

The Contingent Valuation of Natural Parks

Assessing the Warmglow Propensity Factor

Paulo A. L. D. Nunes

*Senior Economist, Faculty of Economics,
Free University Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Visitor in Centre for Economic Studies,
Catholic University Leuven, Belgium
Research Leader, Centre of Excellence for Sustainable
Development in the Mediterranean Coastal Areas, University
of Venice, Italy*

NEW HORIZONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

Edward Elgar
Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

CONTENTS

List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xii
List of Abbreviations and Symbols	xiv
Preface	xviii
PART I:	
ECONOMIC VALUATION, WARMGLOW AND PREFERENCE MODELLING	1
1 Values, Valuation Methods and Contingent Valuation: An Overview	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 The concept of total economic value	4
1.3 Monetary valuation methods	5
1.4 The contingent valuation methodology	7
1.4.1 Some historical benchmarks in the CV research path	8
1.4.1.1 Reagan Executive Order and the re-interpretation of CERCLA	8
1.4.1.2 The <i>Exxon Valdez</i> report	9
1.4.1.3 The NOAA Panel	10
1.5 CV theoretical underpinning	11
1.5.1 The basic model	11
1.5.2 Measures of welfare change	13
1.5.2.1 Consumer's surplus	13
1.5.2.2 Hicksian compensating and Hicksian equivalent measures	14
The Hicksian compensating measure	14
The Hicksian equivalent	15
1.5.2.3 A comparison of the three measures	16
1.5.3 Operationalization of the welfare change derived from the CV responses: an overview of the statistical model specifications	19
1.5.3.1 Bishop and Heberlein's approach	19
1.5.3.2 Michael Hanemann's approach	19
1.5.3.3 Trudy Cameron's approach	20
1.6 Conclusions	20

2	Evaluating CV Measurement Validity: Separating the Light from the Heat	21
2.1	Introduction	21
2.2	CV survey instrument and potential measurement bias	22
2.2.1	Information	22
2.2.1.1	Description of the environmental change with the proposed policy	23
2.2.1.2	Description of the contingent market	23
2.2.1.3	Survey elicitation question	24
	Bidding game	24
	Open-ended	24
	Payment card	25
	Dichotomous choice	26
	Dichotomous choice with one follow-up	26
2.2.2	Strategic behaviour in the WTP responses	27
2.2.2.1	Strategic behaviour vs. the choice of the question elicitation format	27
2.2.2.2	Strategic behaviour and the choice of the payment vehicle	29
2.2.2.3	Strategic behaviour and the level of information in the survey	31
2.2.3	Hypothetical bias	31
2.2.4	Other potential biases	34
2.3	Hausman critique: valuation embedding phenomenon	34
2.3.1	Scope effect	35
2.3.2	Sequence effect	36
2.3.3	Sub-additivity effect	37
2.4	The Kahneman and Knetsch theory for CV embedding: the purchase of 'moral satisfaction'	39
2.5	Conclusions	41
3	Modelling Consumer Preferences	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	The multiattribute model formulation	44
3.2.1	The introduction of consumer motivation functions	44
3.2.2	Integration of concepts: the valuation model	45
3.3	Modelling individual consumer strategic behaviour	48
3.3.1	A simple representation of voluntary contribution behaviour	48
3.3.2	A simple representation of referendum tax behaviour	52
3.4	Exploring the properties of the voluntary contributions	55
3.4.1	Some comparative static results: the general approach	57
3.4.1.1	A change in M	59
3.4.1.2	A change in the ρ coefficient	61
3.4.1.3	A change in the τ coefficient	63
3.4.1.4	A change in the θ coefficient	64
3.4.1.5	A change in \bar{q}	66
3.4.2	Comparative static results: a bridge with the empirical results	67
3.5	Conclusions	68

