

K. S. SHRADER-FRECHETTE

*Department of Philosophy,
University of Florida*

SCIENCE POLICY,
ETHICS, AND
ECONOMIC
METHODOLOGY

*Some Problems of Technology Assessment and
Environmental-Impact Analysis*

D. REIDEL PUBLISHING COMPANY

A MEMBER OF THE KLUWER



ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS GROUP

DORDRECHT / BOSTON / LANCASTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	xvii

I. INTRODUCTION

Chapter One: An Overview of Technology Assessment and Environmental-Impact Analysis	3
1. Introduction	3
2. The Concepts of Technology Assessment and Environmental-Impact Analysis	4
2.1. TA and EIA: Similarities and Differences	5
2.2. The Components of TA and EIA	6
2.3. The Uses and Users of TA's and EIA's	8
3. The Institutionalization of TA and EIA	8
3.1. The National Environmental Policy ACT (NEPA)	9
3.2. The US Office of Technology Assessment (OTA)	10
3.3. TA/EIA Outside the OTA	11
4. TA/EIA Methodology	12
5. The Preeminence of Risk-Cost-Benefit Analysis in TA/EIA	14
5.1. The Origins of RCBA	15
5.2. The Techniques of RCBA	16
6. The Role of the Philosopher in TA and EIA	17
6.1. The Role of the Ethicist in TA and EIA	19
6.2. The Role of the Applied Philosopher of Science	20
7. Criticism of TA/EIA and an Outline for Reform	24
Notes	26
Chapter Two: Assessing Risk-Cost-Benefit Analysis, the Preeminent Method of Technology Assessment and Environmental-Impact Analysis	32
1. Introduction	32
2. The Deficiency Argument	34

2.1. Phenomenological Variants of the Deficiency Argument	36
2.2. Kantian Variants of the Deficiency Argument	37
3. Assumptions Underlying the Main Inference of the Deficiency Argument	38
3.1. The Assumption that RCBA Deficiencies Are a Sufficient Condition for Rejecting It	38
3.2. The Assumption That Any Systematic, Rational Form of Policymaking Ought to Be Rejected	41
3.3. The Assumption That Not all Policy Alternatives Have Theoretical Residuals	42
3.4. The Assumption That Wholly Rational Decisionmaking Is Possible	45
3.5. The Assumption That Many of the Constraints on Real-World Decisionmaking May Be Ignored	51
4. The First Premise of the Kantian Argument: RCBA Is Utilitarian	54
5. Conclusion	57
Notes	58

II. GENERAL METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

Chapter Three: The Retreat from Ethical Analysis	67
1. Introduction	67
2. The Principle of Complete Neutrality	67
2.1. One Case Against Complete Neutrality: Constitutive Values	69
2.2. Another Case Against Neutrality: Contextual Values	71
2.3. The Fact-Value Dichotomy	73
3. The Impossibility of Wholly Objective Technology Assessment and Environmental-Impact Analyses	74
3.1. Methodological Assumptions in Technology Assessment	75
3.2. Evaluative Assumptions in the Light of Inadequate Data	79
3.3. Political Assumptions in TA and EIA	80
4. The Ideal of Complete Neutrality	81
4.1. The Presupposition That Objectivity = Neutrality	81
4.2. The Presupposition That There Is No Place for Philosophical Evaluation in Technology Assessment and Environmental-Impact Analysis	85
4.3. Consequences of Condemning Applied Ethics and Philosophy of Science	87
4.3.1. Sanctioning Ethical Relativism	87

4.3.2. Accepting the Status Quo	89
4.3.3. Masking Central Evaluational Assumptions	91
5. Deemphasizing Nontechnical Policy Solutions	93
6. Alternatives to the Principle and the Ideal of Complete Neutrality	93
6.1. The Importance of Including Philosophical Analysis	96
6.2. The Positivistic Attack on Philosophy	97
7. Conclusion	99
Notes	99
Chapter Four: The Fallacy of Unfinished Business	106
1. Introduction	106
2. Suboptimization and the Status Quo	107
3. The Fallacy of Unfinished Business and the Naturalistic Fallacy	109
4. One Solution: Broadening the Scope of TA and EIA	111
5. Objections to Broadening the Scope of TA and EIA So As to Avoid the Fallacy of Unfinished Business	112
6. Conclusion	116
Notes	116

