Structural Change in Macroeconomic Models Theory and Estimation

by

Manuel J. Vilares

New University of Lisbon and Bank of Portugal, Economic Research Department



1986 **MARTINUS NIJHOFF PUBLISHERS** a member of the KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS GROUP DORDRECHT / BOSTON / LANCASTER



Contents

Pref	ace .		v
Intro	oductio	n	1
Chapter 1. MACROECONOMETRIC MODELS WITH QUANTE RATIONING		MACROECONOMETRIC MODELS WITH QUANTITY RATIONING	7
1.1.	Non-V	Walrasian Economic Concepts	9
	1.1.1.	Institutional framework: the rationing scheme.	9
	1.1.2.	Perceived and expected constraints	11
	1.1.3.	Effective demand and notional demand. The spill-over effects	13
	1.1.4.	Equilibrium with rationing and the formalisation in terms of	-
		equilibrium.	15
1.2.	A Ref	Ference Macroeconomic Model	17
	1.2.1.	The general framework	17
		1.2.1.1. The accounting framework.	18
		1.2.1.2. The assumptions about rationing	19
	1.2.2.	The microeconomic foundations.	23
		1.2.2.1. Households' behaviour	24
		• Derivation of the utility function U_{H} .	25
		• Consumption and labour supply functions	28
		• An example	30
		• A graphical illustration	32
		1.2.2.2. Firms' behaviour	33
	1.2.3.	The complete model	38
		1.2.3.1. The determination of production and employment	38
		1.2.3.2. The model's answer to exogenous shocks and the	
		importance of the typology of regimes	41
1.3.	A The	eoretical Framework for the Study of Structural Changes	47
1.4.	The P	roblems in Specifying a Macroeconometric Model with Quantity	
	Ratio	ning	51
	1.4.1.	The rationing scheme in the labour market	52
	1.4.2.	The specification of spill-over effects	56
	1.4.3.	The exiguity of the accounting framework	59
1.5.	A Sur	vey of the Macroeconometric Models with Quantity Rationing	60

VIII

Notes	
Ch 4 3	THE CHOOSCEED MODEL
Unapter 2:	THE SUGGESTED MODEL
2.1. Gener	The accurations according the helperious of accurate
2.1.1.	The assumptions regarding the benaviour of agents
2.1.2.	The determination of production and employment.
2.1.3.	The interpretation scheme and the regime typology
2.1.4.	The fundamental role of production function
2.2. The S	pechcation of the Production Function.
2.2.1.	The choice of the specification
2.2.2.	The specification adopted
	2.2.2.1. Formalization and meaning of the variables.
	2.2.2.2. Obsolescence: The scrapping condition.
2.2.3.	The functioning of the production function in the Keynesian
	regime case
2.3. The S	pecification of the Complete Model
2.3.1.	Block 1—Demand
2.3.2.	Block 2—Production capacity.
2.3.3.	Block 3Labour supply
2.3.4.	Block 4—Effective production and employment
2.4. Struc	tural Change and External Disequilibria
2.4.1.	Structural change
2.4.2.	External disequilibria
Conclusior	1
Notes	
Chapter 3.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN
Chapter 3.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ssion Models
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ssion Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment • The log-likelihood function (L)
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment • The log-likelihood function (L) • The direct maximisation of L not being advisable
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable e leads to the utilisation of other approaches
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable eleads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable I leads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment The structural change tests in a particularly interesting
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable I leads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment The structural change tests in a particularly interesting case
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable I leads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment The structural change tests in a particularly interesting case The general case SRDA rid with dired wait
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment The log-likelihood function (L) The direct maximisation of L not being advisable Ieads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment The structural change tests in a particularly interesting case The general case 3.1.2.3. SRM with mixed assignment
Chapter 3. 3.1. A Su Regre 3.1.1. 3.1.2. 3.1.3.	ECONOMETRIC STUDY OF MODELS WITH UNKNOWN POINTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE rvey of the Estimation Techniques in the Case of Two-regime ession Models The general formulation of switching regression models (SRM) and the adopted notation The SRM typology 3.1.2.1. SRM with stochastic assignment • The log-likelihood function (L) • The direct maximisation of L not being advisable • leads to the utilisation of other approaches 3.1.2.2. SRM with deterministic assignment • The structural change tests in a particularly interesting case • The general case • The general case

.

	• A complex liblihood function	1/1
	 A complex intended junction. which is not appropriate for optimization methods 	141
	• which is not appropriate for optimisation methods	142
	5.1.5.2. QRM with deterministic assignment	142
	• A non-aujjerentiable tikelihood junction	142
	• leads, in general, to the utilisation of approximations	143
	3.1.3.3. Some considerations about the choice of the stochastic	
	specification in a macroeconometric rationing model .	140
3.2. The H	Setimation Method Suggested	147
3.2.1.	The complete model	147
3.2.2.	The estimation difficulties	148
	3.2.2.1. The problem of endogenous variables not statistically	
	observed	148
	3.2.2.2. The existence of the min operator	149
	3.2.2.3. The non-derivability of the production function	152
3.2.3.	The estimation procedure	153
3.2.4.	The justification of the estimation method	15:
	3.2.4.1. Consistent estimators	15
	3.2.4.2. An estimation of Clay-Clay model without restrictive	
	assumptions	150
	• The current estimations of the Clay-Clay model	15
	• Comparative analysis	16
Chapter 4	. EMPIRICAL APPLICATION: A STUDY OF THE ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF THE PORTUGUESE	
	REVOLUTION OF 1974	17
4.1. The I	Data and the Problem Formulation	17
4.1.1.	. The data	17.
	4.1.1.1. The scope of the study	17
	4.1.1.2. The methodology used in the construction of the series	17
4.1.2	The definition of the problem	18
	4.1.2.1. A very brief presentation of the Portuguese economy	
	before 1974	18
	4.1.2.2. A combination of shocks.	18
4.2. The l	Results	18
4.2.1	Presentation of the results	18
	4.2.1.1. The bottlenecks in economic activity.	18
	4.2.1.2. External disequilibria	19
4.2.2.	The robustness of the results	19
Conclusio	n	19
Notes		20
GENERA	$L \text{ CONCLUSION } \dots $	20
	ICES	
Annondiv	1 Classanu of Variablas and Main Daramators	71
whenmy	1. Giussai y ui valiadies anu iviani r'alameters	∠ I

n

.

.

Appendix 2.	Computation of Analytical Expressions for the First Partial	
	Derivatives of Ψ	217
Appendix 3.	Choice of the Minimum for PS as Optimisation Criterion	221
Appendix 4.	Results	225
Appendix 5.	Statistical Data and Their Sources	227
Appendix 6.	Estimation of the Model using French Data	239
Bibliography		247

х

.

-.