

Ian M. Langella



© 2008 AGI-Information Management Consultants  
May be used for personal purposes only or by  
libraries associated to [dandelion.com](http://dandelion.com) network.

# **Planning Demand-Driven Disassembly for Remanufacturing**

With a foreword by Prof. Dr. Karl Inderfurth

**Deutscher Universitäts-Verlag**

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>XVII</b>
<b>List of Notation</b>	<b>XIX</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Prevailing conditions . . . . .	1
1.2 Reverse logistics: Motivation and options for product recovery . . . . .	2
1.3 The role of remanufacturing within sustainable operations management . . . . .	3
1.4 Roadmap of thesis . . . . .	4
<b>2 Fundamentals</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Terminology and process description . . . . .	5
2.1.1 Nomenclature . . . . .	5
2.1.2 The process of remanufacturing . . . . .	6
2.1.3 Disassemble-to-order problems . . . . .	8
2.2 Literature review . . . . .	9
2.2.1 Disassembly, production, and remanufacturing coordination . . . . .	9
2.2.2 Disassembly depth and sequencing . . . . .	11
2.2.3 Disassembly for recycling . . . . .	12
2.2.4 Complete disassembly for component recovery . . . . .	12
2.2.5 Disassembly line balancing . . . . .	16
2.2.6 Design for disassembly . . . . .	17
2.2.7 Case studies, technical and practical surveys . . . . .	17
2.2.8 Literature surveys . . . . .	18
<b>3 Planning disassembly with deterministic yields</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Single period problem without constrained returns . . . . .	19
3.1.1 Model for exact solution . . . . .	20
3.1.2 Heuristic solution methods . . . . .	21
Heuristic 1 : Ratio selection criterion . . . . .	22
Heuristic 2 : Absolute selection criterion . . . . .	23
Heuristic 3 : Round up heuristic . . . . .	24
Heuristic 4 : Round down heuristic . . . . .	24
3.1.3 Performance study . . . . .	25
Background . . . . .	25
Randomly generated instances . . . . .	27
Random variants of real world data sets . . . . .	31
3.2 Single period model with constrained returns . . . . .	35
3.2.1 Model for exact solution . . . . .	35
3.2.2 Heuristic solution methods . . . . .	35
Heuristic 1 : Ratio selection criterion . . . . .	35
Heuristic 2 : Absolute selection criterion . . . . .	36

	Heuristics 3 and 4 . . . . .	36
3.2.3	Performance study . . . . .	37
	Design . . . . .	37
	Results for randomly generated instances . . . . .	37
	Results for random variants . . . . .	41
3.3	Multiple period setting without constrained returns . . . . .	44
3.3.1	Model for exact solution . . . . .	44
	Examination of complexity . . . . .	46
3.3.2	Heuristic solution methods . . . . .	47
	Heuristic 1: Look ahead heuristic (with ratio selection criterion) . . . . .	48
	Heuristic 2 : Look behind heuristic (with absolute selection criterion) . . . . .	51
3.3.3	Performance study . . . . .	54
	Random instances . . . . .	54
	Random variants of real world data . . . . .	59
3.4	Multiple period setting with constrained returns . . . . .	63
3.4.1	Model for exact solution . . . . .	63
3.4.2	Heuristic . . . . .	65
3.4.3	Performance study . . . . .	67
	Random instances . . . . .	67
	Variants of real world data . . . . .	70
<b>4</b>	<b>Planning disassembly with stochastic yields</b> . . . . .	<b>73</b>
4.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	73
4.2	Single period . . . . .	75
4.2.1	Exact formulation . . . . .	75
	Recourse model . . . . .	76
4.2.2	Heuristic solution methods . . . . .	81
	Background . . . . .	81
	One-to-one heuristic . . . . .	82
	One-to-many heuristic . . . . .	83
4.2.3	Performance study . . . . .	89
	Design . . . . .	89
	Results . . . . .	90
4.2.4	Chance constrained programming model . . . . .	91
4.3	Multiple period . . . . .	96
4.3.1	Exact formulation and solution methods . . . . .	96
	Assumptions . . . . .	96
	Stochastic dynamic programming formulation . . . . .	97
	Recourse model formulation . . . . .	100
4.3.2	Heuristic solution methods . . . . .	103
	Step One: First period disassembly decisions . . . . .	103
	Step Two: First period leaf decisions . . . . .	104
	Step Three: Second period disassembly decisions . . . . .	104
	Step Four: Second period disassembly decisions . . . . .	105
	Adapting the heuristic to more than two periods . . . . .	105
4.3.3	Performance study . . . . .	106
	Design . . . . .	106
	Results . . . . .	107

---

**5 Conclusion and outlook****111****Bibliography****113**