
| | | 4.5.2 One-to-many relational nouns and the PAIR-shifter | 107 |
| | | 4.5.3 A note on restrictive modification | 108 |
| | 4.6 | Pair-list and set readings in the temporal domain | 109 |
| | 4.7 | Comparison with Romero (2010) | 111 |
| | | 4.7.1 Romero (2010) on set readings | 111 |
| | | 4.7.2 Open issues for Romero (2010) | 113 |
| | 4.8 | Summary | 118 |
| | 4.9 | Appendix: equivalence with the Q-based analysis | 120 |
| | | | |

۶ ۱

| 5 Concealed questions and meta-questions | 123 |
|---|-----------|
| 5.1 Introduction | 123 |
| 5.2 Hyper-intensional CQ-readings | 123 |
| 5.3 Heim's ambiguity | 125 |
| 5.3.1 The challenge of meta-question readings | 125 |
| 5.3.2 Romero's (2005) analysis | 127 |
| 5.4 Two additional readings | 130 |
| 5.5 The matching analysis of relative clauses | 131 |
| 5.6 Set Q/MQ-readings | 132 |
| 5.6.1 Deriving set/MQ-readings | 133 |
| 5.6.2 Relative clauses can be extraposed | 135 |
| 5.6.3 Deriving set/Q readings | 138 |
| 5.7 A second look at Heim and Romero's Q/MQ-rea | dings 140 |
| 5.7.1 Romero (2005) with copy-traces | 140 |
| 5.7.2 The PAIR-shifter and Heim's ambiguity | 141 |
| 5.8 Summary | 145 |
| 5.9 Appendix: other possible LFs | 146 |
| Conclusion | 149 |
| References | 151 |
| Index | 157 |
| | |

.