JOHN S. DUFFIELD

Power Rules

THE EVOLUTION OF
NATO'S CONVENTIONAL
FORCE POSTURE

STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

Contents

	Introduction	I
I.	Conventional Force Posture and Alliance Behavior	9
2.	Constructing NATO's Conventional Force Posture, 1949–1952	28
3.	The New Look and NATO's Conventional Force Posture, 1953–1955	75
4.	NATO Retreats from Massive Retaliation, 1956–1960	112
5.	To the Adoption of Flexible Response, 1961–1968	151
6.	Implementing Flexible Response, 1969–1989	194
7.	Explaining the Evolution of NATO's Conventional Force Posture, 1949–1989	233
	Epilogue: The Transformation of NATO's Conventional Force Posture	259
	ABBREVIATIONS	279

NOTES	281
BIBLIOGRAPHY	355
INDEX	377

, - ·

Tables

2.I.	NATO Central Region Ground Porces, August	
	1950	31
2.2.	Medium Term Defense Plan Requirements for	
	1954	48
2.3.	Revised MTDP Requirements: SHAPE and MC	
	26/1	52
2.4.	TCC Force Goal Recommendations	60
2.5.	Lisbon Force Goals	61
3.1.	NATO Force Goals, April 1953	80
3.2.	Comparison Between Lisbon and April 1953	
	Force Goals	81
3.3.	NATO Force Goals, December 1953	85
3.4.	Actual Forces Available to NATO, 1952–1954	91
5.1.	Central Region Ground Strength, 1961–1962	161
7.1.	NATO Force Levels in the Central Region, 1955-	
	1990	234
7.2.		
	Product, Military Personnel, and Major Combat	
	Units, 1965–1990	250
7 2	NATO Force Coals and Requirements	252