

Patrick Heinemann

Power Bases and Informational Influence Strategies

A Behavioral Study on the Use of
Management Accounting Information

With a foreword by Prof. Dr. Utz Schäffer

Technische Universität Darmstadt	
Fachbereich 1	
Betriebswirtschaftliche Bibliothek	
Inventar-Nr.:	58.056
Abstell-Nr.:	A12/9146

Deutscher Universitäts-Verlag

Table of Contents

Foreword	V
Preface	VII
Table of Contents	IX
List of Tables	XIII
List of Figures	XVII
A Introduction	1
1. Motivation and Objective	1
2. Course of Analysis.....	5
B Social Influence and Power	7
1. Influence Strategies as a Means to Exercise Power.....	7
1.1 Social Influence and Influence Strategies.....	7
1.1.1 The Role of Social Influence in Organizations.....	7
1.1.2 Means to Exercise Influence in Organizations	8
1.1.3 Outcomes of Influence Strategies	8
1.1.4 Factors Affecting the Outcomes of Influence Strategies	12
1.2 Occurrence and Relevance of Informational Influence Strategies	16
1.2.1 Inductively Developed Categorizations of Influence Strategies	16
1.2.2 Deductively Developed Categorizations of Influence Strategies	19
1.3 Influencing with Management Accounting Information	21
1.3.1 Management Accounting Systems as Information Provider	22
1.3.2 Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing	23
1.3.2.1 Review of the Literature	23
1.3.2.2 Use of Management Accounting Information for Influencing ex-ante	26
1.3.2.3 Use of Management Accounting Information for Influencing ex-post	26

2.	Power Bases as a Potential to Exercise Influence	27
2.1	Power Theories – Review of the Literature and Selection for this Research	28
2.2	Power Base Theory	31
2.2.1	Definition of Power and Power Bases	31
2.2.2	Classifications of Power Bases in Organizational Settings	34
2.2.2.1	Review of the Literature	34
2.2.2.2	Modifications for the Present Research	46
3.	Intermediate Results	48
C	Developing the Causal Model	51
1.	Assumptions of this Research	51
2.	Main Model	52
2.1	Proposed Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Commitment	52
2.2	Proposed Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Performance	59
2.3	Proposed Relationship Between Subordinates' Commitment and Subordinates' Performance	64
2.4	Summary of the Main Model Propositions	67
3.	Moderating Model	68
3.1	Proposed Effects of Supervisors' Power Bases	68
3.2	Proposed Effects of Subordinates' Characteristics	75
3.3	Proposed Effects of Task Difficulty	79
3.4	Summary of the Moderating Model Propositions	82
D	Methodological Conception	85
1.	Design of the Research Strategy	85
1.1	Assumptions about Knowledge Claims	85
1.2	Strategies of Inquiry	89
1.3	Research Method	94
2.	Operationalization of the Research Model	96
2.1	Main Model	97
2.2	Moderating Model	102

3.	Data Collection and Sample	110
3.1	Data Collection Process	110
3.2	Final Sample Characteristics	112
4.	Structural Equation Modeling	117
4.1	Fundamentals	117
4.2	Method Comparison and Selection	122
4.2.1	Covariance-Based Approach	122
4.2.2	Partial Least Squares Approach	123
4.2.3	Method Selection for the Present Research	124
4.3	Evaluation of Structural Equation Models Using Partial Least Squares	127
4.3.1	Evaluation of the Measurement Models	128
4.3.2	Evaluation of the Structural Model	132
4.3.3	Evaluation of Mediating Effects	136
4.3.4	Evaluation of Moderating Effects	138
E	Empirical Results	141
1.	Descriptive Statistics	141
2.	Causal Model Results	144
2.1	Measurement Model Results	144
2.1.1	Main Model	144
2.1.2	Moderating Model	148
2.2	Structural Model Results	158
2.2.1	Main Model	158
2.2.1.1	Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Commitment	158
2.2.1.2	Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Performance.	159
2.2.1.3	Relationship Between Subordinates' Commitment and Subordinates' Performance	161
2.2.2	Moderating Model	163
2.2.2.1	Effects of Supervisors' Power Bases	163
2.2.2.2	Effects of Subordinates' Characteristics	165
2.2.2.3	Effects of Task Difficulty	166
F	Discussion	169
1.	Descriptive Statistics	169

2. Structural Model Results	174
2.1 Main Model.....	174
2.1.1 Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Commitment.....	174
2.1.2 Relationships Between Using Management Accounting Information for Influencing and Subordinates' Performance.....	176
2.1.3 Relationship Between Subordinates' Commitment and Subordinates' Performance.....	178
2.2 Moderating Model	179
2.2.1 Methodological Considerations	179
2.2.2 Effects of Supervisors' Power Bases.....	181
2.2.3 Effects of Subordinates' Characteristics.....	185
2.2.4 Effects of Task Difficulty	187
G Conclusion	189
1. Summary of the Results.....	189
2. Limitations and Future Research Directions	195
3. Practical Implications	199
Appendix.....	203
1. Sample Statistics of the Respondents	203
2. Sample Statistics of the Assessed Supervisors	205
3. Detailed Results of the Moderating Model Analysis.....	208
4. Questionnaire	212
References.....	217