THE LEGAL STATUS OF TERRITORIES SUBJECT TO ADMINISTRATION BY INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS

BERNHARD KNOLL



CONTENTS

Illustrations page xi			
Foreword: Pierre-Marie Dupuy xiii			
Acknowledgements xvi			
Table of Cases and Judicial Decisions xix			
1 Permanent Court of International Justice xix			
2 Permanent Court of Arbitration xix			
3 International Court of Justice xix			
4 International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia xxi			
5 European Commission/Court of Human Rights xxi			
6 European Court of First Instance xxii			
7 Domestic courts xxii			
7.1 Austria xxii			
7.2 Bosnia and Herzegovina xxii			
7.3 Canada xxiii			
7.4 East Timor xxiii			
7.5 Germany xxiii			
7.6 Kosovo xxiv			
7.7 Palestine xxiv			
7.8 South Africa xxiv			
7.9 United Kingdom xxiv			
7.10 United States xxiv			
List of Abbreviations xxvi			
Introduction 1			
I.1 International administrations and the discourse of empire 2			
I.2 Methodological frames and structure 9			
Creation of internationalised territories 18 1.1 Horizontal transfer of effective control and the bare title to territory 19 1.1.1 Doctrine and jurisprudence 20			

1

25

1.1.2 Dynamics of state practice

	(i) (ii	Panama Canal and Guantánamo Bay (both 1903) 26 Bosnia-Herzegovina (1878) and the Saar Territory (1920) 28
	(ii	i) Contrasting the <i>nudum ius</i> with state servitudes 30
		ixed methodology: Chapter VII and the incorporation of a
		rizontal agreement 32
	(i)	•
	\-/	torial administration and the vertical imposition of
	imperiur	
	-	arching for a legal basis: from Art. 24 to Chapter VII of the
		narter 37
	(i)	Jerusalem and Trieste (both 1947) 37
	(ii) Western Irian (1962) and Namibia (1967) 40
	1.2.2 In	posing the divorce: Chapter VII and the appropriation of
	ef	Fective control by the UN 42
	(i)	Kosovo and East Timor (both 1999) 44
	(ii) Disjunction between sovereign title and effective control in
		state practice: selected examples since 1878 50
	Résumé: tow	ards an in rem characterisation of internationalised
	territories	51
,	TO 1 1	1 * * * * * * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1
•	Fiduciary a	dministration: mandates, trust and the
•	•	sovereignty vacuum 53
•	transitory	
•	transitory Introduction	sovereignty vacuum 53
•	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate	sovereignty vacuum 53 the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty 53
•	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty s and the displacement of the sovereign sanslating political context into legal response 59
•	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty s and the displacement of the sovereign s anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59
•	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tl	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty and the displacement of the sovereign sand the displacement of the sovereign sanslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 the Mandate system as a network of interlocking
•	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tl	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty and the displacement of the sovereign sand the displacement of the sovereign sanslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 the Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tl ob (ii)	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty and the displacement of the sovereign s and the displacement of the sovereign s anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 the Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tr ob (i) (ii)	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty s and the displacement of the sovereign s and the displacement of the sovereign s anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 the Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66
	transitory Introduction 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tr ob (ii) (ii) (iii) (iii)	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Me Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 53 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 64 65 66 66 67 68
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Ti (i) 2.1.2 Tl of (ii) (iii (iii 2.2 'Le roi es	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 the Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 64 65 66 67 68 69 69 69 69 66 67 69 68 68 69 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Ti (i) 2.1.2 Ti ob (ii) (iii (iii 2.2 'Le roi es of the so	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tr of (ii) (ii) (iii) 2.2 'Le roi es of the so 2.2.1 Se	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 61 Application of a 'matrix of modernism' 69 t mort, vive le roi!': the Trusteeship system and the return vereign 72 If-determination and the reversion to the sovereignty
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tl ob (ii) (iii 2.2 'Le roi es of the so 2.2.1 Se	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty and the displacement of the sovereign s and the displacement of the sovereign s and the displacement of the sovereign Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Me Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 61 Application of a 'matrix of modernism' 69 t mort, vive le roi!': the Trusteeship system and the return vereign 72 Ilf-determination and the reversion to the sovereignty rrative 74
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tr of (ii) (ii) (iii) 2.2 'Le roi es of the so 2.2.1 Se	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Me Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 i) Application of a 'matrix of modernism' 69 t mort, vive le roi!': the Trusteeship system and the return vereign 72 If-determination and the reversion to the sovereignty rrative 74 'Silent alchemy': Namibia and the triumph of the
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tl ob (ii) (iii 2.2 'Le roi es of the so 2.2.1 Se na (i)	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Me Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 i) Application of a 'matrix of modernism' 69 t mort, vive le roi!': the Trusteeship system and the return vereign 72 If-determination and the reversion to the sovereignty rrative 74 'Silent alchemy': Namibia and the triumph of the teleologists 75
	transitory Introduction: 2.1 Mandate 2.1.1 Tr (i) 2.1.2 Tr of (ii) 2.2 'Le roi es of the so 2.2.1 Se na (ii) 2.2.2 Ex	the challenge to patrimonial conceptions of sovereignty as and the displacement of the sovereign anslating political context into legal response Trust and the transposition of municipal legal instruments 59 Me Mandate system as a network of interlocking digations 63 Formal categorisation of legal instruments utilised 63 Suprema potestas within the Mandate system? 66 i) Application of a 'matrix of modernism' 69 t mort, vive le roi!': the Trusteeship system and the return vereign 72 If-determination and the reversion to the sovereignty rrative 74 'Silent alchemy': Namibia and the triumph of the

