Vito Breda

The Objectivity of Judicial Decisions

A Comparative Analysis of Nine Jurisdictions



Contents

A	cknowledgments	9
Įn	troduction and methodology	11
1.	Modest Objectivity in Judicial Reasoning	11
2.	Objectivity: a second order of justification	18
3.	Research methods and assumptions	22
Bi	bliography	27
Tl	hapter 1 he Grammar of Bias: Judicial Impartiality in uropean Legal Systems	31
1.	Introduction	31
2.	Judicial Impartiality	32
3.	Judicial bias: A theoretical overview	39
4.	Allegations of objective judicial bias: A common legal language across Europe	44
5.	Subjective judicial bias: The national grammar of judicial bias	47
6.	Conclusion: The grammar of subjective and objective bias	52
Bi	bliography	53
Tı	hapter 2 ruth and justice as qualifiers of the concept of dicial objectivity	59
	Introduction	
	The judicial objective truth, and nothing but the procedural truth	
	Procedural and substantial truths	

4.	Interpreting the data: truth as a qualifier of judicial objectivity and verophobia	67
5.	Judicial objectivity as a qualifier of fairness and justice	71
6.	Interpreting the data: justice, fairness and judicial objectivity	74
7.	Conclusion: truth, objectivity and justice	77
Bil	bliography	78
	hapter 3 bjective judicial discretion cases	81
1.	Introduction	81
2.	A theoretical overview	83
3.	The analysis of the results	89
	a) Pre-judices: explicit segmented epistemology	
	b) External segmented epistemology	
	c) Internal segmented epistemology	9 3
4.	Conclusion	96
Bi	bliography	97
	hapter 4	404
	qualitative reflection: objectivity in judicial narratives	
1.	Introduction	101
2.	Preliminary notes	102
3.	Reading the results of Table 1	105
4.	Uniqueness and odd communalities: commentary on the results	109
	a) Objective textual interpretation (Group A)	110
	b) Objectified judicial discretion (Group B)	
	c) Objective impartiality (Group C)	
	d) Objectivity as truth (Group D)	118
	e) Objectivity as fairness (Group F)	120

5. Some Final Comments	121
Table 1	126
Bibliography	127
Index	131

: