Marjaana Gunkel



Country-Compatible Incentive Design

A Comparison of Employees' Performance Reward Preferences in Germany and the USA

With a foreword by Prof. Dr. Birgitta Wolff

Deutscher Universitäts-Verlag

Table of Contents

٠

List of TablesX	CV	
List of FiguresXV	ЛІ	
List of AbbreviationsX	IX	
List of VariablesX	XI	
1 Cross-National Differences in Performance Reward Preferences - An Introduction	1	
Employee Motivation and Institutional Frameworks – A Literature Review		
 2.1 Motivation Theories and their Country-Compatibility	8 14 16 21 23 24 25 28 30	
3 Analyzing Incentives in a Multinational Corporation - From Data to Results	45	
 3.1 The Questionnaire	46	
3.2.2 Current Benefits and Compensation Practices in the USA	48	
 3.3 Distribution of the Questionnaire Survey 3.4 The Study Sample 3.5 Data Entry and Cleaning 3.5.1 Data Entry 	51 52	
3.5.2 Cleaning the Data	54	
3.6 Test of Validity		
 3.7 The Analysis of the Hypotheses	57	
4 Retaining and Motivating Employees in Germany and the United States – Hypothesis 1		
 4.1 Differences in the Motivational Effects of Performance Rewards Across Countries 4.1.1 Importance of Work Related Goals 4.1.2 Motivators and Non-Motivators 	72	

		Influence of Formal and Informal Institutional Framework on the	
		Performance Reward Preferences	76
	4.2.1	Earnings and Achievement	77
	4.2.2	Family Related Rewards	
	4.2.3		
	4.2.4	•	
	4.2.5	•	
	4.2.6		
		Synopsis	
5		inishing Marginal Utility of Performance Rewards – Hypothesis 2	
	5.1	Diminishing Marginal Utility and Employee Motivation	
	5.1.1		
	5.1.2		
	5.1.3		
		Influence of Institutional Frameworks on the Utility Curve Placement	
		Performance Rewards	
	5.2.1		
	5.2.1		
	5.2.3		
	5.2.4		
	5.2.5	S	
	5.2.6		
	5.3 (Conclusion and Suggestions	100
6	An E	Exploratory Comparison of Performance Reward Preferences in China	۱.
		nany, Japan and the USA	
		Retaining and Motivating Employees in China, Germany, Japan and t	
		United States – Hypothesis 1	
	6.1.1		104
	6.1.2		106
		Influence of Formal and Informal Institutional Frameworks on the	
		Performance Reward Preferences	
	6.2.1		
	6.2.2		
	6.2.3	0	
	6.2.4		112
	6.2.5	Training, Responsibilities and Use of Skills	113
	6.2.6	Work Environment	
	6.3 I	Diminishing Marginal Utility of Performance Rewards – Hypothesis 2	
	6.3.1		
	6.3.2		
	6.3.3		
		Influence of Institutional Frameworks on the Utility Curve Placement	of
		Performance Rewards	
	6.4.1		
	6.4.2	-	
	0.4.2	i anniy Kelateu Kewalus	120

×

6.4.3	Fringe Benefits	
6.4.4	Recognition	
6.4.5	Training, Responsibilities and Use of Skills	
6.4.6	Work Environment	
6.5 Exp	loratory Meta-Analysis	
6.5.1	Example 1: Meta-Analysis of the Importance of Challenging V	Work 123
6.5.2	Example 1: Meta-Analysis of the Importance of Recognition	
6.6 Syn	opsis	127
7 Conclus	ion and Outlook	129
List of Refer	ences	
Appendix		145

••

.