## **Stolen Asset Recovery**

A Good Practices Guide for Non-Conviction Based Asset Forfeiture

Theodore S. Greenberg Linda M. Samuel Wingate Grant Larissa Gray







## **Contents**

or tn	ie CD-KUM Appendix of Legal Kesources	XI
ا		xv
edgn	nents	xvii
tions	3	xix
tion		1
Ur	nderstanding∢he Problem and the International Response	5
1.		7
2.	Global Consensus on the Need for Concerted Action	9
	The United Nations Convention against Corruption	9
	The Stolen Asset Recovery (StAR) Initiative	10
3.	Non-Conviction Based Forfeiture as a Tool for Asset Recovery	13
	Distinguishing between Criminal Forfeiture and NCB Asset Forfeiture	13
	NCB Asset Forfeiture in Civil and Common Law Jurisdictions	16
	Historical Perspective and International Support for NCB Asset	
	Appropriate Laws to Introduce Forfeiture	22
	Asset Recovery	24
Ke	ey Concepts in Non-Conviction Based Asset Forfeiture	27
Pr	ime Imperatives	29
1.	Non-conviction based (NCB) asset forfeiture should never be a substitute for criminal prosecution	29
2.	The relationship between an NCB asset forfeiture case and any criminal proceedings, including a pending investigation, should	
	tions tion 1. 2. 3. Ke	Distinguishing between Criminal Forfeiture and NCB Asset Forfeiture

3.	NCB asset forfeiture should be available when criminal prosecution is unavailable or unsuccessful
4.	Applicable evidentiary and procedural rules should be as specific as possible
Def	ining Assets and Offenses Subject to NCB Asset Forfeiture
5.	Assets derived from the widest range of criminal offenses should be subject to NCB asset forfeiture
6.	The broadest categories of assets should be subject to forfeiture
7.	The definition of assets subject to forfeiture should be broad enough to encompass new forms of value
8.	Tainted assets acquired prior to the enactment of an NCB asset forfeiture law should be subject to forfeiture
9.	The government should have discretion to set appropriate thresholds and policy guidelines for forfeiture
Mea	asures for Investigation and Preservation of Assets
10.	The specific measures the government may employ to investigate and preserve assets pending forfeiture should be designated
11.	Preservation and investigative measures taken without notice to the asset holder should be authorized when notice could prejudice the ability of the jurisdiction to prosecute the forfeiture case
12.	There should be a mechanism to modify orders for preservation, monitoring, and production of evidence and to obtain a stay of any ruling adverse to the government pending reconsideration or appeal of any order that could place forfeitable property beyond the reach of the court
Pro	cedural and Evidentiary Concepts
13.	The procedural and content requirements for both the government's application and the claimant's response should be specified
14.	Fundamental concepts such as the standard (burden) of proof and use of rebuttable presumptions should be delineated by statute
15.	Where affirmative defenses are used, defenses to forfeiture should be specified, along with the elements of those defenses and the burden of proof
16.	The government should be authorized to offer proof by circumstantial evidence and hearsay
	Applicable statutes of limitations (prescription) should be drafted

Par	ties to Proceedings and Notice Requirements	69
18.	Those with a potential legal interest in the property subject to forfeiture are entitled to notice of the proceedings	69
19.	A prosecutor or government agency should be authorized to recognize secured creditors without requiring them to file a formal claim	70
20.	A fugitive who refuses to return to the jurisdiction to face outstanding criminal charges should not be permitted to contest NCB asset forfeiture proceedings	71
21.	The government should be authorized to void transfers if property has been transferred to insiders or to anyone with knowledge of the underlying illegal conduct	73
22.	The extent to which a claimant to forfeitable assets may use those assets for purposes of contesting the forfeiture action or for living expenses should be specified	74
Jud	gment Proceedings	79
23.	Consider authorizing default judgment proceedings when proper notice has been given and the assets remain unclaimed	79
24.	Consider permitting the parties to consent to forfeiture without a trial and authorizing the court to enter a stipulated judgment of forfeiture when the parties agree to such procedure	79
25.	Specify any remedies that are available to the claimant in the event the government fails to secure a judgment of forfeiture	80
26.	The final judgment of NCB asset forfeiture should be in writing	82
Org	anizational Considerations and Asset Management	83
27.	Specify which agencies have jurisdiction to investigate and prosecute forfeiture matters	83
28.	Consider the assignment of judges and prosecutors with special expertise or training in forfeiture to handle NCB asset forfeitures	84
29.	There should be a system for pre-seizure planning, maintaining, and disposing of assets in a prompt and efficient manner	85
30.	Establish mechanisms to ensure predictable, continued, and adequate financing for the operation of an effective forfeiture program and limit political interference in asset forfeiture activities	89
Inte	ernational Cooperation and Asset Recovery	95
31.	Correct terminology should be used, particularly when international cooperation is involved	95
32.	Extraterritorial jurisdiction should be granted to the courts	97