CONTENTS vii

2.2.	(ii) (iii) 3 Sou (i)	Normative framework of the Trusteeship mechanism Institutional framework 84 Mandates and Trusteeship territories 91 th-West Africa revisited 92 Background 92	81
		Legal basis for terminating the Mandate 96 Virtual governance: the United Nations Council for Namibia 102	
Résumé: as a new	-	tened international authority and the 'peoples' 108	
		nation and the personality of lised territories 111	
		international law's agnosticism 112 ry as entitlement 116	
3.1.	1 The (i)	dynamic principle of self-determination 117 Norms of self-determination as a latent international entitlement 122	
3.1.	2 Oth	er sources of entitlement: the inductive approach	
		ersonality 124	
	(i)	The Free City of Danzig, the Westbank/Gaza and	
	(-)	Kosovo 126	
3.2 Age:	ncy and	d the construction of international legal personality 1	31
			133
3.2.		resentation-in-trust 135	
3.2.	2 Age	ncy and 'performativity' 139	
		ional approaches to legal personality 143	
'The K	ing's	two bodies': the dual functions of	
	_	l administrations 149	
		the elusive 'international community interest' 151	
		tional agents and organs 158	
		ustodian's parallel set of duties 162	
		ual functionality 164	
		'dual mandate' 164	
		Allied Control Authority and its bona fide representation	n
1,2,		ction 166	
4.2.		United Nations Council for Namibia 171	
	(i)	Normative content of the Council's Decree No. 1	
	(*)	within the UN legal order 173	
	(ii)	within the domestic legal order of states 176	
		within the Namibian legal order 177	
Postscri	pt: tem	porary identity of domestic and foreign policy 179	ı

viii

5	Extent of UN authority in Kosovo and the problem of an
	open-ended institution-building mandate 184
	Introduction: the UN imperium over the territory 184
	5.1 Kosovo's status and Serbia's bare title to the territory 186
	5.1.1 'Paramount law of the land': Resolution 1244 and its first
	implementing Regulations 187
	(i) UNMIK's deployment strategy and 'pillar' structure 190
	(ii) Sovereignty v. imperium: applying the in rem
	framework 196
	(iii) A case study 199
	5.1.2 From benchmarking to status? 203
	(i) Governance challenge wrapped in a sovereignty
	enigma 204
	(ii) Of roadmaps and roadblocks: the 'earned sovereignty'
	approach 207
	5.2 UNMIK as territorial agent and UN organ 213
	5.2.1 Territorial agency 213
	(i) UNTAET and the Timor Gap Treaty 214
	(ii) UNMIK's performance of agency 217
	(iii) Towards a limited legal personality? A memo to the Kosovo
	Premier 228
	5.2.2 UNMIK as administrator of an international trust 237
	(i) Privatisation, or: to be or not to be immune? 238
	Postscript: view from international humanitarian law 243
6	The status process: Kosovo's endgame 248
	Introduction: UNMIK as facilitator? 250
	6.1 Statehood or stasis? UNOSEK and the Contact Group 252
	6.1.1 Miscalculations and flawed premises 258
	(i) Spoiling the party 262
	(ii) The Troika 264
	6.1.2 UNOSEK's settlement proposal 266
	(i) The question of international powers 268
	(ii) Building legitimacy: a new constitution 270
	6.2 Wider implications for public international law 272 6.2.1 The option of 'status imposition' 273
	6.2.1 The option of 'status imposition' 273 6.2.2 The future of self-determination claims 276
	6.2.3 Challenges to Serbia's position 280
	Résumé: status resolution as contrapunctus 282
	Resume: status resolution as contrapanetus 202
7	An anomalous legitimacy cycle 288
	Introduction: premises and challenges 290
	7.1 A transitional administration in transition 292
	7.1.1 Two dimensions of the legitimacy discourse 294

