

**SUB Hamburg**



A/566407

# The 9/11 Effect: Comparative Counter-Terrorism

**KENT ROACH**

University of Toronto



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

## Brief Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	The United Nations Responds: Security Council Listing and Legislation . . . . .	21
3	Countries That Did Not Immediately Respond . . . . .	77
4	The United States Responds: Executive Power and Extra-Legalism . . . . .	161
5	The United Kingdom Responds: A Legislative War on Terrorism . . . . .	238
6	Australia Responds: Hyper-Legislation . . . . .	309
7	Canada Responds: Border and Human Security . . . . .	361
8	Conclusions . . . . .	426

# Contents

Acknowledgments	<i>page</i> xiii
1 Introduction . . . . .	1
I The Post-9/11 Fallout	1
II Methodology	5
III Outline of the Chapters	14
2 The United Nations Responds: Security Council Listing and Legislation . . . . .	21
I Introduction	21
II The United Nations	22
III Pre-9/11 Experience	25
IV The Initial Response to 9/11	28
V Security Council Resolution 1373: Panicked Global Legislation	31
VI Belated Guidance on the Definition of Terrorism: Security Council Resolution 1566	51
VII Security Council Resolution 1540 and the Dangers of Terrorists Using Weapons of Mass Destruction	53
VIII Security Resolution 1624 and the Emphasis on Speech Associated with Terrorism	55
IX Attempts to Reform the Security Council's Counter-Terrorism Work	59
X The Security Council's Post-9/11 Record	63
XI The General Assembly's 2006 Counter-Terrorism Strategy	67
XII Rights-Protection Bodies	70
XIII Integrating Whole-of-UN Approaches to Terrorism	72
XIV Conclusion	73
3 Countries That Did Not Immediately Respond . . . . .	77
I Introduction	77
II Egypt	79

III	Syria	92
IV	Israel and the Occupied Territories	100
V	Singapore	129
VI	Indonesia	143
VII	Conclusion	158
4	The United States Responds: Executive Power and Extra-Legalism . . . . .	161
I	Introduction	161
II	The Pre-9/11 Experience	167
III	The Initial Response to 9/11	174
IV	The USA Patriot Act	175
V	What the Patriot Act Did Not Authorize	184
VI	Subsequent Legislation and the Demise of Patriot II	195
VII	Guantánamo and the Failed Attempt to Preclude Habeas Corpus	198
VIII	Criminal Prosecutions	214
IX	Torture	218
X	Extralegal Conduct and Obstacles to Accountability	223
XI	Speech Associated with Terrorism	227
XII	Targeted Killings	229
XIII	National Security Policies	233
XIV	Conclusion	235
5	The United Kingdom Responds: A Legislative War on Terrorism . . . . .	238
I	Introduction	238
II	Pre-9/11 Experience	244
III	The Terrorism Act, 2000	255
IV	The Initial Response to 9/11	262
V	The Belmarsh Litigation	276
VI	The Response to the Belmarsh Case	280
VII	Torture	290
VIII	Foreign Counter-Terrorism Activities	292
IX	Stop-and-Search Powers and Discriminatory Profiling	296
X	Responding to the London Bombings: Terrorism Act, 2006	298
XI	Counter-Terrorism Act, 2008	301
XII	National Security Policies	303
XIII	Conclusion	306
6	Australia Responds: Hyper-Legislation . . . . .	309
I	Introduction	309
II	Pre-9/11 Experience	314
III	The Initial Response to 9/11	317
IV	ASIO Questioning Warrants	328

V	Immigration Law as Antiterrorism Law	333
VI	Responding to the London Bombings	334
VII	Criminal Prosecutions	346
VIII	Review of National Security Activities	354
IX	National Security Policies	356
X	Conclusion	358
7	Canada Responds: Border and Human Security . . . . .	361
I	Introduction	361
II	Pre-9/11 Experience	365
III	The Initial Response to 9/11	374
IV	Immigration Law as Antiterrorism Law	395
V	Criminal Prosecutions	406
VI	Foreign Counter-Terrorism Activities	410
VII	Review of National Security Activities	416
VIII	National Security Policies	420
IX	Conclusion	423
8	Conclusions . . . . .	426
I	Some Post-9/11 Mistakes	431
II	The Complexities of the Post-9/11 World	440
III	Some Challenges Going Forward	448
IV	Conclusion	461
	Index	463