WORLD BANK INDEPENDENT EVALUATION GROUP

IEG

An Impact Evaluation of India's Second and Third Andhra Pradesh Irrigation Projects

A Case of Poverty Reduction with Low Economic Returns

726

92-8



http://www.worldbank.org/ieg

The World Bank Washington, D.C.

2008

Contents

vii	Abbreviations		
ix	Acknowledgments		
xi	Foreword		
xiii	Pro	Preface	
xv	Ex	ecutive Summary	
1	1	Introduction 3 The Irrigation Paradox	
		6 The Andhra Pradesh Context	
		7 Evaluation Questions	
		7 🖉 Study Approach and Overview	
		9 Overview of the Report	
11	2	The Projects	
		13 Second Andhra Pradesh Irrigation Project	
		14 Third Andhra Pradesh Irrigation Project	
		14 Project Implementation	
		16 Summary	
19	3	Water User Associations	
		21 Origins of PIM in Andhra Pradesh	
		21 The Current Wave of PIM	
		22 WUA Membership	
		24 What Do WUAs Do and Who Does It?	
		27 Summary	
29	4	Economic Benefits	
		31 Direct Production Impacts	
		35 Direct Income Effects: Higher Farm Income	
		36 Indirect Income Effects: Employment Generation	
		38 Who Benefits? Poverty Reduction and Distributional Effects of New Irrigation	
		40 Revisiting the ERR	
		43 Resolving the Irrigation Paradox	

45

Summary and Lessons Learned

- 47 Findings on the Six Evaluation Questions
- 48 On Selecting Irrigation Investments
- 49 On Participatory Irrigation Management
- 49 On the Impact of Irrigation

51 Appendixes

5

- 53 A: Sample Selection of IEG Irrigation Survey
- 57 B: Regression Results
- 67 C: Single- and Double-Difference Impact Estimates
- 81 D: Data Tables
- 93 E: The Farm Model, Rate of Return Analysis, and Distributional Impacts
- 107 F: Village Profiles
- 109 G: Comments from Government of Andhra Pradesh
- 121 H: Impact Evaluation
- 123 Endnotes

129 Bibliography

Boxes

- 5 1.1 Irrigation: The Basics
- 7 1.2 Some Local Terminology
- 8 1.3 The IEG Survey
- 25 3.1 Diversion of Water to Tanks Limits the Number of Beneficiaries
- 43 4.1 Improving the Quality of Sensitivity Analysis in Bank Appraisals

Figures

- 15 2.1 Irrigated Area in SRSP
- 16 2.2 Irrigation Sources, 2005 and 2006
- 22 3.1 Households Accessing Canal and Tank Irrigation Systems Most Likely to Be in a WUA
- 23 3.2 Likelihood of WUA Membership Increases Sharply with Wealth
- 23 3.3 Large Landowners Most Likely to Be WUA Members; Marginal Farmers also Active
- 24 3.4 Lorenz Curve for Land Ownership by Irrigation Source
- 26 3.5 Larger Landholders More Likely to Think Water Is Fairly Distributed, as Are WUA Members
- 32 4.1 Irrigation Increases Yields ... But by Less than Assumed at Appraisal
- 33 4.2 Cropping Pattern by Irrigation Source, 2006
- 35 4.3 Cropping Intensity by Number of Irrigation Sources, 2006
- 35 4.4 Increased Cropping Intensity Comes from Additional Growing Seasons
- 38 4.5 Seasonal Employment Effects
- 39 4.6 Distribution of Irrigation Benefits Skewed toward the Better Off
- 40 4.7 Poverty Impact of Irrigation