

Klaus Winkler

Negotiations with Asymmetrical Distribution of Power

Conclusions from Dispute Resolution
in Network Industries

With 7 Figures

Physica-Verlag

A Springer Company

Contents

Foreword.....	V
1 Asymmetrical distribution of power makes negotiations difficult...	1
1.1 Efficiency of negotiations in network markets.....	2
1.2 Asymmetrical power a core issue of negotiations.....	3
1.3 Grasping the complexity of power in negotiations.....	5
2 Theory of negotiations and power display common characteristics	7
2.1 Negotiations in asymmetrical power markets.....	7
2.1.1 The relation between trade, bargain, negotiation and conflict situations.....	8
2.1.2 From perceived, to resulting, to real power.....	10
2.1.3 Setup of a power concept in three dimensions.....	14
2.2 Power-Matrix structures key-characteristics of power.....	16
2.2.1 Framework reveals the possibility of access to the different levels of a negotiation.....	16
2.2.2 Cost forces actors to create options in negotiations.....	35
2.2.3 Strategy makes transparency a favourable concept.....	51
2.3 Common characteristics define power in negotiations.....	63

3	Negotiations in network markets.....	65
3.1	Network characteristics intelecoms and rail.....	66
3.1.1	Telecommunication - a dynamic market.....	66
3.1.2	Rail - a sedate market.....	70
3.1.3	The need for negotiations in network markets.....	73
3.2	Power in network market negotiations.....	73
3.2.1	Framework - the different levels of activity in negotiations.....	74
3.2.2	Cost - Profit from differences in size.....	89
3.2.3	Strategy - Coopetition bridges the power-gap.....	112
3.3	Relevance of the findings for negotiations.....	127
4	Alternative Dispute Resolution enables efficient negotiations.....	129
4.1	Alternatives to 'classic' negotiations.....	129
4.1.1	Is power a problem for negotiations in network markets?.....	130
4.1.2	Merging the Power-Matrix with guidelines on how to run negotiations - The Harvard Negotiation Project.....	133
4.1.3	Alternative Dispute Resolution mechanisms support negotiations.....	141
4.2	Three steps to running efficient power-negotiations.....	157
4.2.1	Step one: Implement the structural approach of the Harvard Negotiation Concept and the Power-Matrix to boost efficiency.....	158
4.2.2	Step two: Coordinate the instruments by creating a feedback mechanism.....	162
4.2.3	Step three: Initiate the use of the new tools.....	166
4.3	Enabling support processes.....	168
	List of abbreviations.....	173
	References.....	175