A/537876

Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making

Alex Mintz

IDC-Herzliya, Israel

Karl DeRouen Jr.
University of Alabama



Contents

Acknowledgments po		page xiii
	PART ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1	Why Study Foreign Policy from a Decision-Making	
	Perspective?	3
	Foreign Policy Decision Making	3
	Why Study Foreign Policy Decision Making?	5
	The Rational and Cognitive Schools	7
	Comparative Foreign Policy	9
	Limitations of the Decision-Making Approach	10
	Plan of the Book	10
	PART TWO: THE DECISION ENVIRONMENT	
2	Types of Decisions and Levels of Analysis in Foreign Policy	
	Decision Making	15
	Types of Decisions	15
	Unilateral, Negotiated, Structured, and Unstructured	
	Decisions	16
	Holistic, Heuristic and Wholistic Decisions	17
	Trade-offs in Decision Making	17
	The Level of Analysis in Foreign Policy Decision Making	18
	Individual-Level Decisions	18
	Group-level Decisions	19
	Coalition Decision Making	20
	Case Study: Israel's Foreign Policy Making by Coalition	21
	Another Example of Coalition Decision Making: Iceland's Cod	
	War, 1971–1974	23
	The Decision Environment	25
	Time Constraints	25
	Information Constraints	26

	Ambiguity	27
	Familiarity	27
	Dynamic Setting	27
	Interactive Setting	28
	Risk ·	28
	Stress	28
	Accountability	30
	The Role of Advisory Groups	31
	Information Search Patterns	32
	Holistic versus Nonholistic Search	33
	Order-Sensitive versus Order-Insensitive Search	33
	Alternative-Based versus Dimension-Based Search	33
	Maximizing versus Satisficing Search Patterns	34
	Compensatory versus Noncompensatory Rule	34
	Noncompensatory Decision Rules	35
	Conjunctive Decision Rule (CON)	35
	Disjunctive Decision Rule (DIS)	36
	Elimination-by-aspect (EBA) Decision Rule	36
	Lexicographic (LEX) Decision Rule	36
	Conclusion	37
_	Diamaia Daddan Makina	
3	Biases in Decision Making	38
	Case Study: The U.S. Decision to Invade Iraq In 2003 – the	
	Effect of Cognitive Biases on Foreign Policy Making	41
	Groupthink	44
	Groupthink in American Foreign Policy	45
	Beyond Groupthink	47
	Groupthink and Multiple Advocacy	48
	Polythink	49
	Case Study: Polythink at Camp David, 2000	50
	Did Polythink Lead to the Collapse of the Camp David Talks?	52
	Group Polarization Effect	53
	Conclusion	54
	PART THREE: MODELS OF DECISION MAKING	
4	The Rational Actor Model	. 57
	The Rational Actor Model	57
	Case Study: New Zealand's Defiance of the United States)/
	and ANZUS	59
	The Expected Utility Model of War Decision Making	60
	Opportunity Costs	61
	Game-Theoretic Models	62
	Prisoner's Dilemma	64

	'	Contents	1X
	Chicken		65
	Tit-for-Tat		66
	Conclusion		67
5	Alternatives to the Rational Actor Model		68
	Bounded Rationality and the Cybernetic Model		68
	Bureaucratic Politics		70
	Organizational Politics		73
	Prospect Theory		75
	Sunk Costs		77
	Integrating the Rational and Cognitive Models: Poliheuristic Theory	:	78
	What is Poliheuristic Decision Making?		79
	Case Study: The Decision not to Invade Iraq in 1991 – An		13
	Application of Various Decision-Making Models to a		
	Foreign Policy Event		81
	Background		81
	A Rational Actor Interpretation		82
	A Cybernetic Explanation		83
	A Prospect Theory Explanation		84
	A Poliheuristic Explanation		85
	An Organizational Politics Explanation		86
	A Bureaucratic Politics Model		87
	Applied Decision Analysis		87
	A Simple Example: The Cuban Missile Crisis and the		
	Decision Matrix		88
	ADA: A Closer Look		89
	Application to Decisions of Leaders of Terrorist		
	Organizations: Bin Laden and al-Qaeda		92
	Conclusion		93
	PART FOUR: DETERMINANTS OF FOREIGN POLIC	Y	
	DECISION MAKING		
6	Psychological Factors Affecting Foreign Policy Decisions	. 	97
	Psychological Factors		97
	Cognitive Consistency		98
	Evoked Set		99
	Emotions		99
	Images		101
	Beliefs, Belief Systems, and Schema		101
	Operational Code Analysis		102
	Analogies and Learning		103

	The "Munich Analogy" and Use of Analogies in U.S. Foreign	
	Policy	104
	Case Study: Analogies in U.SCuban Relations, 1954–1967 The Analogies Provided by Guatemala, 1954	106
	The Bay of Pigs	106 108
	The Cuban <i>Foco</i> and Africa, 1965	
	Bolivia, 1966–1967	109
	Leaders' Personality	114
	Leadership Style	115
	Types of Leaders: Crusader, Strategic, Pragmatic, and	11)
	Opportunistic	116
	Cognitive Mapping	119
	Conclusion	120
	TAR CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	
7	International, Domestic, and Cultural Factors Influencing	
	Foreign Policy Decision Making	121
	International Factors	121
	Deterrence and Arms Races	121
	Strategic Surprise	125
	Alliances	126
	Regime Type of the Adversary	127
	Domestic Factors	129
	Diversionary Tactics	129
	Economic Interests and Foreign Policy Decisions	130
	The Role of Public Opinion	131
	Electoral Cycles	132
	The Effect of Domestic and International Factors on Foreign	
	Policy Decisions: Two-Level Games	133
	Case Study: The Domestic and International Underpinnings	10.4
	of Decision Making – the Falklands War, 1982 Diversionary Behavior	134
	Deterrence and Misperception	134
	Electoral Impact	135 136
	Decisions on the Use of Economic Instruments of Foreign	130
	Policy	136
	The Decision to Use Sanctions as an Instrument of Foreign	-50
	Policy	137
	The Decision to Use Aid in Foreign Policy	138
	Negotiation and Mediation Decisions	139
	Decisions on Foreign Policy Substitutability	141
	Gender Differences in Decision Making	143
	Cultural Differences in Decision Making	144
	Conclusion	1/15

PART FIVE: MARKETING FOREIGN POLICY

8	Framing, Marketing, and Media Effects on Foreign Policy		
	Decision Making	. 149	
	Marketing Effects	149	
	Framing Effects	150	
	The Frame as a Political Lens	151	
	Who is Framing Whom? Framing the Public	152	
	Framing beyond the Borders	159	
	Advisory Group Framing and Manipulating	156	
	Summary	159	
	Media Effects	160	
	Case Study: The Marketing of the U.S. Invasion of Grenada, 1983	162	
	Background	162	
	The Key Decision Makers	163	
	The Marketing of the Decision	163	
	The Decision Process	164	
	Could the Process Actually Have Been a Compensatory One?	166	
	Conclusion		
	PART SIX: CONCLUSION		
9	Conclusion	. 169	
	What Does it All Mean?: A Case Study of the U.S. Decision		
	to Invade Iraq in 2003	171	
	Conclusion	175	
۱pp	endix: Foreign Policy Simulation and Exercise	177	
Refe	erences	179	
nd.	nder		