CONTENTS ix

7.2 Pursuit of domestic legitimacy: two promises 298
7.2.1 Foundational promise 299 -
(i) The Border Agreement between FRY and
Macedonia 300 (ii) Vouching for the ward: the <i>Haradinaj</i> case 302
7.2.2 Devolution of power and the democratic moment 303
7.2.2 Devolution of power and the democratic moment 305
7.3.1 SRSG v. the Kosovo Assembly 306
7.3.2 'You're fired': OHR v. The Bosnian Constitutional
Court 309
7.3.3 Two fronts of the struggle over domestic legitimacy 318
Résumé: negative externalities 320
Properties of a transitory legal order 326
Introduction: the transitionality frame 328
8.1 Unmediated import of international law 329
8.1.1 Policing the border between past and future government
authority 332
8.1.2 Collapse of dualism and the promise of a liberal future 335
8.2 Absence of hierarchy of local norms 339
8.2.1 Uniform promulgation 339
8.2.2 The problem of review 344
(i) Palestine: competence to review an Ordinance 349
(i) Palestine: competence to review an Ordinance 349(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381
 (ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel (i) Options 383
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do better? 394
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do better? 394 (i) A new Mandate 395 Résumé: the 'stickiness' of an interim legal order 399
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do better? 394 (i) A new Mandate 395 Résumé: the 'stickiness' of an interim legal order 399 Concluding appraisal 404
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do better? 394 (i) A new Mandate 395 Résumé: the 'stickiness' of an interim legal order 399 Concluding appraisal 404 (i) As to the legal status of the administering organ 408
(ii) Bosnia: incidental norm control 350 8.2.3 'Sed quis custodiet?': norm control and legality 356 8.3 A human rights vacuum? 360 8.3.1 Rights without remedies 360 (i) Extraterritorial applicability of human rights instruments 362 (ii) The Shell Game: the Court's failure to close the gap in Behrami and Saramati 367 8.3.2 Too little, too late: Kosovo's Human Rights Advisory Panel 381 (i) Options 383 (ii) The long march of UNMIK Regulation 2006/12 384 8.3.3 Will the International Civilian Representative in Kosovo do better? 394 (i) A new Mandate 395 Résumé: the 'stickiness' of an interim legal order 399 Concluding appraisal 404

8

(iv) (v) (vi) (vii) (viii)	As to their limitation by international human rights law As to the fiduciary bond established between the international community and the population under its tutelage 410 As to the title to territory 411 As to the legal status of the territory 411 Five themes for Accountability Guidelines for plenary UN
	Administration Missions 428
Biblio	graphy 434
1 Prin	nary sources 434
Α	Treaties, conventions and constitutions (of international organisations) 434
В	UN sources 436
	United Nations General Assembly 436
	United Nations Security Council 438
	International Law Commission 439
С	Documents and reports of international organisations 440
	League of Nations 440
	UN: peacekeeping/peace-building, governance
	and accountability 440
	South-West Africa/Namibia 442 Eastern Slavonia 442
	Bosnia and Herzegovina 442 Kosovo and Serbia 443
	East Timor 449
D	UNMIK and UNTAET legal sources ('mediate UN law') 449
. E	Agreements between international/local institutions and third
, L	parties 451
	UNTAET 451
	UNMIK 451
F	Pronouncements of local Kosovo institutions 453
G	Contact Group statements and unpublished documents (letters,
	Code Cables, etc.) 454
2 Seco	ondary sources 457
Α	Books 457
В	Book chapters 468
С	Articles 475
D	Speeches, theses, working papers and think tank/NGO
	studies 495
Index	502