



A/477020



dandelion.com

© 2008 AGI-Information Management Consultants  
May be used for personal purposes only or by  
libraries associated to [dandelion.com](http://dandelion.com) network.

# Performance-related Pay Policies for Government Employees



ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	9
<b>Glossary</b> .....	17

## Part I

### **Performance-related Pay Policies for Government Employees: An Overview of OECD Countries**

<b>Chapter 1. Performance Pay in the Wider Management Context</b> .....	25
1. Introduction .....	26
2. The development of PRP over the past two decades .....	27
3. An overview of the current state of play in performance management .....	31
4. Why have governments introduced PRP? .....	32
5. PRP and the delegation of human resources and budgetary responsibilities .....	35
6. Conclusions .....	38
Notes .....	38
<b>Chapter 2. Key Trends in Performance-related Pay in OECD Countries</b> .	41
1. General trends in the overall design of PRP systems .....	42
2. Performance appraisal systems: highlighting the trends in the criteria and systems used .....	49
3. Performance payments: form and size of payments .....	55
4. Conclusions .....	61
Notes .....	61
<b>Chapter 3. Implementation and Impact of PRP: Lessons to be Learned</b> .	63
1. Introduction .....	64
2. Design and implementation issues: lessons to be learned .....	64
3. The impact of PRP: incentive for change? .....	73
4. Conclusions .....	81
Notes .....	84
<b>Conclusion</b> .....	85
<b>Selective Bibliography</b> .....	87

Part II  
**Case Studies**

<i>Chapter 4. Country Case Studies</i> .....	93
Performance-related Pay in Canada .....	94
Performance-related Pay in Denmark .....	98
Performance-related Pay in Finland .....	105
Performance-related Pay in France .....	114
Performance-related Pay in Germany .....	120
Performance-related Pay in Hungary .....	126
Performance-related Pay in Italy .....	130
Performance-related Pay in Korea .....	137
Performance-related Pay in New Zealand .....	146
Performance-related Pay in Spain .....	150
Performance-related Pay in Sweden .....	153
Performance-related Pay in Switzerland .....	159
Performance-related Pay in the United Kingdom .....	165
Performance-related Pay in Chile .....	174
 <i>Chapter 5. Case Studies of Performance-related Pay Schemes</i> .....	181
Performance Pay for School Teachers in England and Wales .....	182
The National Institute of Social Security (INSS), Spain .....	190
Ministry of Defence, United Kingdom .....	194
The Department for Work and Pensions (DWP), United Kingdom: An Example of Team Pay .....	196
The Case of the Revenue Agency, Italy .....	204
 <i>Annex A. Performance-related Pay Policies Across 12 OECD     Countries: Brief Overview</i> .....	209
 <b>List of Boxes</b>	
1.1. Performance-related pay in the private sector .....	28
1.2. What does the literature say about PRP? .....	32
1.3. Rationales for service incremental pay scales .....	33
2.1. Introducing PRP: the Danish case .....	43
2.2. Introducing PRP: the case of the Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) in the United Kingdom .....	44
2.3. How to monitor the highly decentralised pay systems in Denmark and Sweden .....	46
2.4. Committees to control evaluation criteria and ratings .....	47
2.5. Performance criteria and rating systems: country examples .....	52

2.6. Performance agreement for senior civil servants in the United Kingdom .....	53
3.1. Negative effects of PRP mentioned in the Danish survey (2001) .....	68
3.2. Common weaknesses of the objective setting process .....	69
3.3. The case of France: the conclusions of the committee of enquiry into the cost and efficiency of public services (April 2004) .....	71
3.4. The introduction of PRP in developing countries .....	72
3.5. Impact of PRP in the private sector: the example of a survey of PRP in 5 000 private organisations in the United Kingdom .....	75
3.6. The impact of performance pay: the case of Statistics Finland .....	77
3.7. The impact of PRP: the example of Finland .....	79
3.8. Impact of the collective PRP system in the National Institute of Social Security in Spain. ....	81
Recommendations .....	83

## List of Tables

1.1. Milestones in the development of PRP policy .....	30
1.2. Index of delegation in human resources management .....	37
2.1. Methods of introduction of PRP .....	42
2.2. Degree of centralisation of design of PRP policies .....	45
2.3. Influence of unions on the operation of the performance appraisal system .....	47
2.4. Advantages and problems linked to different forms of performance payments. ....	57
2.5. Form and maximum size of individual PRP payments. ....	58
4.1. PRP policy in Korea. ....	138
4.2. Appraisal grade, payment scope, and performance pay rate .....	140
4.3. Appraisal frame for the performance-based bonus program .....	140
4.4. PRP relating to appraisal ratings .....	162
4.5. Target figures for ratings. ....	163
5.1. Classroom teachers' responses to the CEP questionnaire survey. ....	185
5.2. Head teachers' responses to the CEP questionnaire survey .....	187

## List of Figures

1.1. PRP and performance management: interdependent elements .....	28
1.2. PRP in the overall pay structure .....	29
1.3. Relationship between delegation and link between performance appraisal and pay in OECD member countries .....	36
2.1. Criteria for assessing performance across OECD member countries. ....	51
3.1. The four missing components for an effective performance appraisal process .....	72
3.2. The overall impact of performance-related pay on performance. ....	82

4.1. Result contract and director contract . . . . .	100
4.2. The three elements in the new Danish pay system . . . . .	101
5.1. The old and the new classroom teachers' pay spines . . . . .	183