

**ILLEGAL ANNEXATION AND STATE
CONTINUITY:
THE CASE OF THE INCORPORATION
OF THE BALTIC STATES BY THE USSR**

**A Study of the Tension between Normativity and Power in
International Law**

by

Lauri Mälksoo

Martinus Nijhoff Publishers
Leiden/Boston

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>PREFACE BY THE SERIES EDITOR</i>	vii
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i>	xi
<i>ABBREVIATIONS</i>	xix
<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</i>	xxiii
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	xxv
<i>PART I. EX INJURIA IUS NON ORITUR</i>	I
<i>Chapter 1. Illegal Annexation, State Continuity and Identity: Concepts and Controversies</i>	3
1. The Changing Status of Statehood in Contemporary International Law and Society: A Starting Point for the Analysis.....	3
2. Reestablished States in the Practice of International Relations: Historical Perspective	5
3. State Continuity, Identity and Extinction in International Law Doctrine.....	10
4. Issues Raised by World War II Annexation Cases in the Legal Doctrine.....	16
(a) Does State Identity <i>per Definitionem</i> Imply State Continuity?	18
(b) What are the Normative Consequences of State Identity?	23

(c) The Basis in International Law for State Identity in World War II Annexation Cases	24
(i) <i>Occupatio quasi bellica</i> and other auxiliary theories	24
(ii) Illegality of the Annexation due to the Use of Force	25
(iii) The Relevance of the People in Determining the Illegality and the Continuity of Statehood	33
5. Implications of the Illegality of Annexation For State Personality	38

**Chapter 2. *The Legal Status of the Baltic States in
International Law after 1991: Claims and Responses*.....**

1. The Baltic Thesis	45
(a) Republic of Estonia	47
(b) Republic of Latvia	49
(c) Republic of Lithuania.....	50
2. Responses to the Baltic Continuity Thesis in the Practice of International Community	52
(a) Restoration of Diplomatic Relations with Western Countries in 1991.....	52
(b) Subsequent Treaty Practice: Multilateral Treaties.....	54
(c) Practice Related to Bilateral Treaties	57
(d) Other Consequences of State Identity in Relations with Western States	60
(e) Practice as Related to the Membership in International Organisations	62
(f) The Continuity Thesis of the Baltic States and the Russian Federation	64
3. The Legal Status of the Baltic States: Views in the Legal Literature	73

**Chapter 3. *The Baltic States Between 1940 and 1991:
Illegality and/or Prescription*.....**

1.	Introduction.....	79
2.	The Illegality of the Soviet Annexation	80
	(a) Soviet Occupation and Annexation of the Baltic States in 1940: Facts.....	80
	(b) Soviet Occupation and Annexation of the Baltic States: Applicable Law.....	87
	(c) Legal Evaluation of the Soviet Policy against the Baltic States in 1939/1940.....	94
	(d) The Illegality of Annexation in International Law: the Soviet Views	105
	(e) Illegality of the Soviet Annexation: General Conclusions	108
3.	Prescription and the Soviet Rule in the Illegally Annexed Baltic States.....	108
	(a) The Concept of Prescription in International Law	108
	(i) The Time Factor as an Objective Element in Prescription Analysis?.....	110
	(ii) Criteria for Prescription Analysis.....	112
	(b) Non-Recognition of the Soviet Annexation of the Baltic States: Law and Politics	114
	(i) Non-Recognition in History	114
	(ii) The Stimson Doctrine.....	115
	(iii) Non-Recognition of the Soviet Annexation in the Baltic Case	117
	(iv) The Legal Duty of the Non-Recognition of Illegal Annexations since 1970	122
	(v) The Helsinki Final Act: A Western Recognition of the <i>de facto</i> Situation?.....	123
	(vi) The Legal Relevance of Inconsistencies and Controversial Aspects of the Non-Recognition Policy in the Baltic Case.....	125
	(vii) Non-Recognition and Prescription in the Baltic Case: Conclusions.....	135
	(viii) The Status of the Baltic Soviet Socialist Republics	