III. PARTICULAR METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

Chapter Five: RCBA and the Aggregation Assumption	121
1. Introduction	121
2. The Aggregation Assumption	121
3. Methodological Presuppositions Implicit in the Aggregation Assumption	122
3.1. The Aggregation Assumption and the First Presupposition	122
3.2. The Aggregation Assumption and the Second Presupposition	123
3.3. The Aggregation Assumption and the Third Presupposition	127
4. Theoretical Status of the Aggregation Assumption	130
5. Applications of the Aggregation Assumption to Technology Assessment and Environmental Analysis	132
5.1. Consequences of Ignoring Distributive Impacts	133
5.2. Consequences of Using Subjective Feelings as Criteria	135
5.3. Consequences of Assuming That the Individual Can Best Judge His Welfare	136
5.4. Consequences of Accepting the Market System	138
6. Future Directions and the Aggregation Assumption	144
7. Summary and Conclusions	145
Notes	146

Chapter Six: RCBA and the Assumption of Partial Quantification	152
1. Introduction	152
2. The Problem of Quantification	152
3. Arguments Against the Assumption of Partial Quantification	155
3.1. The Argument from Objectivity	155
3.2. The Argument from Misuse	158
3.3. The Argument from Alternatives	159
3.4. The Argument from Simplicity	161
3.5. The Argument from Politics	164
3.6. The Argument from Horse and Rabbit Stew	168
3.7. The Argument from Dehumanization	172
3.8. The Argument from Arbitrariness	176
4. Arguments in Favor of Complete Quantification	180
4.1. The Argument from Uniformity	180
4.2. The Argument from Utility	181
4.3. The Argument from Democracy	183
4.4. The Argument from Intuition	185
4.5. The Argument from Gresham's Law	189
4.6. The Argument from Clarity	190
4.7. The Argument from Heuristic Power	192
4.8. The Argument from Circularity	192
4.9. The Argument from Realism	193
5. The Consequences of Partial Quantification in Actual RCBA's	194
6. Conclusion	200
Notes	200
Chapter Seven: The Problem of Regional Equity	210
1. Introduction	210
2. Methodological Problems with Analysis of Distributive Impacts	211
3. Problems with Geographical Distribution of Impacts	213
3.1. The Dilemma of Federalism	214
3.1.1. Federal Supremacy and the War Power	216
3.1.2. Federal Supremacy and Preemption	216
3.1.3. Federal Supremacy and the Interstate Commerce Clause	217
3.1.4. Federal Supremacy and the Law of Eminent Domain	219
3.2. The Ethics of Equality	219
3.2.1. The Principle of Political Equality	220
3.2.1.1. Equality or Sameness of Treatment?	221

3.2.1.2. Equality of Treatment or Equality of Respect?	221
3.2.2. The Principle of Prima-Facie Political Equality	222
3.3. Relevant Bases for Justifying Inequality of Treatment	224
3.3.1. Does Inequality Serve Long-Term Equality?	226
3.3.2. Morally Relevant Reasons Do Not Support Geographical Discrimination	229
3.3.3. A Rejoinder: Geographical Inequalities Are Justifiable Because They Cannot Be Measured/Avoided	230
4. A Case Study	231
4.1. Regulation of Offshore-Energy Technology	232
4.2. Three Uncompensated Regional Costs of Offshore Technology	232
4.3. Assessment Failure to Calculate Regional Costs	234
4.4. Value Judgments About Negative Impacts	236
4.4.1. Sanctioning Laissez-Faire Technology	236
4.4.2. Begging the Question of the Importance of Regional Costs	238
4.4.3. Ignoring Equal Protection	239
4.5. Further Consequences of Ignoring Regional Inequalities	241
5. An Outline for Reform	244
Notes	247

IV. STEPS TOWARDS SOLUTIONS

Chapter Eight: Ethically Weighted Risk-Cost-Benefit Analysis	261
1. Introduction	261
2. Reasons for Adopting a System of Ethical Weights for RCBA	261
3. Kreese's Solution for Ethically Weighting RCBA	265
4. Weighting RCBA's by Means of Lexicographic Ordering of Claims	268
5. Objections to Weighting RCBA's by Means of Lexicographic Ordering	278
6. Conclusion	282
Notes	283
Chapter Nine: Assessment Through Adversary Proceedings	286
1. Introduction	286
2. Reasons for the Science-Court Proposal	287
3. An Outline of the Science-Court Proposal	289
4. Problems with the Science-Court Proposal	290

5. The Technology Tribunal	293
6. Arguments in Favor of the Technology Tribunal and Against the Assumption That Scientists Ought to Adjudicate Cases Handled by the Tribunal	294
6.1. The Argument from Balance and Objectivity	295
6.2. The Argument from Democracy	298
6.3. The Argument from Education	300
6.4. The Argument from Political Realism	301
6.5. The Argument from G. E. Moore	302
7. Arguments Against Adjudication by the Public	304
7.1. The Assumption That a Majority of Citizens Cannot Acquire the Requisite Scientific Knowledge	305
7.2. The Assumption That Citizens Will Arrive at Poor Conclusions	306
8. Another Objection to the Technology Tribunal	308
9. Conclusion	312
Notes	313
Index of Names	316
Index of Subjects	319