

The African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM) as a tool to improve governance?

Experience in Ghana

Final report of the Country Working Group Ghana

Sven Grimm
Kristin Nawrath
Robert Roth
Simon Triebel
Britta Utz

Contents

Abbreviations

Executive Summary	1
1 Introduction	9
1.1 Why is the APRM relevant to development cooperation?	11
1.2 Research question and structure of the report	13
2 The conceptual framework – can the APRM be meaningful?	15
2.1 The APRM in context: NEPAD and good governance	16
2.2 Why a <i>peer</i> review? – Scope and meaning of the peer element	21
2.3 Principles and objectives of the APRM	24
2.4 Institutions of the APRM	26
2.4.1 Institutions at the continental level	26
2.4.2 Institutions foreseen at the country level	30
2.5 Guidelines for the process	32
2.6 How to conduct an African peer review? – Stages of the APRM	35
2.7 Roles and responsibilities of major stakeholders	37
2.8 Conclusion	39
3 Ghana’s journey through the APRM – an open process?	42
3.1 The Ghanaian APR institutions	43
3.1.1 The Governing Council	44
3.1.2 The national APR Secretariat	47

3.1.3	Technical teams	49
3.2	The conduct of a national political process – vertical accountability?	51
3.2.1	Methodology of the technical teams in Ghana	51
3.2.2	Dissemination – efforts and shortcomings	54
3.2.3	Assessment of national stakeholders’ engagement in the process	60
3.3	The international dimension – horizontal accountability?	65
3.3.1	The peer experience for Ghana – The Panel of Eminent Persons	66
3.3.2	The climax of the peer review – The Heads of State Forum	68
3.3.3	Development partners	71
3.4	Conclusion	73
4	Issues in the Ghanaian report – is the APRM report useful?	75
4.1	The range of issues – according to the adapted Ghanaian questionnaire	76
4.2	Details on ‘democracy and political governance’ as an example	79
4.3	Over-arching issues: Identification and mainstreaming	85
4.4	Corruption as a key ‘over-arching issue’ – dealt with adequately?	89
4.5	Shortcomings	94
4.5.1	Quality of coverage of topics	95
4.5.2	Some missing issues	98
4.6	Conclusion	101
5	The report – follow up and implementation?	103
5.1	Institutionalisation of APR structures	104

5.1.1	The Governing Council	104
5.1.2	The technical teams	107
5.2	State of implementation	108
5.3	Role of stakeholders in implementation	110
5.3.1	Government and administrative support	111
5.3.2	Public institutions	112
5.3.3	Parliament	114
5.3.4	Civil society organisations	116
5.3.5	The media	117
5.3.6	Development partners' alignment towards the APRM	118
5.4	Conclusion	122
6	Conclusions and recommendations	127
6.1	What the continental APRM structures (Midrand) should do	128
6.2	What the Ghanaian APRM institution should do	130
6.3	What civil society should do	132
6.4	What development partners should do	132
	Bibliography	135
	Map of Ghana	144
	Annexes	145
	Annex 1: Research design	147
	Annex 2: Timetable of countries in the APRM	154
	Annex 3: Stages of the base review	156
	Annex 4: Timetable of the Ghanaian APR process	157
	Annex 5: The APRM and OECD's aid review	159