from the Viewpoint of International Law.....	136
(c) Survival of State Organs of the Baltic Republics in Exile.....	141
(i) The Functioning of the Baltic Legations in 1940-1991	142
(ii) A Particular Estonian Development: the Estonian Government(s)-in-Exile.....	149
(iii) The Baltic Legations and Estonian Government- in-Exile: Evaluation from the Point of View of State Continuity and Prescription	154
(d) The Baltic Peoples and Prescription	156
4. Prescription? Conclusions.....	164
<i>Chapter 4. The ‘Occupation’ of the Baltic States (1940-1991)?.....</i>	<i>167</i>
1. The Baltic Thesis of the Soviet Occupation (1940-1941, 1944-1991).....	167
2. The Reception of the Baltic Thesis of the Soviet Occupation ...	168
3. The Development of the Concept of ‘Occupation’ in International Law	171
(a) Were/Are the 1907 Hague Rules Applicable Beyond the ‘War’?	174
(b) Occupation Versus Annexation	177
(c) The Main Requirements of the 1907 Hague Regulations for the Occupying Power and Practice in World War II	184
4. An Evaluation of the Baltic Case: Fiction and Reality in Occupation Theory	186
(a) The Soviet Union and the Hague Regulations	186
(b) Which Rules of Occupation Were Legally Applicable in the Case of the Baltic States?	189
(c) Conclusions: International Legal Rules Binding the USSR during its Occupation (Illegal Annexation) of the Baltic States.....	191
5. Conclusion: the Baltic States 1940-1991, Continuity or Extinction?	196

<i>PART II.</i> EX FACTIS ORITUR IUS	205
I Introduction.....	207
Chapter 5. <i>Controversial Claims for the Restoration of Legal Rights in the Baltic Case</i>	209
1. The Prevailing Understanding of 'State Continuity' in Legal Doctrine	209
2. Special Circumstances of the Baltic Case	213
3. The Controversy about the Principle of Continuity of Citizenship and the Political Rights of the Soviet Settlers	216
(a) Introduction.....	216
(b) The Migration Policies of the Soviet Authorities	217
(c) The Citizens' Congresses in Estonia and Latvia in 1990	221
(d) Baltic Debates about the Political Rights of the Russian Speaking Settlers in the Early 1990s	223
(e) Baltic Nationality and Naturalization Laws	225
(i) Estonia.....	225
(ii) Latvia.....	226
(iii) Lithuania	227
(f) The Acceptance of the Continuity of Nationality Principle in the Estonian and Latvian Citizenship Laws by the International Community.....	228
(g) Conclusion: No 'Unrestricted' Restoration of Nationality in the Baltic Case.....	234
4. Changes with Respect to State Territories: The Border Disputes since the Restoration of the Independence of the Baltic States	236
(a) Estonia.....	237
(i) The Unilateral 'Corrections' of the State Border by the USSR.....	237
(ii) The Estonian-Russian Border Negotiations since 1991	240
(b) Latvia.....	244
(i) Unilateral Changes of the State Border by the USSR.....	244
(ii) The Latvian-Russian Border Dispute since 1991.....	245



(c) The Boundaries of Lithuania	246
(d) The Border Debate: Legal Issues	248
(e) The Border Debate: Conclusions	250
5. The Issue of State Responsibility for the Injuries Caused During the Illegal Soviet Annexation.....	251
(a) Introduction.....	251
(b) Main Principles of the Law of State Responsibility	253
(c) On the Conditions of State Responsibility in the Case of the Baltic States: the Issue of Attributability (the International Legal Status of Today's Russia)	254
(d) The Reparations Issue after the Reestablishment of the Baltic Independence	258
(e) The Reparations Issue: Conclustons.....	262
6. General Conclusion from Practice: the Discrepancy between Status and Rights.....	263

Chapter 6. State Continuity in the Cases of Prolonged Illegal Annexation: Status and/or Rights?	265
1. Introduction.....	265
2. Realist Critiques of International Law	266
3. New Haven Approach and New Stream: Politics of International Law	278
4. Doctrinal Proposal: Status Goes Beyond Legal Rights and Duties	281
5. The Domestic Analogy of the Restoration in the Baltic States: <i>No restitutio ad integrum</i>	286
6. Conclusions	289

PART III. BETWEEN NORMATIVITY AND POWER: THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE BALTIC CASE FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW.....	291
--	------------

Chapter 7. The Baltic Case and Lessons from Other Cases.....	293
1. Introduction.....	293
2. Illegal Annexation and State Continuity.....	294

(a) The Annexation of East Timor and the Uncertainties of Non-Recognition in State Practice	295
(b) State Continuity as a Necessary Consequence of the Illegality of the Annexation	299
(c) Other Relevant Factors beside Illegality? The Precedential Effects.....	302
(i) Georgia 1921-1991: a Non-Recognized Claim of State Continuity	305
(ii) The Status of the Non-Recognized State Continuity Claims	309
(iii) A Case Beyond the Baltic Precedent: Chechnya	312
3. Departures from the Effectiveness Principle and the Fear of Unfulfilled Fictions	314
(a) Legality and Effectiveness in Tibet.....	319
4. Concluding Observations.....	324
<i>APPENDICES 1, 2, 3, 4</i>	229
<i>BIBLIOGRAPHY</i>	341
<i>INDEX</i>	371