

Critical economic methodology

A personal odyssey

Lawrence A. Boland



London and New York

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	ix
Prologue: Criticism vs titillating methodology	1
<i>Methodology's demand and supply</i>	3
<i>Outline of the book</i>	5

Part I Friedman's methodology essay

1 Economic methodology prior to 1979	9
<i>The saga of my 1979 JEL paper</i>	11
2 Criticizing the critiques of Friedman's 1953 essay	14
<i>The usefulness of logic</i>	15
<i>'Instrumentalism' and the relationship between logic, truth and theories</i>	18
<i>A reader's guide to Friedman's essay</i>	22
<i>The critics</i>	29
<i>On criticizing instrumentalism</i>	36
3 Criticizing satisficing, empiricism and formalism in methodology	41
<i>The sociology of economics vs defeatist conventionalism</i>	43
<i>Evidence of the hostile atmosphere</i>	44
<i>Satisficing in methodology</i>	45
<i>Friedman's methodology vs conventional empiricism</i>	48
<i>'Sound methodology' vs 'logically sound' argument</i>	51
<i>Popper vs conventionalist-Popper</i>	52
<i>Do institutionalist economists really believe in formalism?</i>	53
4 On the methodology of the history of contemporary economic thought	63
<i>Friedman's alleged inconsistencies in correspondence</i>	65
<i>Limits to the history of contemporary thought</i>	66

Part II Methodological criticism and neoclassical economics

5	Tautology vs testability in economic methodology	71
6	Criticizing the neoclassical maximization hypothesis is futile	74
	<i>Types of criticism and the maximization hypothesis</i>	76
	<i>The logical basis for criticism</i>	76
	<i>The importance of distinguishing between tautologies and metaphysics</i>	80
7	Appraisal vs criticism in economics	84
	<i>Appraisal as criticism</i>	85
	<i>The poverty of conventionalist methodology in economics</i>	86

Part III Criticizing the methods of economic methodology

8	The theory and practice of economic methodology	91
	<i>Knowledge and truth status: historically speaking</i>	92
	<i>Epistemology vs methodology: the theoretical perspective</i>	100
	<i>The practice of economic methodology</i>	106
9	Criticizing economic positivism	114
	<i>Positive economics vs what?</i>	115
	<i>Positivism as rhetoric</i>	116
	<i>What everyone seems to think 'positive' is</i>	118
	<i>Modern economic positivism is profoundly confused</i>	121
	<i>Positive science or positive engineering?</i>	123
	<i>Positive evidence about positive economics</i>	125
	<i>Explaining the use of the standard article format</i>	127
	<i>Positive success or positive failure?</i>	128
10	Criticizing philosophy of economics	131
11	Reflections on Blaug's <i>Methodology of Economics</i>	139
	<i>Suggestions for another revised edition</i>	140
	<i>Will the real Popper stand up, please!</i>	140
	<i>On the utility of 'methodological appraisal'</i>	143
	<i>Some suggestions</i>	144
12	Criticizing 'pluralism' and other conventionalist ploys	145
	<i>Methodological pluralism vs problem-dependent methodology</i>	148
	<i>Diversity as non-comprehension</i>	153
	<i>From idiosyncratic to mainstream</i>	158

Part IV Criticizing the methods of economic analysis

13	Individualism vs rationality in economics	167
	<i>Explanation as applied 'rationality'</i>	168
	<i>Individualism as a research program</i>	169
	<i>Individualism and eighteenth-century mechanical rationalism</i>	170
	<i>Unity through mechanics and universality through uniqueness</i>	171
	<i>Methodological individualism and unity-vs-diversity</i>	172
14	Criticizing neoclassical equilibrium explanations	176
	<i>The analytical problem of price adjustment</i>	177
	<i>Ad hoc closure of the analytical equilibrium model</i>	179
	<i>Toward closure through ad hoc ignorance</i>	181
	<i>Exogenous convergence with forced learning</i>	184
	<i>Endogenous convergence with autonomous learning</i>	186
	<i>Are the foundations complete?</i>	188
15	On criticizing neoclassical dynamics	190
	<i>The problem with traditional explanations of dynamic processes</i>	191
	<i>Time, logic and true statements</i>	197
	<i>Time and knowledge: the Problem of Rational Dynamics</i>	199
	<i>A possible solution to the Problem of Rational Dynamics</i>	200
	<i>Alternative solutions to the Problem of Rational Dynamics</i>	207
	<i>Concluding lessons</i>	210
16	Criticizing the value-freeness of neoclassical economics	214
	<i>Psychologism in economics: Pareto revisited</i>	216
	<i>Psychologism and values</i>	216
	<i>Explanation and psychologism</i>	218
	<i>Psychologism and general equilibrium</i>	219
	<i>Psychologism and values again</i>	220
	<i>Values as social conventions</i>	221
17	Criticizing the mathematics of neoclassical economics	224
	<i>Integrating the infinitesimal</i>	225
	<i>Proofs vs infinity-based assumptions</i>	227
	<i>The axiom of choice</i>	229
	<i>False hopes of set theory</i>	229
	<i>Unrealistic discontinuities</i>	232
	<i>Integers vs the explanation of prices</i>	236
	<i>Infinite sets vs complete explanation</i>	236

viii	<i>Critical economic methodology</i>	
	<i>Inductive knowledge and infinity-based assumptions</i>	239
	<i>Lessons unlearned</i>	240
18	<i>Criticizing stylized facts and stylized methodology</i>	242
	<i>Stylized facts in use today</i>	243
	<i>Criticizing stylized methodology</i>	246
Part V Popper and economic methodology		
19	<i>Understanding the Popperian legacy in economics</i>	249
	<i>Is there a Popperian legacy in economics?</i>	250
	<i>Criticism of Popper's view of science</i>	251
	<i>Understanding Popper's view of science</i>	252
	<i>Falsifiability in economics</i>	253
	<i>Attempts to create a Popperian legacy</i>	255
	<i>The rhetoric of Popper's view of science</i>	257
20	<i>Scientific thinking without scientific method: two views of Popper</i>	260
	<i>The popular Popper</i>	261
	<i>The Socratic Popper</i>	263
	<i>Popper's seminar and the hijacker</i>	268
	<i>Popper's disciples vs Popper and the hijacker</i>	269
	<i>The popular Popper vs the important Popper</i>	270
	<i>The future of Popperian economic methodology</i>	275
	<i>Epilogue: Critical comments on the sociology of economic methodology</i>	279
	<i>The sociology of journal referees</i>	280
	<i>The intolerance of liberal-minded pluralism</i>	281
	<i>The hypocrisy of specialized journals</i>	282
	<i>The hypocrisy in matters deemed to be ideological</i>	283
	<i>The future of substantive methodology</i>	284
	<i>The imperviousness of neoclassical economics</i>	285
	<i>Bibliography</i>	287
	<i>Name index</i>	297
	<i>Subject index</i>	300