	33.	Countries should have the authority to enforce foreign provisional orders99
	34.	Countries should have the authority to enforce foreign forfeiture orders and should enact legislation that maximizes the enforceability of their judgments in foreign jurisdictions
	35.	NCB asset forfeiture should be used to restore property to victims103
	36.	The government should be authorized to share assets with or return assets to cooperating jurisdictions
Part C	Spe	ecial Contributions109
		od Practices in Non-Conviction Based Forfeiture: erspective from Switzerland111
		Background: Criminal Law in a Civil Law System111
ς.		Forfeiture under the Criminal Code of Switzerland111
		Mutual Legal Assistance (MLA) for NCB Asset Forfeiture113
		Asset Return Based on a Money Value Judgment114
		Asset Return Based on NCB Asset Forfeiture115
		covery of Proceeds of Corruption in the United Kingdom: Assistance aw Enforcement and Tools for the Private Litigant119
		The Assistance of U.K. Law Enforcement
		Private Civil Litigation121
		Litigation Tools for the Private Litigant
		Civil Proceedings: Advantages and Disadvantages
	The	United Kingdom's Asset Recovery Strategy135
		Legal Foundations136
		United Kingdom Asset Recovery137
		Training
		Strategic Priorities141
		Summary141
		oiding the Pitfalls in Obtaining Mutual Legal Assistance: Perspective from the Bailiwick of Guernsey143
		The Bailiwick's Relationship with the United Kingdom143
		Constitutional Relationship with the European Union (EU)143
		Legal System144
		Overview of Mutual Legal Assistance in the Bailiwick145
		The Legal Framework for the Provision of Mutual Legal Assistance in the Main Areas146

	Letters of Request	146
	Provision of Evidence for an Investigation in a Foreign Jurisdiction	146
	Provision of Evidence for Prosecution in a Foreign Jurisdiction	147
	The Restraint of Assets Pending Forfeiture Proceedings	148
	Registration and Enforcement of Foreign Forfeiture Orders	
	Sharing of Forfeited Assets	148
	reign NCB Asset Restraint Orders: A Historical Review of Enforceme	ent
in	Jurisdictions without Reciprocal NCB Asset Forfeiture Legislation	
	England and Wales	
	Jersey	
	Isle of Man	
	Bailiwick of Guernsey	
	Hong Kong, China	
	Conclusion	152
Ta	rgeting the Proceeds of Crime: An Irish Perspective	
	NCB Asset Forfeiture Model	153
	Challenges to NCB Asset Forfeiture in Ireland	156
	The Criminal Assets Bureau	158
	Results	162
Sto	olen Asset Recovery: A Case from Kuwait	163
	Proposed Action	163
	National Team: Task Priorities	164
	Scope of Legal Actions	164
	How Private Law Actions Can Work to Achieve Recovery	165
	Lessons Learned	165
As	set Management Measures in Thailand	167
	The Role of the Asset Management Bureau in the Anti-Money	
	Laundering Office (AMLO)	
	Management of Seized Assets	
	AMLO's Consolidated Asset Tracking System (AMCATS)	
	AMLO's Auction Process	
	AMLO's Asset Forfeiture Fund	172
	Obstacles Experienced by AMLO Impeding Effective Asset  Management	174
	Conclusion	

	Administrative Procedures in Colombia: Good Practices in Delegation to the Executive Branch	177
	Areas for Delegation	177
	Drafting Administrative Procedures	179
	Asset Management in Colombia	181
	Phases of Asset Administration	181
	Success in Colombia's Asset Management System	186
	Issues and Lessons Learned in Colombia's Asset Management System	187
	Illicit Enrichment: Theory and Practice in Colombia	189
	Legal Basis for Asset Forfeiture Based on Illicit Enrichment	189
	Scope, Procedural and Evidentiary Issues, Benefits	190
×	Required Evidence in Cases Involving Unjustified Increase in Personal Assets	191
	Mechanisms to Obtain Evidence	191
_		
Appendix	res	197
	I: Matrix of Forfeiture Systems in Selected Jurisdictions	198
	II: Key Concepts—Quick Reference Sheet	207
	III: StAR Focal Point Contact List	211
	IV: Financial Profile Form	213
	V: A Sample Order to Produce Corporate and Other Documents in a Corruption Investigation	235
	VI: Pre-Seizure Planning Guide	241
	VII: Web Sites for Key Organizations, Legal Instruments, and Initiatives	257