

Hub Zwart

# Tales of Research Misconduct

A Lacanian Diagnostics of Integrity  
Challenges in Science Novels



Springer Open

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction: An Oblique Perspective on Research Misconduct . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Research Misconduct Novels and Integrity Challenges in Science . . . . .	1
1.2	Between Two Worlds: From Plato's Cave to Emile Zola's Experimental Novel . . . . .	4
1.3	Hegelian Dialectics and the Hwang Case . . . . .	7
1.4	A Second Dialectical Exercise: The <i>Limitless</i> Case . . . . .	11
1.5	Freud and Fraud . . . . .	16
1.6	Scientific Research as an Impossible Profession . . . . .	20
<b>2</b>	<b>Conceptual and Methodological Framework: Lacanian Psychoanalysis . . . . .</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1	Lacan on Science, University Discourse and Research Misconduct . . . . .	25
2.2	Genealogy of the Scientific Subject: From the Platonic κόσμος to the Moebius Ring . . . . .	32
2.3	The Oblique Perspective . . . . .	38
2.4	The Four Discourses: Introduction . . . . .	39
2.5	The Four Discourses: Elaboration . . . . .	45
2.6	The Discourse of the Analyst . . . . .	52
<b>3</b>	<b>Knowledge, Power and the Self: Preliminary Explorations . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	57
3.2	Rereading <i>Hamlet</i> . . . . .	59
3.3	<i>Carmen</i> as a Research Novel . . . . .	62
3.4	Qualified Experts: Benefactors or Enemies of the People? . . . . .	71
3.5	Dialectics of Enlightenment: The Two Experiments of Dr. Ox . . . . .	78
<b>4</b>	<b>Into the Twentieth Century: The Case of Robert Oppenheimer . . . .</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1	Introduction: Daybreak and Crisis . . . . .	85
4.2	American Prometheus: A Science Biography Classic . . . . .	86
4.3	Oppenheimer in Fiction: <i>The Man Who Would Be God</i> . . . . .	95

4.4	University Discourse: Vicissitudes and Discontents . . . . .	99
4.5	The Case of Communism. . . . .	102
4.6	S <sub>2</sub> as Secret Agent . . . . .	105
4.7	The Discourse of the Hysteric . . . . .	110
4.8	The Discourse of the Analyst. . . . .	113
4.9	Aftermath: Science and Art . . . . .	117
<b>5</b>	<b>Phage–Ethics: A Lacanian Reading of Sinclair Lewis’s <i>Arrowsmith</i></b> . . . . .	<b>119</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	119
5.2	Plot Outline . . . . .	121
5.3	Medical Practice and Its Discontents. . . . .	123
5.4	<i>Cupido sciendi</i> : Pure Science as a Divine, Infectious Madness . . . . .	125
5.5	The Bacteriophage as the Intrusion of the Real . . . . .	128
5.6	The Medical–Ethical Dilemma (The Bacteriologist as a Researcher and as a Physician). . . . .	132
5.7	A Scientific Walden: The Pastoral of Cabin Science. . . . .	136
5.8	Conclusion: Conflicting Deontologies. . . . .	138
<b>6</b>	<b>A Compartmentalised Culture: Snow’s <i>The Affaire</i></b> . . . . .	<b>141</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	141
6.2	First Comments . . . . .	144
6.3	The Discourse of the Master . . . . .	145
6.4	University Discourse . . . . .	147
6.5	Hysteric’s Discourse . . . . .	148
6.6	The Discourse of the Analyst: <i>The Affaire</i> and <i>The Purloined Letter</i> . . . . .	149
<b>7</b>	<b>What Do Scientists Want? Perverse Incentives and Replication Traumas in <i>Cantor’s Dilemma</i></b> . . . . .	<b>151</b>
7.1	Summary . . . . .	151
7.2	Knowledge Production: The Epistemological Dimension. . . . .	153
7.3	The Power Dimension: Cantor’s Sherpa . . . . .	156
7.4	Experimenting and Publishing as Practices of the Self . . . . .	160
<b>8</b>	<b>Tainted Texts: Plagiarism and Self-Exploitation in <i>Perlmann’s Silence</i></b> . . . . .	<b>165</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	165
8.2	The Narrative: A Resume. . . . .	166
8.3	University Discourse: The Knowledge Dimension . . . . .	167
8.4	Master’s Discourse: The Power Dimension. . . . .	167
8.5	The Discourse of the Analyst: The Ethical Dimension . . . . .	169
8.6	What Is Plagiarism? . . . . .	171
8.7	Writing as Self-Constitution and as Self-Exploitation (Between <i>Splitting</i> and <i>Conflation</i> ) . . . . .	174
8.8	The Four Discourses . . . . .	178
8.9	Conclusion: What Is Plagiarism? . . . . .	181

<b>9</b>	<b>Suspicious Minds: Allegra Goodman's <i>Intuition</i></b> . . . . .	183
9.1	Knowledge and Power . . . . .	184
9.2	A Knowledge Production Crisis . . . . .	186
9.3	Intrusion of the Real or Fabrication? . . . . .	187
9.4	Suspicious Minds . . . . .	190
9.5	Power Intervenes . . . . .	193
9.6	Working Through and Reparation? The Level of the Self . . . . .	195
<b>10</b>	<b>Splitting and Conflation: Plagiarism in Ian McEwan's <i>Solar</i></b> . . . . .	197
10.1	The Knowledge Dimension . . . . .	198
10.2	The Power Dimension: The Metaphysical Niceties of Plagiarism . . . . .	202
10.3	Intellectual Property Rights as Fetishism . . . . .	206
10.4	Splitting and Conflation: The Dimension of the Self . . . . .	208
<b>11</b>	<b>The Catwalk and the Mousetrap: Reading Diederik Stapel's <i>Derailment</i> as a Misconduct Novel</b> . . . . .	211
11.1	Introduction: Stapelgate . . . . .	211
11.2	<i>Derailment</i> : Summary and First Analysis . . . . .	213
11.3	Epistemological Setting: Social Psychology as the Science of Everyday Life . . . . .	219
11.4	University Discourse and the Experimental Mousetrap . . . . .	224
11.5	The Collapse of Truth: Three Responses to the Crisis . . . . .	229
11.6	The Normative Trinity: The Power Dimension and the Master's Discourse . . . . .	230
11.7	Cynicism and Absurdism: The Hysteric's Discourse . . . . .	235
11.8	Writing as a Practice of the Self: The Discourse of the Analyst . . . . .	239
<b>12</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b> . . . . .	245
12.1	University Discourse, the Research Parallax and the Moebius Ring . . . . .	245
12.2	Generation, Gender and Ethnicity . . . . .	248
12.3	From Diagnostics to Therapy . . . . .	250
	<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	255