PART II:	
SURVEY DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION	69
4 Preliminary Design Research	71
4.1 Introduction	71
4.2 Scenario formulation	71
4.2.1 Description of the protection scenarios: research goals	72
4.2.2 The Alentejo Natural Park: wilderness areas, recreational areas, and tourism development	74
4.3 Key design issues	78
4.3.1 Welfare measure	78
4.3.2 Elicitation question format	78
4.3.3 Design of the bid vector	79
4.3.4 Payment vehicle	80
4.3.5 Market conditions and agents involved	80
4.4 Preliminary survey design research	81
4.4.1 Focus groups	81
First session	81
Second session	81
4.4.2 Formal field testing	81
Pilot I – Lisbon’s metropolitan area	82
Pilot II – Rural parish of Pousos, Leiria	83
Pilot III – Parish of Ajuda, Lisbon	84
4.5 Conclusions	84
5 Structure of the Final Survey Instrument	85
5.1 Introduction	85
5.2 Section A	85
5.2.1 General issues	86
5.2.2 Environmental issues	86
5.2.3 Natural Protected Areas issues	87
5.3 Section B	87
5.3.1 Alentejo Natural Area	87
5.3.2 Protection scenario	87
5.3.3 Tourism development scenarios	88
5.4 Section C	89
5.4.1 Information level	90
5.4.2 Payment vehicle	90
5.4.3 Valuation questions	91
5.4.4 Debriefing of the ‘no–no’ WTP responses	92
5.5 Section D	92
5.5.1 Motivation factors	93
5.5.2 Socio-economic questions	96
5.6 Section E: follow-up questions	97
5.7 Conclusions	97

6	Survey Execution	99
6.1	Introduction	99
6.2	The sampling design	99
6.3	Interviewer training	100
6.4	Field supervision and data validation	102
6.5	Conclusions	102
PART III:		
	ANALYSIS OF THE SURVEY RESULTS	103
7	Descriptive Analysis of the Survey Responses	105
7.1	Introduction	105
7.2	Opinion with respect to governmental policy action and nature conservation issues	105
7.3	WTP responses	107
7.4	Demographic and socio-economic responses	108
7.5	Interviewer assessment responses	111
7.6	Conclusions	112
8	Non-parametric Testing Procedures of the Stated WTP Responses	113
8.1	Introduction	113
8.2	The survey formats	113
8.3	Stated WTP responses: testing procedures	114
8.3.1	'No-no' responses	114
8.3.2	Testing for the presence of valuation 'anchoring'	117
	Single bounded response model	117
	Double bounded response model	118
8.3.3	Testing for the presence of free riding	119
	Single bounded response model	119
	Double bounded response Model	120
8.4	Conclusions	122
9	A Univariate Estimation of the Stated WTP Responses	123
9.1	Introduction	123
9.2	The model	123
9.3	Estimated WTP	125
9.4	Sensitivity analysis of the WTP estimates	130
9.5	Conclusions	134
10	Operationalization of Consumer Motivations	135
10.1	Introduction	135
10.2	Factor analysis	136
10.3	Empirical results	138
10.3.1	Initial extraction of factors	138
10.3.2	Confirmatory factor analysis	140

10.3.3 Estimation of the consumer motivation factor scores	142
10.4 Conclusions	146
11 The Valuation Function	147
11.1 Introduction	147
11.2 Empirical model specification	147
11.3 Estimation of the valuation function	150
11.3.1 Interpretation of the estimation results	152
Motivation factor scores	152
Residential area	153
Age	154
Occupation	154
Education	154
Payment vehicle	155
Net income	155
Household dimension and protests	155
11.4 Sensitivity analysis of the stated WTP responses with respect to the motivation factor scores	155
11.4.1 Wilderness Areas protection programme	156
11.4.2 Recreational Areas protection programme	157
11.4.3 Wilderness and Recreational Areas protection programme	157
11.5 The warmglow valuation transmission effect	158
11.5.1 Predicting individual WTP responses	158
11.5.2 Predicting a <i>dry</i> WTP response	159
11.5.3 Analysis of the <i>dry</i> WTP: re-visiting the adding-up property	161
11.6 What does the empirical evidence say about the structure of preferences?	162
11.6.1 Do CV responses reflect true preferences?	162
11.6.2 Should we not correct the original estimates and use the <i>dry</i> WTP measures in cost-benefit analysis?	163
11.7 Conclusions	164
12 Conclusions	165
PART IV:	
APPENDICES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	171
A Derivation of Comparative Static Results	173
A.1 The D expression	173
A.2 General approach	173
A.2.1 A change in M	174
A.2.2 A change in the ρ coefficient	175
A.2.3 A change in the τ coefficient	177
A.2.4 A change in the θ coefficient	179
A.2.5 A change in q^j	181

B	Comprehension Index	184
C	The Survey Instrument: Questionnaire and Visual Aid	185
D	Public Opinion With Respect to Government Policy Issues	229
E	Information Context: Multivariate Regression Analysis	231
F	Factor Analysis	233
	F.1 Motivation factor model	233
	F.2 Estimation method	234
G	Warmglow Payment Vehicle Cross Effect: Estimation Results	237
	Bibliography	239
	Index	251